SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CSIJ_54978**
Title of the Manuscript:	**LEVELS OF POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS (PAHs) IN BEERS: CONSUMPTION AND PUBLIC HEALTH CONCERNS**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No major revision comment**	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Renumber the sections of the paper and changing the title of the last section (i.e. 2. Materials and Methods; 3. Results and Discussion; and 4. Conclusions and Recommendations) 2. The citation "California Environmental Protection Agency, 1994" in page 8 should also be in number format, i.e. "[53]", and should also be included in the list of references at the end of the paper. Then, renumber the succeeding citations, as well as the list of references. 3. The last sentence of the paper (recommendation) should be revised. (Even without this study, moderate consumption of any beer is always recommended. Also make a clear recommendation as regards "beers produced using extract from *G. kola*".) 4. In addition, provide other scholarly recommendations based on the results of the study.	The manuscript has been modified
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	