



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_65706
Title of the Manuscript:	HyPIR electrolysis for a 0.25 M Epsom salt solution
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors should explain the reason why the hydrogen production decreases with increase in Epsom salt concentration.</li> <li>It is mentioned that widespread adoption of hydrogen as an energy carrier depends on our ability to supply hydrogen at a competitive price. In this regard, they could consider an article which mentions controlled hydrogen production during sodium metal dissolution in concentrated (2M) aqueous Epsom salt solution without electrolysis <b>Please Refer Journal of Solid State Chemistry 177 (2004) 3460–3468</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comments provided in Experimental Procedure</li> <li>Ref added</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO