



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_52248
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of Water Cooking of Potato (Ipomea batatas) Leaves and Characterization of Three Nutritional Interest Molecules (folic acid, iron and phytate)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/30/editorial-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The English name of Ipomea batata is sweet potato, non potato.</p> <p>The work seems more an exercise of statistics than a work to optimize water cooking of the leaves. Discussion and conclusions should indicate which are the optimal conditions for the cooking.</p> <p>I am surprised that the level of iron or other molecules was not measured in the water.</p>	<p>"Potato" has been replaced by "sweet potato" throughout the text.</p> <p>In the abstract, "to preserve losses of iron and folic acid" has been corrected and replaced by "to preserve iron and folic acid".</p> <p>Optimization of the leaf water cooking was carried out by the response surface methodology using an experiments design (central composite design). Experimental conditions were indicated in the discussion (see line 365) and conclusion (see line 379).</p> <p>The authors attempted to determine the experimental conditions for preserving iron and folic acid and reducing the phytates contained in sweet potato leaves. This is the reason why these molecules were only measured in the leaves.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
---	--	--