

Editor's Comment:

- 1) The manuscript is submitted under original research article and has been proposed as an audit as well as retrospective study, the authors need more robust details of discussion of standards laid down by Royal College or General medical Council, if these are regarded as such.
- 2) The manuscript has very vague declarations which cannot be accepted as pure scientific study which needs very systematic inclusion and exclusion criteria which are non-existent in the study despite the recommendations that were suggested by RCSE.
- 3) Manuscript has major and minor grammatical errors, abbreviations not well introduced, and the topic not reproduced systematically. This has not been rectified
- 4) Pictures and tables and legends need to be presented in the format requested by the AJOR online guidance for authors.
- 5) Green and yellow highlighted texts are grammatical error examples as well as the comments for review are relevant and beyond the amount on every line and calls for major re-write of the paper to make it a substantial study.
- 6) Comments texts at multiple places throughout the manuscript are to suggest the changes expected from authors.
- 7) The overall operative note in surgery in general as well as in orthopaedic surgery is a very important document and needs more valid statements of study as well as research to make this great topic readable as well as validated to deem as original research article.
- 8) Recommendations and conclusion needs to have a systematic flow to drive home the message as well as newer HER/EMR systems have incorporated the points of audit as well as minimum standard requirements of the operative notes.
- 9) Time constraints are unacceptable reasons of a poor documentation of surgical operative note, and hence newer electronic record systems segregate an immediate brief note and a DETAILED OPERATIVE RECORD, both become part of the patient record for minimum of 10 years for medicolegal reasons.

Major grammatical errors will still need to be rectified to enable manuscript to be of scientific validity.

Authors may submit this as a Review article if more detailed discussion regarding all valid points can be furnished or as an audit to relevant local journals

Editor's Details:

Dr. Vithal B. SHENDGE
University of Toledo Medical Centre in Toledo, USA