Dynamical behavior of a tensegrity structure coupled to a spatial steel grid

¹Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Cerro de las Campanas, Querétaro, Querétaro 76010, México.

12 ABSTRACT

1

2

3

4 5 6

7

8 10 11

13

Aim: In this study it is presented a methodology to determine the structural response of a tensegrity system working under the effects of wind, temperature variations and when coupled to a steel spatial grid applied as pedestrian bridge. This methodology is based in applying nonlinear static and dynamic analyzes and the base motion method.

Place and duration of study: The study was carried out in the Graduate Engineering Department, Universidad Autonoma de Queretaro, Queretaro, Mexico. September 2017 to July 2019.

Methodology: At first instance, it was analyzed the equilibrium configuration of a tensegrity system by only considering self-weight through non-linear static analyzes. In the second stage, it was studied the structural response and internal forces of the proposed tensegrity system under environmental loads as temperature variations and wind forces, which were represented as dynamic effects in a non-linear finite element model. Later, a spatial steel grid was analyzed for such environmental loads but using linear static analyzes. Finally, by applying the principle of superposition to the spatial steel grid, and the base motion method to the tensegrity system, it was represented the coupling of both systems as a single assembly.

Results: The structural response of a tensegrity system when working under different load conditions is obtained. Also, the effects produced by the coupling of both systems are determined.

Conclusion: The study concluded that the tensegrity system shows a stable response for the different load combinations established. There are also denoted the increases in internal forces and displacements for specific loads cases, which may affect locally some components and the overall behavior of the assembly.

14

Keywords: Tensegrity Structures; Static and Dynamic Nonlinear Analysis; Base motion
 Method; Pedestrian bridge.

18 1. INTRODUCTION

19

17

Tensegrity structures (TS) are generally attractive to users, they have mechanical characteristics that in comparison to conventional systems, increase their structural efficiency (load bearing/self-weight ratio) [1]–[3]. TS allow the use of sustainable materials and the implementation of efficient constructive processes, because a large percentage of the structure is work-shop made, this minimizes the building time. TS are pin-jointed freestanding structures, made-up by a continuous red of cables working under tensile forces, in which, isolated bar elements, that works under compression forces are contained [4]. Initially

*) Corresponding Author. E-mail: horta@uaq.mx

proposed by R. B. Fuller, K. Snelson and G. Emmerich [1], their name is a contraction of the
words "tensional integrity", proposed by R. B. Fuller.

29

It is considered that the invention of TS was done in the plastic arts field [1]; however, in the architecture and civil engineering, many structural systems, partially based on the mechanical behavior of TS have been developed, such as the tensile membrane structures from La Plata stadium roof and the Georgia Dome [5]; another example is the Kurilpa bridge, which is claimed as the first hybrid TS implemented in an elevated pedestrian walkway [6].

35

In aerospace and robotics fields, TS are applied as folding structures and smart structures,
due their capacity to change their shape, by controlling the prestress of cable elements [7].
The super ball-bot is one of the ultimate developments of these areas, it was created by
NASA as a planetary exploration robot [8].

40

From a structural mechanics point of view, progress and knowledge about TS stand out. Current research proposes various techniques and methodologies to perform numerical models [9]. Behavior of TS adapted to work against gravitational static loads has been analyzed by [10], [11]. [5], [12] studied TS under static and dynamic wind forces. In addition, modal parameters have been characterized considering variations in the ambient temperature of some common TS [13].

47

However, from the literature review, it is noted that, in current researches, little has been studied about the interaction of environmental effects and the multiple load combinations that would act on a TS exposed to outdoor conditions [14]. The integration of these variables can be carried out through dynamic non-linear methods, since they allow to approximate, to a greater degree, the behavior of TS under the above-mentioned weather load cases.

53

It should be noted, the null scope by the building codes, in regards to the analysis and design of tensegrity structures. This fact is one of the main aspects that limit the implementation of TS as civil structures [2], [15]. In the absence of such regulations, researches carried out on these systems, define that stability is the parameter that allows describing the behavior of TS.

59

Historically, research about tensegrity systems has focused mainly on the finding form process [16], due to, in assemblies with complex geometries or large amounts of elements, not all the methods converge. Other reason is that current methods do not allow to control the resulting geometric characteristics, or, to keep the principle of mechanical unilaterality for each type of element [17]–[20]. Although it should be noted that the methods developed to date, are convenient and can be adapted or modified to solve a specific system.

66

67 It has been studied the characteristics and conditions to ensure stability of TS, considering 68 self-weight and prestress of cables. Connelly [21] presents a criterion called "Super stability", through which analyses basic prismatic systems. Subsequently, [22] defines two concepts of 69 stiffness for TS, that are named "Prestress stability" and "Second order stiffness", by which, 70 71 stability is provided to the TS. Similarly, Deng and Kwan [23] propose a general classification 72 of the necessary conditions to determine the stability of an ET, by analyzing the tangential 73 stiffness matrix and considering the variations of the potential energy of the second order. 74 Complementing these works, Zhang and Ohsaki [24] formally establish the conditions 75 required for an TS to be stable, which are based in the fact that the tangent stiffness matrix 76 must be defined and positive. Their conclusions states that the minimum necessary 77 conditions are: the force density matrix must be positive and defined, in addition to having a minimum range deficiency equal to d+1; and, the range of the geometric stiffness matrix 78 79 should be $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ where d is the vector of non-trivial displacements.

81 Subsequently, TS structural response was characterized under the effects of external loads 82 as compression, tension and torsion. Lazopoulos [25] employs the bifurcation method, to 83 study the conditions that generate global and local instabilities in a 3-plex system. Amendola 84 [26] studied the behavior of the 3-plex system, considering compressive loads for two 85 boundary conditions cases at the base nodes: with total restriction of movement, and, with 86 freedom of movement in the horizontal plane. From case 1, it is shown that the structure 87 tends to stiffen when the load is applied, and for the second case, 3-plex systems presents a 88 softening behavior. 3-plex system was also studied by Zhang et al. [27], who identified that, when acting torsional loads, a new type of instabilities appears which were named 'Snapping 89 90 Instabilities'. It was observed that this behavior was present in the transition of equilibrium 91 states, once the system was loaded. Snapping instability occurs when torsional load is 92 higher than the allowable, which generates permanent deformations, even when the 93 elements work within the elastic limit. Atig et al. [28] discuss the possible existence of 94 dynamic instabilities in the 3-plex system and in the Geiger dome. This effect was observed 95 when systems were excited with white noise, and is associated to slackening of cables 96 during loading cycles.

97

98 The previously presented works identify that some systems may present instabilities caused 99 by external loads. In addition, there is a lack of knowledge about the response of tensegrity 100 systems applied in cases other than light-weight roofs, where the interaction of wind effects 101 with temperature variations is included. Therefore, this work presents the study and 102 development of a stable tensegrity system, under dynamic environmental loads. This 103 tensegrity structure will be coupled to the superstructure of a pedestrian bridge, applying the 104 "ground motion" method, in order to represent the behavior of whole assembly under the 105 described external loads.

106

107 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

108 109

2.1 Superstructure description for the proposed Pedestrian Bridge.

110

Superstructure of the pedestrian bridge is composed by two different systems: the main structure of the bridge, which consist of a single-lattice spatial layer grid (also known as spatial double layer grid, SDLG), and by five identical tensegrity modules, which are the result of this research, and will be coupled to the main structure.

115

SPLG is integrated by the parts indicated in Fig. 1. It has a total length of 28.0 m, width of 2.80 m, and 1.50 m for height; covering a clear span of 22.0 m. It is proposed a floor system by precast W-deck panels whose weight is 200 kg/m², and will be mounted on a steel support system, that will allow their installation. Per the Mexican standards for bridges [29] live load will be considered as 400 kg/m². Table 1 shows the mechanical properties of the structural elements used for this system [30], [31].

122



127

TABLE 1 Mechanical properties of the SDLG components

Cross-section type	Round HSS	Rectangular HSS	Round tubes
ASTM Standard	A500 Gr. 42	A500 Gr. 46	A53 Gr. B
Yield Stress (Fy)	2952 kg/cm ²	3234 kg/cm ²	2460 kg/cm ²
Ultimate Stress (Fu)	4077 kg/cm ²	4077 kg/cm ₂	4218 kg/cm ²

128

129 Fig. 2 shows a view in the X-Y plane, at a height of 0.0 m. This geometric configuration

130 allows the coupling of the five tensegrity modules, whose location corresponds to the dotted 131 areas of green and blue.

132



133 134

135

FIG. 2. View in the X-Y plane of the SDLG, Z = 0.0 m.

The tensegrity module developed in this work is called "X-T". Topology and connectivity of the X-T module are described by Fig. 3. The X-T system consists of 27 elements, of which 5 elements are bar type and 22 elements are cable type, which converge to 10 nodes. This assembly was developed with the aim of establishing a tensegrity system, whose geometrical and architectural features allow pedestrian traffic, when implemented on a pedestrian bridge. The interior clearance of the X-T module (Fig. 4a and 4b) is 2.70 m wide and 2.80 m high. The total width is 4.90 m, its length is 3.8 m and the total height is 5.45 m.

143

The spatial configuration of the X-T module was obtained by applying a form finding method based on the double decomposition of singular values, initially proposed by Yuan [18]. The nodal coordinates of this system are shown in table 2, which were obtained from a previous

work [32]. Additionally, in table 3, the mechanical characteristics of the materials that make up this system are shown [33], [34].

150 151	TABLE 2 Nodal coordinates								
-	Node	Х	Y	Z	Node	Х	Y	Z	
-	1	0.000	0.000	0.000	6	2.800	2.300	0.000	
	2	0.000	3.800	3.800	7	2.261	-0.829	2.500	
	3	0.200	0.000	3.900	8	2.261	4.829	2.500	
	4	0.200	4.000	0.000	9	-1.300	2.200	2.000	
	5	1.336	2.000	5.464	10	3.613	2.200	3.146	
152									
153	TAB	LE3 M	echanica	al properti	es of the	tensegri	ty compo	nents	
154									
	Ele	ment typ	е	В	ar		Cable	;	
	AS	STM Nom		Aluminum 6063 T6			A586 Class A.		
	Modulı	us of elas kg/cm ²	ticity	<mark>710,100.3</mark>			<mark>1687,367.1</mark>		
	Yield	Yield Stress (F kg/cm ²		<mark>1,75</mark>	<mark>1,757.67</mark>		<mark>10,546</mark>		
	<u>Ultima</u>	ite Stress kg/cm ²	(F _u)	<mark>2,109.21</mark>			<mark>15,467.5</mark>		
155									
				1	5				







FIG. 4. External and internal dimensions of the X-T module.

161

162 **2.2 Mathematical framework**

163

Several authors have investigated and contributed to determine the mathematical models that represent the mechanical behavior of tensegrity structures [35], [36]. Murakami [10]-[11] shows in detail the basic equations for static and dynamic analyzes, both in Eulerian and Lagrangian formulations. Mechanical principles that must be met, refer in particular to the equilibrium the system, compatibility between displacements and deformations, and the relationships between internal and external forces. These conditions, which are actually general for any mechanical system, can be stated in tensorial expressions as follows [37]:

a) Equilibrium equation

$$Div\tilde{S} + \underline{b} = \rho \dot{v} \tag{1}$$

b) Strain-Displacement Relation

$$\widetilde{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}} + \nabla \underline{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathrm{T}} \right)$$
⁽²⁾

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{\tilde{C}}[E] = 2\mu \tilde{E} + \lambda (tr \tilde{E})\tilde{I}$$
⁽³⁾

174 Where:

175

E: Deformation tensor. Second-order tensor formed as:

$$\widetilde{E} = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j \tag{4}$$

- 176 **Č**: Elasticity tensor. Fourth-order tensor.
- 177 *I*: Identity tensor.
- 178 **S**: Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor. Second-order tensor.

179 **<u>Vu</u>**: Deformation gradient

- 180 **<u>b</u>**: Body forces field
- 181 *p*: Density field
- 182 *i*: Acceleration field
- 183 μ, λ : Lame parameters
- 184

185 2.3 Finite Element Method

186

187 Tensegrity structures have a non-linear behavior when working under external loads, 188 because, both the stiffness of the system and the loads, are in function of displacements and 189 / or deformations, which are generally of great magnitude in such type of systems. On the 190 other hand, prestress of cable elements generates a non-linear geometric effect on the 191 system [38]. In this work, only the nonlinear geometric effects in the elastic range of the 192 cable elements will be considered.

193

Finite element method (MEF) is a numerical procedure used to find an approximate solution
of partial differential equations that allow modeling a physical system. The discrete model
associated to the mechanical behavior of a system, described in terms of the stiffness
method is [39]:

198

$$\begin{cases} \int_{V} [B]^{\mathsf{T}}[D][B]dV + \int_{V} [G]^{\mathsf{T}}[M][G]dV \\ = \int_{V} [N]^{\mathsf{T}} \begin{cases} b_{x} \\ b_{y} \\ b_{z} \end{cases} dV + \int_{V} \{\varepsilon_{0}\}^{\mathsf{T}}[D]\{\varepsilon_{0}\}dV + \begin{cases} F_{x} \\ F_{y} \\ F_{z} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
(5)

199

where [B] is the derivations shape functions matrix, [E] is the elastic constants matrix, [G] is the partial derivations shape functions matrix, [M] is the membrane forces matrix, {U} is the nodal displacement vector, [N] is the shape functions matrix, {bx by bz}^T is the body forces vector, {e₀} is the vector of residual stresses associated with temperature variation and $\{F_x F_y F_y\}^T$ is the vector of nodal external forces.

205

206 The mathematical model of equation (5) can be represented in simplified form as:

$$[K_t]{U} = \{[K] + [K_G]\}{U} = \begin{cases} W_x \\ W_y \\ W_z \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \end{cases} + \begin{cases} F_x \\ F_y \\ F_z \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $[K_t]$ is the tangent stiffness matrix, [K] is the elastic stiffness matrix, $[K_G]$ is the geometrical stiffness matrix, $\{Wx Wy Wz\}^T$ is the force vector associated to the self-weight of each element, and $\{e_x e_y e_z\}^T$ is the vector of residual forces related with temperature variations [40]–[42].

211

212 2.4 Static nonlinear analysis

The solution of the TS will be carried out applying an iterative-incremental method for
nonlinear structural analysis, called Newton-Raphson [43]. In terms of FEM, the equations
system is expressed as:

$$[K_t] \Delta \{U\}^j = \begin{cases} W_x \\ W_y \\ W_z \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \end{cases} + \begin{cases} F_x \\ F_y \\ F_z \end{cases}$$
(7)

217 where Δ represents the variations at the "j" iteration in the displacement vector {U}.

218

219 For bar elements, where only act axial effects, the stiffness matrices are structured as 220 follows:

221 where *E* is the modulus of elasticity of the material. A is the cross-sectional area of each 222 element, L is the length of the element and T is the internal membrane force, that is naturally associated with prestress of the cable elements. 223

224

225 2.5 Dynamic nonlinear analysis

226

227 Nonlinear dynamic models will be used to represent the effects of wind and the coupling of tensegrity systems with the SDLG, such as forces and displacements as a function of time. 228 229 The characteristic equation for the dynamic equilibrium problems is: 230

$$[M]{U}_{n+1}^{j} + [C]{U}_{n+1}^{j} + [K_t]{U}_{n+1}^{j} = P(t)$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

231 with P(t) defined as:

$$P(t) = \begin{cases} W_x \\ W_y \\ W_z \end{cases}_{n+1} + \begin{cases} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \varepsilon_z \end{cases}_{n+1} + \begin{cases} F_x \\ F_y \\ F_z \end{cases}_{n+1}^J$$
(11)

232 where [M] is the mass matrix, $\{\ddot{U}\}$ is the vector of acceleration, [C] is the damping matrix, $\{\ddot{U}\}$ 233 is the velocity vector. "n" represents the current incremental step and "j" represents the next incremental step [44]. 234

235

236 2.5.1 Pulse-type Excitation Function

237

238 Particularly, the force of the wind acting on the structure will be represented with a pulse-239 type excitation function, with the aim of idealizing a gust of wind that will act for an interval t240 = 4 s, and then cease. Fig. 5 shows the diagram of the proposed function to model the wind 241 gust [44].



244 245

FIG. 5. Pulse-type Excitation Function.

246 Considering the initial conditions u(0) = 0, y $\dot{u}(0) = 0$, with a value damping of 2.4%, the 247 solution for this type of excitation is:

248

$$u(t) = \frac{P_0}{k} \left[1 - e^{-\zeta \omega_n t} \left(\cos(\omega_d t) + \frac{\zeta \omega_n}{\omega_d} \operatorname{sen}(\omega_d t) \right) \right]$$
(12)

249

250 2.5.2 NEWMARK-BETA METHOD OF DIRECT INTEGRATION

251

Direct integration methods are used to solve initial value problems by a step-by-step integration with respect to time [44], [45]. It is assumed that both displacements {U} and velocities { $\mathbf{\hat{U}}$ } are known at a given time t = 0 s. The solution obtained with this method is given through an incremental approximation process.

Newmark-Beta method states that, considering the mean value theorem, the first derivativeof displacement, can be solved as:

$$\dot{u}_{n+1} = \dot{u}_n + \Delta t \ddot{u}_{\gamma} \tag{13}$$

260 where:

$$\ddot{u}_{\gamma} = (1 - \gamma)\ddot{u}_n + \gamma \ddot{u}_{n+1} \tag{14}$$

261 with 0<g<1. Thus:

$$\dot{u}_{n+1} = \dot{u}_n + \Delta t ((1 - \gamma) \ddot{u}_n + \gamma \ddot{u}_{n+1})$$
(15)

Since acceleration also varies over the time, the average value theorem will be used againto calculate the second derivative of the displacement.

264

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \Delta t \dot{u}_n + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t^2 \ddot{u}_\beta$$
(16)

with 0 < 2b < 1. In this way:

$$\ddot{u}_{\beta} = (1 - 2\beta)\ddot{u}_n + 2\beta\ddot{u}_{n+1} \tag{17}$$

For this method a value of 0.5 for g and 0.25 for b are suggested, which gives stability to the method. Which is expressed as:

$$\dot{u}_{n+1} = \dot{u}_n + \frac{\Delta t}{2} (\ddot{u}_n + \ddot{u}_{n+1})$$
(18)

270

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \Delta t \dot{u}_n + \frac{1 - 2\beta}{2} \Delta t^2 \ddot{u}_n + \beta \Delta t^2 \ddot{u}_{n+1}$$
(19)

271

272 2.5.3 BASE MOTION METHOD

When the supports of a structural system produce or transmit actions to the structure, as manner of movement (Fig. 6), it is convenient to propose equation (10), in function on the relative displacements as follows [44], [45]:

277

$$[M]{\{U\}}_{n+1}^{j} + [C]{\{U-Z\}}_{n+1}^{j} + [K_t]{\{U-Z\}}_{n+1}^{j} = P(t)$$
(20)

278



280	l	FIG. 6. Rep	resentative	system of the	base moti	on method.	
281							
282 283	Expressing <mark>Eq.</mark> results:	(20) as a	relative dis	placements W	= U – Z,	$W = U - \dot{Z}_y$	$\dot{W}=\ddot{U}-\ddot{Z},$
		e	e at			() ()	(04)

$$[M] \{ W \}_{n+1}^{j} + [C] \{ W \}_{n+1}^{j} + [K_t] \{ W \}_{n+1}^{j} = P(t) - [M] \{ Z \}_{n+1}^{j}$$
(21)

284

279

285

286

287 288

289 2.6 Methodology

290

In the first instance, non-linear static analyzes of the tensegrity system were carried out, in the software SAP2000 [46], to determine the spatial configuration and internal axial forces associated with the equilibrium of the system under gravitational effects. The boundary conditions of the support nodes are shown in table 4.

Node	Ux	Uy	Uz
1	Fixed	Fixed	Fixed
4	Fixed	Free	Fixed
6	Fixed	Free	Fixed

It is considered that the pedestrian bridge will be located in Queretaro, Mexico. For this site it is estimated a wind speed for design of 101.8 km/hr and a wind pressure of 77.83 kg/m²
[47]. The maximum average temperature in summer is 31 ° C and in winter it is 23.3 ° C; while the minimum average temperature in summer is 15°C and in winter it is 7°C [48]. Therefore, two cases of thermal variation will be analyzed, an increase of 16°C and a decrease of 16°C.

305

Both structures were analyzed with independent finite element models, applying the Mexican standards for design of pedestrian bridges [47]. Load combinations for the SDLG analysis are shown in table 5. For service and work load combinations, the coefficient ζ is equal to 1, while for design combinations it will have a value of 1.25 for CT-2 and CT-3 cases, and, equal to 1.40 for CT-5 y CT-6 cases. The value of γ is equal to 1 service load combinations. On the other hand, for design combinations, this coefficient will take a value of 1.30 for FC-2 y FC-3, and, 1.25 for FC-5 y FC-6 cases.

- 313
- 314 315

TABLE 5Load combinations for SDLG

Se	rvice and work load combinations	Design load combinations			
CT-2	ζ*(W)	FC-2	γ * (β _{CM} DL + W)		
CT-3	ζ * (DL + Sw + LL + 0.3W + WLL)	FC-3	γ * (β _{CM} DL + Sw + 1.2LL + 0.3W + WLL)		
CT-5	ζ * (DL + Sw + W + T)	FC-5	$\gamma * (\beta_{CM}DL + Sw + W + T)$		
CT-6	ζ^{*} (DL + Sw + LL + 0.3W + WLL + T)	FC-6	ν * (β _{CM} DL + 1.2LL + 0.3E + WLL + T)		

316

Nomenclature of the loads shown in table 5 is: DL = Dead load, LL = Live load, W = Wind force on the structure, WLL = Wind over the live load, and, T = Temperature. β_{CM} is equal to 1.0 for bending and pure tension elements. While, for elements working under bending and compression simultaneously, there are the following cases: $\beta_{CM} = 1.0$, for the condition of maximum axial load and minimum bending moment; $\beta_{CM} = 0.75$, for the condition of minimum axial load and maximum bending moment.

Load combinations for the TS are shown in table 6.

325 326

TABLE 6 Load combinations for the tensegrity structure

0	~	v
3	2	7

Load combination							
Comb. 1	ζ * (Sw + Press + W)						
Comb. 2.a	ζ * (Sw + Press + D16°C)						
Comb. 2.b	ζ * (Sw + Press + ⊡16°C + W)						
Comb. 3.a	ζ * (Sw + Press - ⊡16°C)						
Comb. 3.b	ζ * (Sw + Press - D16°C + W)						

328

329 Where "Sw" refers to self-weight, "Press" to the prestress in cables, and W to the wind load 330 acting over the structure. These load cases are described below:

331

- In the load comb. 1, the structure was subjected to dynamic wind forces and temperature was considered constant ($\Delta T=0^{\circ}C$). At load combinations of group 2, it was first induced a 16°C ($\Delta T=+16^{\circ}C$) increase in temperature (comb. 2.a) and subsequently, the wind forces were applied as a dynamic function (comb. 2.b). Similarly, for the load combinations of group 3, it was considered a 16°C ($\Delta T=-16^{\circ}C$) decrease in temperature (comb. 3.a), prior to the application of wind forces on the system (comb. 3.b).
- 338

Analysis of SDLG was performed based on linear static models, where loads were idealized
 as constants. On the other hand, for TS, analyses were carried out by nonlinear static and
 dynamic models (see sections 2.4 and 2.5).

342

Once the internal forces, reactions and maximum nodal displacements of each system were determined, the actions between both systems were transferred. It was identified that the TS transfers loads to the SDLG, through its support nodes, effect that was represented by the superposition principle. In contrast, at those nodes of the SDLG, which join with the base nodes of TS, there were observed differential displacements, which were modeled as a dynamic problem of base motion.

349

The load cases, load combinations and the methodology presented throughout current section, were used to compute the mathematical models of both structural systems by means of SAP2000 software [46].

354 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

355

The spatial configuration of the X-T module and the initial prestress values were obtained through the form finding process proposed by [18], which are the initial parameters to perform the nonlinear static analysis. Using the software SAP2000 [46], based on the finite element method, the results shown below were obtained.

360

361 **3.1 Static nonlinear analysis under self-weight (Sw).**

362

363 Static nonlinear analysis when only considering self-weight load case (Sw) of the X-T, 364 module gives as result the spatial configuration shown in table 7 (Fig. 7) and the axial forces 365 from table 8 and 9, in the column "Sw". 366

367 By comparing the nodal coordinates of table 7 against the resulting coordinates of the 368 search process so (see Table 2), it is observed that the higher order difference is 0.39 cm in 369 the X axis at the node 7. 370

The maximum variation of axial force for bar elements occurs in the element 1, with an increase of 47 kg, equivalent to 4.7%. In cable elements, the maximum increase occurs in element 21, with a value of 30 kg, corresponding to an increase of 22.6%.

374

375

- 376
- 377 378

TABLE 7Resulting nodal coordinates of the X-T module from a static nonlinear
analysis considering self-weight.

Node	Х	Y	Z	Node	Х	Y	Z
1	0.000	0.000	0.000	6	2.800	2.301	0.000
2	-0.004	3.801	3.799	7	2.257	-0.828	2.499
3	0.196	0.000	3.899	8	2.284	4.877	2.525
4	0.200	4.001	0.000	9	-1.302	2.200	1.998
5	1.332	2.000	5.463	10	3.610	2.200	3.146



382

385

FIG. 7. Spatial configuration of X-T module under self-weight effects.

383 **3.2 Structural response and internal forces variations of the "X-T" module,** 384 **due dynamic meteorological actions.**

To study the behavior of the X-T module under the load combinations defined in Table 6, dynamic non-linear models were performed, with the aim of determining if the structural system is stable under these working conditions.

In the first instance the effects produced in some representative elements of the system are described below. For this, the axial force time-history graphs of bar 3 (Figs. 8 and 11), cable 18 (Figs. 9 and 12) and cable 19 (Figs. 10 and 13) are presented, in addition to the columns of load combination groups 1, 2 and 3, at tables 8 and 9. The initial value of the axial force of the time history records corresponds to the axial force resulting from static nonlinear analysis from section 3.1. From t = 0 s to t = 2 s, the system is in equilibrium; from t = 2 s to t = 6 s, is the excitation period; and t = 6 s onwards is the free vibration period (see Fig. 5).

397

The results from combination 1, correspond to the effects of self-weight, prestressing and wind action. It is observed that, during the excitation period, the axial force on bar 3 (Fig. 8) increases up to 2450 kg, when the wind acts in the X direction. In the free vibration period, residual oscillations of axial force are observed, in a range of +/- 100 kg, which are the product of the internal equilibrium processes of the tensegrity system, and show a decreasing trend over time.

404

Similar behavior is observed for cables 18 and 19, since, during the excitation period, the axial force increases to 1194 kg (Fig. 9) and 1109 kg (Fig. 10), respectively. However, it is observed that, in the cable 19, when the wind acts the negative X direction (Xn), the axial force is reduced to 0 kg. Subsequently, in the period of free vibration, it is observed that when the external effects culminate, the system has the ability for each element to recover the axial force in equilibrium. For both elements, observed oscillations shown a decreasing tendency of axial force, from +/- 50 kg and +/- 70 kg, to 0 kg, respectively.



In the load combinations 2.a and 3.a, the effects of self-weight, prestress and thermal variation are related. Overall, with the exception of cables 26 and 27, it was recorded that,

- 424 due to an increase in temperature, the axial force of the elements increases, because of 425 volumetric expansion. In contrast, when temperature decreases, the axial force is reduced, 426 given the contraction that is caused in the structural elements. For cables 26 and 27, an 427 inverse behavior is observed to that described previously, since, under an increase in 428 temperature, the tension of cables 26 and 27 decreases, whereas, when a temperature 429 decrease occurs, their axial force increases.
- 430

The results generated by combining the thermal variations together with the wind action, the
effects of the own weight and the prestressing (combos 2.b and 3.b) are presented below.

- For bar 3 (Fig. 11) corresponding to the load combination 2.b, it is observed that the axial force increases to 2422 kg, whereas, for the load combo 3.b, compression on bar 3 reaches a value of 2357 kg. In the free vibration period, it is observed that the oscillations of axial force are reduced to a range of 5 kg, for combination 2.b, and to 15 kg for the case 3.b, which decreases with time.
- 439

For cables 18 and 19, in the load combination 2.b, there are increases of the tensile forces up to 1163 kg and 1060 kg. While, in the load combo 3.b, axial forces of 1205 kg (Fig. 12) and 1119 kg (Fig. 13) are reached, respectively. Within the load combo 2.b, the oscillations of axial forces are reduced to a range of 5 kg for both elements; while in the case 3.b, the range of oscillations is reduced to 20 kg. In both load combinations, the tendency of oscillations is decreasing.

446

447 The behavior described previously, can be generalized for most of the components of the 448 assembly, and the axial forces acting on each element are shown in tables 8 and 9, in the 449 columns for load combinations groups 2 and 3. From these results, it is highlighted that the 450 maximum axial force to which each element is subjected, is caused by a specific wind 451 direction, which will be named dominant wind direction (DWD). In addition, a temperature 452 increase (combo 2.a) can produce a rise in axial forces up to 737 kg in the bar-type 453 elements, and 398 kg in the cable elements; and the decrease in temperature (combo 3.a) 454 produces variations of -627 kg in the bars and -356 kg in the cables. The inclusion of thermal 455 variations together with the action of the wind produces variations of up to 851 kg in the 456 cables and 1618 kg in the bars for the load combination 2.b. In the combination 3.b, the 457 maximum variation is 1553 kg in the bar-type elements and 913 kg in the cables.





FIG. 13. Time-history record of axial force for cable 19, load <mark>combinations</mark> groups 2 and 3.

 TABLE 8
 Maximum axial compression forces of bar elements for self-weight analysis and for the load combination groups 1, 2 and 3.

		Load comb. 1 (DT=0°C)		Load o	comb. gro T=+16°C)	oup 2)	Load comb. group 3 (DT=- 16°C)			
	Sw.	Wind effects		Thermal effects	Thermal + Wind effects		ThermalThermal + Wieffectseffects		l + Wind ects	
Bar	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	DWD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	DWD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	DWD	
1	1047	1369	Yn	1663	2002	Yn	423	1263	Х	
2	834	1044	Y	1337	1560	Y	317	969	Х	
3	804	2450	Х	1541	2422	Х	177	2357	Х	
4	639	1022	Xn	1164	1543	Xn	152	875	Xn	
5	418	1091	Х	916	1110	Xn	123	1101	Х	

TABLE 9.Maximum axial tension forces of cable elements for self-weight analysisand for the load combination groups 1, 2 and 3.

	L		Load comb. 1 (DT=0°C)		comb. gro T=+16°C	oup 2)	Load comb. group 3 (DT=- 16°C)		
Sw.		Wind effects		Thermal effects	Thermal + Wind effects		Thermal effects Wind effect		effects
Cable	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	DWD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	DWD
6	472	662	Y	782	963	Yn	116	354	Y

	_	-	-	-		-	_		
7	501	675	Х	747	937	Y	191	640	Х
8	458	624	Xn	588	774	Xn	211	359	Xn
9	505	677	Х	771	921	Х	183	652	Х
10	263	594	Х	445	620	Х	54	544	Х
11	377	653	Х	697	804	Xn	67	581	Х
12	371	629	Х	677	779	Xn	64	563	Х
13	280	618	Х	470	639	Х	52	544	Х
14	298	566	Х	566	680	Yn	96	600	Х
15	414	868	Х	812	950	Yn	141	896	Х
16	121	500	Х	346	489	Y	25	502	Х
17	71	365	Х	346	489	Y	25	502	Х
18	292	1194	Х	676	1143	Х	77	1205	Х
19	221	1109	Х	552	1060	Х	35	1119	Х
20	164	557	Xn	309	689	Xn	61	547	Xn
21	182	585	Xn	347	734	Xn	67	574	Xn
22	75	282	Xn	152	348	Xn	29	283	Xn
23	94	327	Xn	192	411	Xn	36	326	Xn
24	149	631	Х	336	614	Х	35	640	Х
25	115	508	Х	268	485	Х	22	505	Х
26	96	253	Y	6	181	Y	175	347	Y
27	107	201	Yn	2	200	Yn	199	261	Xn

On the other hand, the registered nodal displacements from the dynamic analyzes are shown in Table 10. It is observed that the greatest displacements occur in the load combination 3.b, with a magnitude of 6.74 cm, at the free node 7, and of -0.34 cm for the base node 4.

483 484

485

TABLE 10. Maximum nodal displacements for the load combinations 1, 2.b and 3.b.

	C	ase 1 (Wind ((DT=0°) effects	C)	Case 2.b (DT=+16°C) Thermal + Wind effects				Case 3.b (DT=-16°C) Thermal + Wind effects			
Node	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD
2	3.23	-0.19	0.19	Х	1.25	0.17	0.22	Х	5.57	-0.53	0.24	Х
3	3.29	-0.13	-0.14	Х	1.18	0.08	0.15	Х	5.74	-0.19	-0.35	Х
4	-	-0.05	-	Yn	-	0.18	-	Y	-	-0.34	-	Yn
5	2.68	-0.3	0.63	Х	1.07	0.2	0.43	Х	4.68	-1.07	0.93	Х
6	-	-0.07	-	Yn	-	0.13	-	Xn	-	-0.26	-	Yn
7	3.92	-0.16	0.76	Х	-0.43	-0.21	0.21	Х	6.74	-0.49	1.25	Х
8	3.66	-0.16	1	Х	1.44	0.27	0.49	Х	6.23	-0.77	1.62	Х
9	1.59	-0.08	1.19	Х	0.59	0.1	0.50	Х	2.75	-0.19	1.96	Х
10	1.93	-1.35	-0.4	Х	0.88	-0.38	0.14	Х	3.17	-2.46	-0.82	Х

486

487 Since node 7 has the largest displacements in the system, the time-history records 488 generated from this node will be analyzed for the load combinations studied. From the time489 history record of combo 1, it is observed that the greatest displacements occur during the 490 excitation period in the X direction, up to 3.92 cm (Fig. 14); while, in the free vibration period, 491 the node oscillates in a range of 0.1 cm, with a decreasing tendency around the equilibrium 492 position. For the load combo 2.b, the displacement of the node is reduced to 0.43 cm, with 493 oscillations around the equilibrium position of 0.1 cm. Whereas, the maximum recorded 494 displacement occurs in the load combo 3.b, with a magnitude of 6.74 cm, where the vibrations reach a distance of 1 cm, and subsequently tend to decrease. The free nodes and 495 496 the remaining support nodes, presents an analogous behavior, with minor displacements 497 and vibrations (Fig. 15). 498

Displacements of node 7 in the X direction.





FIG. 14. Time-history record for displacements of node 7 in the X direction, load combination 1.





FIG. 15. Time-history record for displacements of node 7 in the X direction, load combination groups 2 and 3.

507 **3.3 Spatial double layer grid behavior.**

509 Superstructure of the pedestrian bridge (SDLG) was modeled as a pin-jointed spatial system 510 (see section 2.1) considering the loading conditions described in table 5, and, idealizing its 511 behavior as a linear static system. Given these characteristics, the proposed system 512 presents the modal behavior of table 11.

513

508

514

515

Mode	Frequency (Hz)	Period (s)
1	5.49	0.182
2	8.81	0.113
3	11.21	0.089
4	13.64	0.073
5	17.30	0.058
6	20.71	0.048
11	33.49	0.030

TABLE 11 SDLG modal behavior

516

Mode 1 presents a frequency of 5.49 Hz, and a period of 0.182 s, corresponding to the horizontal direction X. Mode 2 has a frequency of 8.81 Hz and a period of 0.113 s, relative to the vertical direction Z, while the mode 11, with a frequency of 33.49 Hz and a period of 0.030 s, is associated with the horizontal direction Y. ^{AASHTO} [49] establishes that pedestrian bridges should be designed with a fundamental frequency in the vertical direction greater than 3 Hz, and in the horizontal direction, the frequency must be higher than 1.3 Hz. Thus, structural system is less likely to exhibit resonance effects and it is provided comfort to pedestrian users.

525

526 Displacements of the SDLG, for each combination of service loads, are shown in table 12. 527 According to AASHTO (40)), vertical displacements must not exceed L/360, equivalent to 528 6.11 cm in the analyzed bridge, while, horizontal displacements should be less that L/220, 529 corresponding to 10 cm. The SDLG presents a maximum vertical displacement of -2.34 cm 530 at the clear span (Fig. 16), whereas, in the horizontal direction, the maximum displacement 531 is -0.64 cm. These values are within the permissible limits by service conditions.

532 533

534

TABLE 12 SDLG maximum displacements

Service load case	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)
2	-0.24	-0.24	0.18
3	-0.61	-0.62	-2.17
5 (DT = 0°C)	-0.28	-0.28	-0.93
5 (DT = 16°C)	-0.29	-0.31	-0.77
5 (DT = -16°C)	-0.29	-0.31	-1.10
6 (DT = 0°C)	-0.61	-0.62	-2.17
6 (DT = 16°C)	-0.62	-0.64	-2.00
6 (DT = -16°C)	-0.60	-0.64	-2.34

FIG. 16. SDLG vertical displacements (vertical scale 1:10).

Table 13 shows the maximum internal forces of the SDLG. Due to the boundary conditions
of pin-jointed systems, axial forces are predominant in the structure. It is observed that the
existence of components associated with shear forces and bending moments is caused by
the application of wind forces on the structure, however, its magnitude is low.

545 546

537 538

Type of	Axial force	Shear force (Ton)		Flex moment	ural (Ton-m)	Location	Load case
element	(Ton)	Y	Z	Y	Z		
Top obord	26.40	0.030	0.01	0.030	0.014	Extremes	6, ∆T= -16°C
rop chora	-19.20	-0.03	-0.01	-0.030	-0.014	Span center	6, ∆T= +16°C
Diagonal	13.28	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.010	Extremes	5, ∆T= -16°C
Diagonal	-15.81	-0.04	-0.01	-0.039	-0.01	Extremes	6, ∆T= +16°C
Bottom	33.66	0.034	0.01	0.034	0.012	Span center	6, ∆T= -16°C
chord	-42.95	-0.03	-0.01	-0.034	-0.012	Extremes	6, ∆T= +16°C

547 548

549

3.4 COUPLING OF TENSEGRITY MODULES WITH THE SDLG

In order to analyze the overall behavior of the superstructure, integrated by the SDLG and five X-T tensegrity modules, it is proposed to model the interaction of these systems, with the methodology mentioned in section 2.6, what is called in this work as system coupling. The coupling of systems consists in transmitting from one system to another, and vice versa, the mechanical effects resulting from sections 3.1 to 3.3, considering the boundary conditions defined for each structure.

556

557 On the one hand, reactions of the base nodes of the tensegrity system (see table 14), are 558 transmitted as point forces to the receiving nodes of the SDLG, in accordance to the configuration shown in Fig. 2. These forces are considered as DL, applying the load 559 combinations from table 5. The results obtained by including the effects of the TS on the 560 SDLG, show increases in the magnitude of the displacements of the system, since, in the 561 horizontal direction, a displacement of -0.78 cm was registered, while in the vertical direction 562 displacement reach a value of - 2.47 cm. However, the magnitude of these displacements 563 564 does not suggest a radical change in the behavior of the SDLG, since the maximum increase is 0.13 cm in the Z direction. 565

566

567 568

TABLE 14 Maximum reactions at the base nodes of X-T module.

Node	Fx (kg)	Fy (kg)	Fz (kg)
1	422	645	460
4	369	0	490
6	992	0	690

570 Table 15 shows the maximum increments of axial forces produced by the tensegrity systems 571 in the SDLG. In the first instance, it is observed that an increase of 16°C in temperature can 572 produce an increment up to 1180 kg (4%) in the axial force of the elements of the top chord 573 of the SDLG. In addition, the action of the wind in the Y direction on the X-T modules, together with an increase in temperature, induces a rise of 360 kg (2%) in the diagonal 574 members. Similarly, when integrating the wind action in the X direction with an increase or 575 decrease in temperature, applied in the XT modules, axial force of the bottom chord 576 577 elements is amplified to 950 kg (2%). Percent variations, belongs to the comparison against 578 the results from table 13.

- 579
- 580
- 581

TABLE 15 SDLG maximum internal forces due coupling tensegrity systems

Type of element	Axial force (Ton)	Location	Load combination	Load case
Top abord	27.58	Extremes	CT-6	∆T= 16°C
гор спога	-19.52	Span center	CT-6	∆T= 16°C + WY
Diagonal	13.28	Extremes	CT-5	∆T= -16°C
	-16.17	Extremes	CT-6	∆T= 16°C + WYn
Bottom chord	34.39	Span center	CT-6	ΔT = -16°C + WX
	-43.90	Extremes	CT-6	∆T= 16°C + WX

582

583 On the other hand, the effects that the SDLG produces in the X-T modules are 584 displacements of the support nodes 1, 4 and 6, which are shown in table 16. The largest 585 displacement in the X direction is 0.514 cm, in the Y direction is 0.361 cm, and, in the Z 586 direction it is -1.898 cm. This behavior is homogeneous in the SDLG system and with a 587 similar magnitude in all load service combinations.

588

589 590

TABLE 16 Maximum displacements on the base nodes of the X-T module.

Node	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)
1	0.514	0.092	-1.898
4	0.137	0.361	-0.883
6	-0.464	-0.147	-0.504

591

592 By including these displacements in the support nodes of the X-T module, additional forces 593 are induced in the system, which are distributed to each of the elements. To analyze how the 594 behavior of the X-T module is modified, a comparison between the axial forces obtained in 595 sections 3.1 and 3.2 against the values resulting from the coupling of the systems is 596 presented.

597 598 When evaluating the behavior of the X-T module by only considering self-weight effects and 599 the coupling of the systems, the force distribution shown in the Sw column of tables 17 and 600 18 is presented. It is noted that the compression acting on the bar-type elements (table 17), 601 differs in a range from -4 to 0%, where the maximum decrement is 31 kg in bar 1. Regarding 602 the type elements cable (table 18), it is seen that, in the cables 7 to 25, the difference of axial forces on average is -1%, where the maximum variation is 19 kg (-4%) on cable 9. 603 604 Cable 6 has an increase of 10%, while in the cables 26 and 27, there is a decrease of -98% 605 and -100%, respectively. This indicates that cables 26 and 27 will enter a state of inactivity 606 (slack) during the periods in which the SDLG is deformed up to the values in table 16.

608 When considering the effects of wind from load combination 1, over the X-T module, in 609 conjunction with the displacements of the support nodes caused by the coupling with the 610 SDLG, the axial force distribution shown in column case 1 of tables 17 and 18 is presented. From this analysis, variations from -1 to 0% in the compression received by the bar elements 611 612 are observed (table 17). In addition, the dominant wind direction that governs the behavior of each element is preserved. In the cable type elements (table 18), differences from -3% to 613 614 5% in axial force are presented due to the coupling of the systems; with the exception of 615 cable 26, where the variation is -29%. Cable 7 is the only element that shows a change in 616 the dominant wind direction.

617

618

619

620 621 TABLE 17Maximum axial compression forces of bar elements for self-weightanalysis for the load combination groups 1, 2 and 3, due coupling X-T modules withSDLG.

	Load comb. 1 (DT=0°C)			Load ([Ľ	comb. gro T=+16°C)	oup 2)	Load comb. group 3 (DT=-16°C)		
	5w.	Wind e	effects	ThermalThermal + Windeffectseffects		Thermal effects	Thermal effe	l + Wind ects	
Bar	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD
1	1024	1367	Yn	1678	2141	Xn	497	1328	Х
2	806	1030	Y	1669	1883	Y	397	1034	Х
3	773	2439	Х	2220	2736	Х	366	2240	Х
4	639	1008	Xn	1412	1780	Xn	209	866	Y
5	417	1080	Х	1595	1782	Xn	365	1197	Х

622

The differences in axial forces in the X-T module, once both systems are coupled, and by considering a 16°C increase in temperature, are shown in the column Case 2, thermal effects, in Tables 17 and 18. For these load requirements, it can be observed that bar elements have higher order differences in the coupled case. Bar 3 is the most stressed element in the group, working under an axial force of 2,220 kg, equivalent to an increase of 679 kg.

629

In the cable elements (table 18), increases in axial force are also exhibited. In cable 15 there is an increase of 473 kg (58%), which causes a total load of 1285 kg. In elements 18 and 19, the tension force increases 559 kg (83%) and 502 kg (91%), so these elements are subjected to a force of 1,235 and 1,054 kg, respectively. In contrast, for cables 6, 7 and 10, considerable differences are not identified, since the percentage increase in these elements ranges from -5 to 7%.

- 636
- 637
- 638
- 639 640
- 641
- 642

643

644 645

645TABLE 18Maximum axial tension forces of cable elements for self-weight analysis646for the load combination groups 1, 2 and 3, due coupling X-T modules with SDLG.

		Load comb. 1 (DT=0°C)		Load o	comb. gro T=+16°C)	oup 2)	Load com	nb. group 16°C)	3 (DT=-
	Sw.	Wind	effects	ects Thermal Therma effects effe		l + Wind ects	Thermal effects	Wind e	effects
Cable	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD	Axial Force (kg)	Axial Force (kg)	WDD
6	517	698	Y	837	1018	Y	209	275	Х
7	486	662	Yn	775	980	Yn	165	664	Х
8	445	608	Xn	448	658	Yn	126	303	Xn
9	486	659	Х	845	979	Х	176	672	Х
10	262	597	Х	422	587	Х	14	386	Х
11	377	654	Х	813	911	Xn	73	423	Х
12	369	631	Х	779	868	Xn	65	399	Х
13	279	620	Х	420	594	Х	2	374	Х
14	292	560	Х	861	935	Xn	192	620	Х
15	410	859	Х	1285	1407	Xn	299	949	Х
16	124	496	Х	723	865	Y	165	551	Х
17	71	362	Х	543	649	Xn	114	405	Х
18	290	1184	Х	1235	1444	Х	276	1303	Х
19	216	1098	Х	1054	1327	Х	217	1213	Х
20	164	555	Xn	468	847	Xn	113	539	Xn
21	182	583	Xn	533	919	Xn	128	568	Xn
22	76	281	Xn	260	456	Xn	67	281	Xn
23	95	327	Xn	325	544	Xn	84	323	Xn
24	145	626	Х	595	748	Х	125	672	Х
25	112	502	Х	479	597	Х	96	535	Х
26	4	181	Y	4	181	Y	4	33	Х
27	0	200	Yn	0	201	Yn	0	86	Yn

By integrating the temperature increases with the action of the wind, in the coupled system, the results of the case 2 column, Thermal + Wind effects, were obtained. Regarding the barelements, the bar 5 shows an increase of 672 kg (61%), working under a compression of 1,782 kg. However, the most stressed element is bar 3, where an axial force of 2,736 kg acts, which is 314 kg (13%) greater than that obtained before coupling the systems. Additionally, in bar 1, there is a change in the dominant wind direction of the element.

655

These loading conditions cause an equilibrium state where the largest increase occurring in the cable 15, since the tension increases 457 kg. Cable 18 undergo to the maximum tension forces for this load case as it works to a force of 1,444 kg. Elements 6, 7, 8, 14, 15 and 17 experience changes in the dominant wind direction that causes the maximum force in these elements.

661

662 Moreover, by inducing a 16°C decrease in temperature, once the X-T module is coupled with 663 the SDLG, the force distributions of the case 3 column, Thermal effects, are generated. The axial force of the bar elements is less than that generated by an increase in temperature
(case 2). However, when compared against the forces before coupling, notable differences
are perceived, since forces acting on these elements range from 365 kg to 497 kg. The
increase of this magnitude implies percentage variations from 17 to 197%.

668

Regarding cable type elements, two main tendencies are observed. In the cables 7 to 10, 13, 26 and 27, the axial force is less than the values obtained without coupling systems. In elements 26 and 27 it is observed that they enter a period of inactivity, since the force decreases to 4 kg and 0 kg. The remaining cables have higher values compared to the point of comparison, where the largest increase is 200 kg in cable 18.

674

The inclusion of the effects of the wind with temperature decreases in the coupling of the X-T module produces the state of equilibrium of forces described in the case column 3, Thermal + Wind effects of tables 17 and 18. For bar-like elements, it is observed that the differences in axial forces, originated when considering the effects of the coupling, are less than 117 kg, equivalent to -5% for bar 3. In this load condition, the dominant wind direction of bar 4 is modified.

For cable type elements, it was identified that the difference of greatest consideration occurs in cable 26, where the axial force decreases 314 kg (-90%). Cables 18 and 19 are the only elements where occur increases in the axial force, with a magnitude of 98 kg and 94 kg. In the remaining elements, axial force variations are from an order of +/- 50 kg. In cables 6, 26 and 27, modifications in the dominant wind direction were identified.

In addition to the registered axial force variations in the components of the X-T module,
differences related to the direction and magnitude of the nodal displacements are identified.
Table 19 shows the displacements of each node, resulting from the coupling of the X-T
module and the wind effects from load combinations 1, 2.b and 3.b.

692 693

694 695

	С	ase 1 ((D T=0 °	C)	Case 2.b (DT=+16°C)				Case 3.b (DT=-16°C)				
		Wind	effects		Ther	mal + V	Vind ef	fects	Ther	Thermal + Wind effects			
Node	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD	DX (cm)	DY (cm)	DZ (cm)	DWD	
2	3.1	-0.2	0.18	Х	-0.75	0.06	-0.07	Xn	4.32	-0.35	0.3	Х	
3	3.17	-0.13	-0.13	Х	-0.76	-0.08	0.02	Xn	4.35	-0.1	-0.13	Х	
4	-	-0.4	-	Yn	-	-0.05	-	Yn	-	-0.1	-	Yn	
5	2.56	-0.29	0.61	Х	-0.77	-0.18	0.1	Х	3.67	-0.38	0.9	Х	
6	-	-1.47	-	Xn	-	-2.12	-	Xn	-	-2.11	-	Yn	
7	3.74	-0.78	0.87	Х	0.45	-1.12	1.26	Xn	5.08	-1.29	1.21	Х	
8	3.51	-0.78	0.97	Х	-0.81	-1.12	-1.17	Xn	4.8	-1.29	1.29	Х	
9	1.51	-0.08	1.14	Х	-0.43	0.02	-0.29	Xn	2.09	-0.06	1.53	Х	
10	1.84	-1.3	-0.38	Х	-0.54	-0.74	0.14	Xn	2.54	1.77	-0.56	Х	

TABLE 19Maximum nodal displacements for the load combinations 1, 2b and 3b,
due coupling of systems.

696

In the load combination 1, it is highlighted a displacement decrease in the X direction, with a value of -0.13 cm. In the Y and Z directions it is noted a slight increase in the magnitude of the displacements, equal to 0.62 cm and 0.11 cm, respectively. Furthermore, a change occurs in the wind direction that produces the largest displacements.

The nodal movements produced by the union of the systems, associated to the load combination 2.b, report displacement differences of -0.33 cm. For the free nodes, increases of up to 0.91 cm in the Y direction, and, 1.05 cm for the Z direction, are distinguished. In this group of nodes (with the exception of node 6), changes in the dominant wind direction occur.

- From the results corresponding to the coupling of systems with the loading conditions of case 3.b, it is observed that, due to the distribution of forces that occur in the system under these conditions, leads to the reduction of displacements of - 1.15 cm on average. In node 7 the displacements are reduced to -1.66 cm. Unlike the previous cases, the dominant wind directions that produce maximum displacements are not altered.
- 712

713 In particular, the displacements of the support nodes 1, 4 and 6 were evaluated, since they 714 exhibit a different behavior from that of the free nodes. Both node 4 and node 6, have 715 freedom of movement in the Y direction, therefore, in load combination 1, there are 716 increases of 0.35 cm and 1.40 cm, respectively. For the load combination 2.b, the magnitude 717 of the displacement of node 4 is decreased by -0.13 cm. However, node 6, the maximum 718 variation of 1.99 cm is presented, which implies a displacement of 2.12 cm. Similarly, at the 719 combination 3.b, in node 4 there is a decrease of -0.24 cm, and node 6 shows an increase of 720 1.85 cm. 721

4. DISCUTION

722 723

From this work, it is highlighted as a discussion that the results obtained show congruence and extend what was reported by the research of Ashwear and Eriksson [13], and with those of Lazzari *et al.* [5].

727

The research of Ashwear and Eriksson [13], is oriented in to the study of 2D tensegrity systems under temperature variations, associated with temperature decreases of 45°C and increments of 26°C. It is reported that, according to the boundary conditions of the support nodes, and, the relationship between the coefficient of thermal expansion of the bars with that of the cables, the behavior of the assembly can be described by one of the categories shown in table 20.

734

735 736

TABLE 20 Structural behavior of 2D tensegrity systems under environment temperature variations (adapted from Ashwear and Eriksson [13]).

737

Thermal Expansion	Bou	Boundary conditions of bar and cable elements' nodes							
coefficient relations	Fixed - Free	Fixed - Fixed	Fixed – Fixed (Supports)						
a b = a c		No va	riation						
ab c ac	Temp. increase \rightarrow	Axial force reduces	Temp. increase \rightarrow Axial force rises						
aD < aC	Temp. decrease -	Axial force rises							
a b > a c	Temp. increase -	Axial force rises	Temp. decrease \rightarrow Axial force reduces						
	Temp. decrease →	Axial force reduces							

738

Considering the boundary conditions of the X-T module, which has one articulated support (fixed to movement) node and two other supports with freedom of movement only in the Y direction; in addition, to a relationship of thermal expansion coefficients expressed as $\alpha b >$ 742 αc , it can be observed that behavior of the X-T module matches with one the categories 743 from table 20. However, it is noted that when performing analysis of a 3D tensegrity system, 744 additional features are identified to those reported by Ashwear and Eriksson [13][13].

Although, the overall behavior of the structural system is acts accordance with previously described work, it is observed that, at an increase in temperature, the axial force of some elements may decrease, while, under a decrease in temperature, the axial force of certain elements increases. This phenomenon occurs, due to the fact that the spatial position of the X-T module, under the thermal variations studied, implies that the nodes that define elements 26 and 27 approach or move away, which causes increases or decreases in axial force.

753

In the research of Lazzari *et al.* [5] quasi-static analyzes of the effects of wind on the roof of the La Plata stadium were performed. The wind was considered as random points for a time of 40 s, representing the stochastic nature of the wind, with a logarithmic behavior. From their results, it is emphasized that by using this methodology it was feasible to identify the maximum nodal displacements and the highest stresses for bars and cables. In addition, it was identified that on some cable elements the tensile forces are reduced to a null value, when wind acts in a specific direction.

761

This behavior is consistent with the results obtained in this investigation, since, due to the conditions and the asymmetry of the assembly, each element is governed by a specific wind direction. The advantage of using dynamic models is that they allow to evaluate the behavior of the system when is loaded and in the free vibration period, which is used to determine, in a simple way, the stability of the assembly.

767

The most drastic effects implied by the coupling of the five X-T modules with the SDLG, are the increases in node displacements and in the axial forces of the structural elements. It was recorded a movement of 2.12 cm for node 6, which must be considered when designing the base node connection devices. Additionally, compression force in bar 3 rises up to 2,736 kg, while, tension in cable 18 reaches a value of 1,444 kg. These axial forces determine the cross-section of each type of elements.

774

175 It is important to highlight the following discussions about the proposed methodology for the coupling of the systems. SDLG is a system that presents a linear behavior within the elastic range. Therefore, it is feasible to use the principle of superposition, to transmit the loads generated by the tensegrity systems. This allowed to calculate the displacements and the forces developed in the SDLG.

780

781 However, for the X-T module, although its components remain within the elastic range, the system is intrinsically non-linear and manifests large displacements, so that the principle of 782 783 effect superposition is not suitable for modeling the coupling. Therefore, the proposed 784 method to determine with greater approximation, the axial forces and the nodal movements, 785 which occur in the X-T module, due to the coupling, was through non-linear dynamic models, 786 representing the maximum displacements of the SDLG, as a base movement dynamic 787 problem. The limitation of implementing these methods is that the modal behavior of the 788 complete assembly is unknown.

789 790

5. CONCLUSIONS

791

By means of non-linear static analyses, it was feasible to define the boundary conditions for the base node of the X-T module, which allows to couple the TS with the SDLG. Restricting the degrees of freedom in the vertical direction (Z direction) and in the transverse direction (X direction) reduces the displacements of the support nodes of the X-T module, thereby preserving the internal area designated for the pedestrian crossing. In addition, it allows the system to distribute the internal forces evenly and the assembly to continue working

- according to the mechanical principles of the tensegrity structures, that is, that the bar-likeelements work only under compression and the cables under tensile forces.
- 800

Through static analyzes of the SDLG, and non-linear dynamic analyses of TS, the internal forces and the structural response were obtained, generated by the integration of wind effects and variations of temperature in each system.

804

The methodology used to develop the coupling of the tensegrity modules with the superstructure of the pedestrian bridge, allowed to determine the effects caused by the interaction of both systems. As well as maximum displacements and internal forces in each system. Through this methodology, the characteristics necessary to generate the connection devices were defined, according to the idealizations made in the finite element models. Through this methodology the necessary conditions to generate the connection devices were defined, according to the idealizations made in the finite element models.

812

From the non-linear dynamic analysis performed for the X-T module, it is denoted the capacity of this system to return to its initial equilibrium state, once the excitation period is over. The ability of the X-T module to return to the initial equilibrium state is highlighted, once the excitation period is over. This fact allows to define that the generated tensegrity system shows a stable behavior under the proposed working conditions.

818

819 When determining the maximum axial force in each member of the module, the geometric 820 cross sections were defined, which ensure a behavior in the elastic range of each element, 821 and thus avoid exceeding the critical load that would cause instability in the system, as 822 effects buckling in the bar elements; while, yielding and rupture are avoided in cables. 823

824 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

825

Authors acknowledge the support of the Autonomous University of Queretaro (UAQ), as well
 The Mexican Council of Science & Technology (CONACYT).

828 829

832

830 COMPETING INTERESTS

831 Authors declare that no competing interests exist.

833 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

836 837

838 **REFERENCES**

- 839
- 840 [1] V. Jáuregui Gómez, "Tensegrity Structures and their Application to Architecture," pp.
 841 1–239, 2004.
- N. Bel Hadj Ali, L. Rhode-Barbarigos, A. A. Pascual Albi, and I. F. C. Smith, "Design optimization and dynamic analysis of a tensegrity-based footbridge," *Eng. Struct.*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 3650–3659, 2010.
- 845 [3] J. De Boeck, "Tensegrity bridges," Delft. University of Technology, 2013.
- 846 [4] R. Motro, V. Raducanu, and R. B. Fuller, "Tensegrity Systems," vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 77–84, 2003.
- 848 [5] M. Lazzari, R. V. Vitaliani, M. Majowiecki, and A. V. Saetta, "Dynamic behavior of a tensegrity system subjected to follower wind loading," *Comput. Struct.*, vol. 81, no. 22–23, pp. 2199–2217, 2003.

851	[6]	Australian Steel Institute, "Kurilpa Bridge, Brisbane Structural Engineering Award
853	[7]	S Korkmaz N B H Ali and L F C. Smith "Self-repair of a tensegrity pedestrian
854	[']	bridge through grouped actuation." Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Civ. Build. Eng.
855		Nottingham. UK. no. 1987. p. 449. 2010.
856	[8]	LH. Chen et al., "Soft Spherical Tensegrity Robot Design Using Rod-Centered
857		Actuation and Control," J. Mech. Robot., vol. 9, no. 2, 2017.
858	[9]	H. C. Tran and J. Lee, "Geometric and material nonlinear analysis of tensegrity
859		structures," Acta Mech. Sin. Xuebao, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 938–949, 2011.
860	[10]	H. Murakami, "Static and dynamic analyses of tensegrity structures. Part 1. Nonlinear
861		equations of motion," Int. J. Solids Struct., vol. 38, no. 20, pp. 3599–3613, 2001.
862	[11]	H. Murakami, "Static and dynamic analyses of tensegrity structures. Part II. Quasi-
863	[40]	static analysis," Int. J. Solids Struct., vol. 38, no. 20, pp. 3615–3629, 2001.
864	[12]	C. J. Lu, X. D. Wang, and S. N. Lu, "Wind-Induced Dynamic Analysis of the Flat
805		Tensegrity Structures in Time Domain, Appl. Mech. Mater., Vol. 166–169, pp. 140–
800 867	[12]	143, 2012. N. Ashwaar and A. Erikeson "Influence of temperature on the vibration properties of
868	[13]	tensegrity structures " Int. I. Mech. Sci. vol. 99 pp. 237–250, 2015
869	[14]	7 Zhang S. Dong and X. Eu "Structural Design of a Spherical Cable Dome With
870	[14]	Stiff Roof "Int. J. Sp. Struct. vol. 22 no. 3 pp. 45–56, 2007
871	[15]	L. Rhode-Barbarigos, N. B. Hadi Ali, R. Motro, and I. F. C. Smith. "Tensegrity
872	[]	modules for pedestrian bridges," <i>Eng. Struct.</i> , vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1158–1167, 2010.
873	[16]	A. G. Tibert and S. Pellegrino, "Review of Form-Finding Methods for Tensegrity
874		Structures," Int. J. Sp. Struct., vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 241–255, 2011.
875	[17]	G. Gomez Estrada, "Analytical and numerical investigations of form-finding methods
876		for tensegrity structures," p. 152, 2007.
877	[18]	X. Yuan, L. Chen, and S. Dong, "Prestress design of cable domes with new forms,"
878		Int. J. Solids Struct., vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 2773–2782, 2007.
879	[19]	L. A. Ochoa Peralta and P. F. Orellana Ochoa, "Tensegriedad como sistema
880	1001	estructural alternativo aplicado a cubiertas.," Universidad de Cuenca, 2017.
881	[20]	J. I. Cobos, "Tensegridad como sistema estructural alternativo aplicado a puentes
882	[04]	peatonales," UNIVERSIDAD DE CUENCA, 2018.
000 884	[21]	R. Connelly, Globally Rigid Synthetic Tensegniles Tensegniles Syntelliques
004 885	[22]	R Connelly and W Whiteley "Second-Order Rigidity and Prestress Stability for
886	[حح]	Tensegrity Frameworks " SIAM I Discret Math vol 9 no 3 no 453-491 1996
887	[23]	H Deng and A S K Kwan "Unified classification of stability of pin-jointed bar
888	[20]	assemblies." Int. J. Solids Struct., vol. 42, no. 15, pp. 4393–4413, 2005.
889	[24]	J. Y. Zhang and M. Ohsaki, "Stability conditions for tensegrity structures," Int. J.
890		Solids Struct., vol. 44, no. 11–12, pp. 3875–3886, 2007.
891	[25]	K. A. Lazopoulos, "Stability of an elastic tensegrity structure," Acta Mech., vol. 179,
892		no. 1–2, pp. 1–10, 2005.
893	[26]	A. Amendola, G. Carpentieri, M. de Oliveira, R. E. Skelton, and F. Fraternali,
894		"Experimental investigation of the softening-stiffening response of tensegrity prisms
895		under compressive loading," Compos. Struct., 2014.
896	[27]	L. Zhang, C. Zhang, X. Feng, and H. Gao, "Snapping instability in prismatic
897		tensegrities under torsion," Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed., Vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 275–
898	1001	288, 2016. M. Atia, M. H. El Quai, and N. Dan Kabla, "Dynamic stability analysis of tanagarity.
899	[۲۵]	IVI. AUY, IVI. T. EI OUTII, ATIU IV. DETI KATIIA, DYNAITIIC STADIIITY ATIAIYSIS OF TENSEGFITY
900 Q01	[20]	Systems, Lut. J. Environ. Ov. Eng., vol. 0103, 10. Match, pp. 1–10, 2017. Secretaria de Comunicaciones y Transnortes "N-PRV-CAR-6-01-003/01 Cargas y
902	[حی]	Acciones " in Provectos de Nuevos Puentes y Estructuras Similares 2001 on 1–25
903	[30]	ASTM International. ASTM A500 / A500M-18. Standard Specification for Cold-
	r 1	

904		Formed Welded and Seamless Carbon Steel Structural Tubing in Rounds and
905		Shape. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International, 2018.
906	[31]	ASTM International, ASTM A53 / A53M-18, Standard Specification for Pipe, Steel,
907		Black and Hot-Dipped, Zinc-Coated, Welded and Seamless. West Conshohocken,
908		PA: ASTM International, 2018.
909	[32]	Á. O. González-Martínez, J. M. Horta-Rangel, M. Á. Pérez-Lara-y-Hernández, and G.
910		M. Arroyo-Contreras, "Análisis de un puente peatonal conformado por una estructura
911		soportante en forma de triditrabe y acoplada a módulos presforzados tipo tensegrity,"
912		Perspect. la Cienc. y la Ing., vol. 2, p. In press, 2019. Spanish.
913	[33]	ASTM International, ASTM B429 / B429M-10e1, Standard Specification for
914		Aluminum-Alloy Extruded Structural Pipe and Tube (Withdrawn 2019). West
915		Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International, 2010.
916	[34]	ASTM International, ASTM A586-18, Standard Specification for Metallic-Coated
917		Parallel and Helical Steel Wire Structural Strand. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM
918		International, 2018.
919	[35]	S. Pellegrino and C. R. Calladine, "Matrix analysis of statically and kinematically
920		indeterminate frameworks," Int. J. Solids Struct., vol. 22, pp. 409–428, 1985.
921	[36]	C. R. Calladine and S. Pellegrino, "First-order infinitesimal mechanisms," Int. J.
922		<i>Solids Struct.</i> , vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 505–515, 1991.
923	[37]	M. E. Gurtin, An Introduction to Continuum Mechanics. New York: Academic Press,
924		1981.
925	[38]	K. Kebiche, M. N. Kazi-Aoual, and R. Motro, "Geometrical non-linear analysis of
926		tensegrity systems," <i>Eng. Struct.</i> , vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 864–876, 1999.
927	[39]	R. D. Cook, D. S. Malkus, M. E. Plesha, and R. J. Witt, Concepts and Applications of
928		Fiinite Element Analysis.pdf. Wisconsin: John Wiley & Sons, INC, 2002.
929	[40]	M. Marin, "An uniqueness result for body with voids in linear thermoelasticity," <i>Rend.</i>
930		<i>di Mat.</i> , vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 103–113, 1997.
931	[41]	M. Marin and U. T. Brasov, "On The Domain Of Influence In Thermoelasticity Of
932		Bodies With Voids Archivum Mathematicum," Arch. Math., vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 301–
933		308, 1997.
934	[42]	M. Marin, "An evolutionary equation in thermoelasticity of dipolar bodies," J. Math.
935		Phys., vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 1391–1399, 1999.
936	[43]	R. D. Cook and H. Saunders, Concepts and Applications of Finite Element Analysis,
937		vol. 106, no. 1. 2009.
938	[44]	R. R. J. Craig and A. J. Kurdila, Fundamentals of Structural Dynamics. John Wiley &
939		Sons, 2011.
940	[45]	R. W. Clough and J. Penzien, <i>Dynamics of structures</i> . Computers & Structures, Inc.,
941	[40]	1995. "OADDOOD Onwardten & Otwarten a las " (Online) Austikklas
942	[46]	"SAP2000. Computers & Structures, Inc." [Online]. Available:
943	[4 - 7]	https://www.csiespana.com/software/2/sap2000.
944	[47]	Secretaria de Comunicaciones y Transportes, "N·PRY·CAR·6·01·004/01 Viento," in
945	[40]	Proyectos de Nuevos Puentes y Estructuras Similares, 2001, pp. 1–18.
946	[48]	"INEGI." [Online]. Available:
947		nttp://cuentaine.inegi.org.mx/monografias/informacion/queret/territorio/clima.aspx?te
948	[40]	IIIa=IIIe&e=22.
949	[49]	AASHIO, Guide Specifications for Design of Pedestrian Bridges. 2009, p. 40.
950		
951		