



**SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM**

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>Abstract – how to indicate one of the reviewers, the number of words should be reduced to around 250,</p> <p>page 3 – how to indicate one of the reviewers, the map of study area should be added,</p> <p>pages 4-5 – how to indicate one of the reviewers table 1 is missing,</p> <p>page 4 – basic information such as Beer-Lambert Law should be removed,</p> <p>page 4 – more information on the determination of heavy metals content should be added: model, manufacturer and origin of the spectrometer, atomization method, origin of the standards used, evaluation of linearity of standard curves, etc.</p> <p>Table 2 – values of standard deviations should be added,</p> <p>Tables 2 and 3 – data should be evaluated statistically.</p>	<p><b>The abstract was reduced to 247 words and</b></p> <p><b>The map of the study location/area was included</b></p> <p><b>Table 1 was included and the order of numbering was in the content.</b></p> <p><b>Beer-Lambert Law is basic information we agreed however, we don't know who will be reading our article it may be beginner in the field, we feel it will not be bad to add it.</b></p> <p><b>Page 4: More Information on determination of Heavy Metals content, model, manufacturer origin of the spectrometry, atomization method.</b></p> <p><b>Standard deviation for Table 2 was included.</b></p> <p><b>Finally, the work was checked and all identified errors corrected.</b></p>