

Editor's Comment:

I have read the manuscript number 2020/AJSSPN/57612. Based on my own assessment as editor, I am sorry to inform that the manuscript is not ready for publication in its current status. I have found many mistakes and unusual fragments into the text. Below, I have described some points that needs a strong revision by the authors:

#1: They need to clarify the aim of this study.

#2: what is "key wards"? I have never seen it before in a scientific manuscript.

#3: They must avoid abbreviations. They must write the full name of the expressions into the text.

#4. What was the main hypothesis tested in this study? I cannot find any sentence into the text presenting it.

#5. What was the used reference to determine nutrient levels?

#6. The description of statistical analysis is lacking. Also, they need to rewrite the whole material and methods sections. It is not an unusual way to describe methods. The current section is totally inappropriate.

#7. Without a statistical analysis description into the material and methods section is hard to review their results section.

It needs another round of revision. The authors must understand that we are looking for high-quality papers.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Tancredo Souza
Professor,
Centre for Functional Ecology,
University of Coimbra, Portugal.