Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_56091**
Title of the Manuscript:	**UNDERSTANDING THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE ON CROP AND LIVESTOCK PRODUCTIVITY IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The goal of the article was to examine the impact of climate change on crop and livestock productivity in study area, Ethiopia. The overall idea and results are very actual and useful not only for this part of world.	Observation was correct but study was not carried out in Ethiopia.
Minor REVISION comments	A few comments: In the Tables are missing the units. There are missing some gaps between letter and symbols, e.g. EU,2007	The units in studies of the nature need not be in the tables, they are better captured in the Models where the variables were defined.
Optional/General comments	• Materials & methods - suitability of the used methods are appropriate. Methods are progressive. Sufficient details of the methods is provided so that other researchers is able to reproduce the experiments described. I only miss some more information or pictures of study area location. • Results & discussion 1. The data are well controlled and robust – period from 1970 to 2016 is quite a long 2. Author provided relevant and partially current references. 3. Discussion and conclusions are based on actual facts and figures. - Conclusion is supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript. - Author provided adequate proof for their claims.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	