

Editor's Comment:

1. **Despite the positive comments of the reviewers**
2. **Abstract: What the numbers in parenthesis represent and why the authors use double paranthesis.** *The authors corrected this issue. However, according to the M&M weed abundance should be a number with no units since the area is not mentioned in equation 1. Moreover, in the same section the authors use the term Density for D although they describe Weed Dominance. It is not clear how these parameters correlate.*
3. **English language editing is required.** *The authors have to highlight all the changes in the text. As it is, I cannot evaluate the revisions.*
4. **The objective of the study has to be extended instead of being a single sentence.** *The authors did not perform any changes. They just moved the last sentence to the previous paragraph.*
5. **Details for the number of replications for each treatment are needed.** *The authors provided an informative Table. However, this information should be incorporated in the text e.g. 3 replications per treatment and 18 plots in total.*
6. **Abbreviations in the footnote of Table 1 do not match with the ones used in the Table. Moreover, Latin names have to be corrected. The units in Tables 1 and 2 for the presented means must be indicated. Add statistics in Table 1 as in Table 2. What PH, NSPP and NCPP represent? Each Table must be self-explained.**
7. **The use of Italics for species names is required.** *The authors corrected this issue.*
8. **Figure legends must be more descriptive.** *The authors did not correct this issue*
9. **The reference style both in text and the reference list has to confirm with journal style.** *The authors corrected this issue.*

Conclusions have to be extended. *An introductory sentence describing the tested hypothesis has to be added at the beginning of the Conclusions section.*

Editor's Details:

Dr. Petropoulos Spyridon
Department of Agriculture Crop Production and Rural Environment, University of Thessaly, Greece