# Modeling and Molecular Docking Studies on Alangium salvifolium (Alanginaceae) as a Target for Anti-oxidant Enzyme

3

#### 4

#### Abstract

- 5 Objectives: The present study pursue at retrieve and draws the active phytocompounds structure of *Alangium* 6 *salvifolium* and assessing its simulation anti-oxidant enzyme activities.
- 7 Methods: Retrieve/draws of the compounds were carried out using *chem.-sketch* software. The 3-D structures of
- 8 the Phytocompounds were visualized based upon the UV, NMR spectral data along with their energy simulation
   9 studies. The antioxidant and enzyme simulation activity were evaluated *in-silico* using the ACD
- 10 labs,PyRx, RASMOL,PYMOL,Aragslab and Discovery 3.1 studio.
- 11 Key findings: Phytochemicals structure drawing of A. salvifolium resulted in the structured and
- recognition of four phytochemicals. The plant phytochemicals showed significant anti-oxidant enzymes activityenhancer and ROS eliminator through binding to its metal domain receptor.
- Conclusion: Phytochemicals were drawing from *A. salvifolium*. To the best of our knowledge, among these phytochemicals, were studied anti-oxidant enzymes metals binding domain to increase the ROS scavenging activity for the foremost time from mimic with molecular docking. Moreover, study of phytochemicals simulation was for the first time from this plant. The plant revealed auspicious increase the antioxidant activities virtual screening. This gives thinking to some of its pharmacological properties and suggests additional antioxidant effects, for as a scavenger as well as anti-oxidant enzyme stimulator, which have not been reported yet.
- 21
- 22 Key words: *Alangium salvifolium*, Phytochemicals, molecular docking study, ROS
  23 elimination activity.
- 24

#### 25 INTRODUCTION

Plants have been nearly for the therapeutic baseline of various diseases optimistically from the 26 decades by knowledge of Ayurveda in our country. New drug discovery and development Plants 27 28 are basic and most abundant source of new discoveries for the new drug escort towards various 29 healthcare issues Organic chemical compounds (Phytochemical) found in plants that are not required for normal functioning of the body, but have a beneficial effect on health or play an 30 active role in relieve of diseases[1]. The effectiveness plant secondary metabolites in the 31 treatment of various diseases may lie in their antioxidant effects [2]. Oxygen is an element 32 33 compulsory for life; living systems have evolved to survive in the presence of molecular oxygen and most biological systems. Oxidative properties of oxygen play a vital role in diverse 34 biological happening. Oxygen has double-edged properties, being essential for life; it can also 35 aggravate the damage within the cell by oxidative facts [3]. 36

Alangium salvifolium wang member of the family of Alanginaceae. Ankola and Alangi are its
 common name in India, and Stone Mango in English. It is a small broad-leaved thorny tree or
 shrub [4] which is dispersed in tropical and subtropical region such as Bangladesh, India, China

Phillipines, Africa, Srilanka and Indochina[5]. A range of ailments including diabetes, jaundice, 40 gastric disorders, protozoal diseases, rheumatic pain, burning sensation, haemorrhages, lung 41 cancer, poisonings, leprosy and many inflammatory patches have been treated by using various 42 parts of the plant [6]. Many bioactive phytochemicals such as assorted flavanoids, phenolic 43 compounds, irridoid glycosides and oxyoglucosides have been isolated by phytochemical 44 screening of it [7]. Previous literature citated that plants indicate the presence of coumarins, 45 triterpenoids and some potent alkaloids in it [8]. Antioxidants enzymes play a very important 46 role in lessening problems related to oxidative stress. The antioxidant enzymes of 47 phytocompounds isolated from appraised medicinal plants Costunolide (20 mg/kg) or 48 Eremanthin (20 mg/kg) for 60 days caused a significant increase in enzymatic activity of SOD, 49 CAT and GPx, when compared with untreated[10] Moreover, maximum antioxidant probable, 50 including DPPH radical scavenging (IC<sub>50</sub>: 11.26 $\pm$ 1.29 µg/ml), FRAP (EC<sub>50</sub>: 26.64 $\pm$ 2.17 µg/ml) 51 and TAC (639.55±10.51 mg/g ascorbic acid) was found in the CASR. Donepezil, to prime of our 52 knowledge, the receptor-level mechanism behind this process is no where mentioned. Present 53 study was aimed at the analysis of receptor-level binding affinity of secondary metabolites of 54 55 Alangium salvifolium with SOD, CAT and GPx through molecular docking.

#### 56 **METHODS**

#### 57 Design of small molecules (Ligand)

58 To study inhibition of antioxidant enzyme with sketch small molecules(called as ligand), Alangiun salvifolium

- 59 based known phytochemicals are selected as listed in Table 1.
- 60 Ligand preparation

61 The structures of polycyclic aromatic organic compounds based plant-derived compounds are

62 represented in-silico using Chem-Sketch software [11]. Initially 2-D structures were designed.

- 63 The 2-D compounds converted to 3-D employing Molecular Mechanics (MM2) method with the
- 64 help of Chem-Sketch software [12]. The designed molecules are scrutinized for its conformation
- by ascertaining achievement of global minima. The list of compounds designed along with
- 66 molecular formula is listed in Table 1, figure-2.

67

#### 68 **Receptor enzyme**

- 69 Electronic structure of AEs is picked as a target protein having PDB reference 2BHH. The protein file obtained from
- 70 online data base having SOD, CAT, GPx an antioxidant enzymes [17]. The selected enzyme structure was
- 71 produced by online homology modeling tool in such a way that it has no ambiguities in the form of missing atoms
- 72 or amino acids. All the heteroatoms (i.e. non-receptor atoms such as water, ions, etc.) were detached followed by
- 73 assigning Kollmann charges. The Solvation variables were added to the final macromolecule structure using the
- Addsol utility of Auto-Dock [13]. The place of natural inhibitor in enzyme is served as active site ofselected enzyme
- and used as it is without any further processing.

#### 76 Molecular Docking

- Autodock 4.0 [14] is used for docking operations. Initially protein grid was designed using grid design tool of
   Autodock. Dockings were achieving used both genetic (GA) and non-genetic (Non-GA) algorithm techniques. The
- 79 genetic algorithm (GA) is the newly adopted conformational search techniques and searches the best possible
- 80 conformations of ligand inside the active site of enzyme. For each conformational position, it also reports the
- 81 possible binding energy in the form of  $\Delta G$  in kcal.mol-1. The selected parameters and settings, which were used for
- 82 docking, are listed in Table 2. The docking algorithm makes use of force field equations and parameters to calculate
- the binding energy between ligand and enzyme [15-27]. The binding free energy is the total of van der Waals
- 84 interactions, H-bond interactions, electrostatic interactions and the internal static energy of the ligand as shown in
- 85 Equation 1 [18-20].

#### 86 $\Delta$ Gbind= $\Delta$ Gvdw+ $\Delta$ Ghydrophobic+ $\Delta$ GH-bond+ $\Delta$ GH-bond(chg)+ $\Delta$ Gdeformation+ $\Delta$ G

87

The obtained results of binding energy for Non-GA and GA Dockings for each set of experiments are listed in Table
The negative values of docking energies favour the interaction among ligand and enzyme. Though there are
chances of non-favourable interactions, the non-favourable results are marked as '\*'.

91

93

#### 92 IV. Receptor ligands interaction study

Docking was ready with the PyRx software (pyrax–www.pyraxviana.com/), in which the result is being obtained on the basis of pose energy. Docking interaction analysis and visualization attempt to place 'Ligand' into Binding Sites'. The interaction 2D binding affinity cavity and binding pattern were expressed. The atoms that construct bond between Ligand like inhibitor, and the Binding Site on the protein where the inhibitors bind that Structure were drawn in Discovery studio 3.1 version.

100

#### 101 **Results**

The SOD, CAT and GPx x-ray crystallography structure proteins were redeemed and examine and it was docked
 to phytochemical compounds from *A. salvifolium*. The results are presented as follow:

- 104 105
- 105

#### 107 I. Retrieving three dimensional structures of anti-oxidant enzymes.

108 109

110

111 112

113

#### The structure of antioxidant enzymes (AE) SOD, CAT, and GPx with PDB Id: 1PM9, 1QQW, 1BY were as taken for further analysis. This structure was scaned to know details of the AE molecule. The secondary structure information about AEs proteins have been retrieved from PDB sum database. The topology of the different secondary structures of AEs and the amino acid residues in which each helices and sheets are established. The three-dimensiona structures of AE are composed of similar $\alpha/\beta$ TIM barrels. The symmetry of the TIM barrel is disrupted by the presence of two short anti-parallel $\beta$ -strands at the N-terminus connected by a tight turn closing the

- 114 disrupted by the presence of two short anti-parallel  $\beta$ -strands at the N-terminus connected by a tight turn closing the 115 bottom of the barrel [21]. The PDB file was downloaded and viewed in Ras Mol and their various models are given
- in Table-1 Fig.1a, b,c.
- 117

#### 118 II. Binding site prediction – Q-SiteFinder

119 The protein structure of AE was given as load to Q-Site Finder tool and binding site of the protein was prophesy. 120 Ten best 'binding sites' were predicted. The amino acids and the atoms involved in the site were listed

121

#### 122 III. Drawing three dimensional structures of inhibitors-

- 123
- 124

#### 125

## 126 CHEMSKETCH (ACD labs)127

128 The chemical structure of the patronaging *A. salvifolium* APIs collected from literatures were drawn in ChemSketch 129 and visualise into 2D and 3D dimensional structures and its general properties were summarized in table-2 Fig. 2.

131

#### 132 IV. Making legends pharmacophore by using of ArgusLab:

133

Ligands energy extent and its simulation properties were assess by using of Argus Lab software. Various energy
level calculation and visualization was summarized in table -3 and fig3.

### 136137 V. Docking (Autodock-Viana):

138

139 Using PyRx (Autodock 4-0) version, the receptor, AEs.pdb file and the ligand pdb file were taken and the protein 140 side chain molecules were detached with the help of various tool controls for their perfect visualization. Hetero 141 atoms were removed and the molecule was used for docking. The binding site molecules were kept as separate PDB 142 file and that was used for the analysis. Then, the protein file and the ligand pdb file were loaded and docking studies 143 were performed. The best docked conformation with its binding energy was found and details are given below 144 Table-3. While executing docking the protein and ligand appeared in a grid as shown below and the various binding 145 configurations are analyzed and finally the list of number poses are given as output and saved as .SDF files. 146 Hydrogen bonds were appended and energy was minimized using CHARMm force field. Further, docking studies 147 also carried out using Discovery studio 3.1 version.

148

The *A. salvifolium* phytochemicals effectively docked in to the binding site of AEs protein indicating that they are
efficient drug compounds. All these binding ligands viz., 4(benzoyloxy)methyl-2hydroxyphenoxy tetrahydorxy
hexoxone 1,2,3,4,5, pentaium Tetahydroxy(2hydroxy phenoxy)hexone 1,2,3,4,5 pentaium, Tetahydroxy(2hydroxy
phenoxy)hexone 1,2,3,4,5 pentaium showed efficient docking as indicated by binding energy and all
are efficient inhibitors.

154

#### 155 VI. Interaction analysis

156 Interaction between receptor (Protein) and ligands (Phytocompounds) on the basis of ligands pharamcophore 157 belonged and receptor protein binding cavity. Inside the cavity ligands was oriented in different pose and making a weak hydrogen or hydrophobic bond formation. Receptor protein amino acid and ligands possible potential arms interact each other and make a binding affinity, the interaction of protein and Phytocompounds of

potential arms interact each other and make a binding affinity. the interaction of protein and Phytocompounds of *Alangium salvifolium* were curtail and depicted in 2D and 3D structure in figure no 4,5,6.

#### 161 **Discussion**

162

163 Antioxidant enzymes have ability to stabilizing, or deactivating free radicals before they attack cellular components. 164 They play key role by reducing the energy of the free radicals or by giving up some of their electrons for its use, thereby causing it to become stable. In addition, they may also interrupt with the oxidizing chain reaction to 165 minimize the damage caused by free radicals. For the past decade, countless studies have been devoted to the 166 beneficial effects of antioxidant enzymes [22]. The SOD enzyme demolishs the superoxide radical; however, as a 167 168 result of that it creates hydrogen peroxide, which also has high toxic properties [23]. It has been reported as one of the most important antioxidant defense enzyme that scavenge superoxide anion by converting to hydrogen peroxide 169 170 thus diminish the toxic effect caused by this radical [24]. Catalase is a tetrahedrical protein, constituted by four heme 171 groups which catalyze the dismutation of hydrogen peroxide in water and oxygen [25] Phenol oxidases are copper 172 proteins catalyse the aerobic oxidation of certain phenolic compounds to quinones. Polyphenol oxidase is one of the 173 major enzymes that have a role in the biosynthesis of lignin and defense against water stress by scavenges  $H_2O_2$  in 174 chloroplasts [26]. Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), a family of cytosolic multifunctional enzymes. It catalyzes the conjugation of glutathione with a variety of reactive electrophilic compounds, thereby neutralizing their active 175 176 electrophilic sites and subsequently making the parent compound more water soluble. Glutathione peroxidases are 177 substantially more efficient on a molar basis than other enzymes [27]. Glutathione peroxidase acts as a radical 178 scavenger, membrane stabilizer and precursor of heavy metal binding peptides.

179 NOS enzyme crystal structure complexed with inhibitor was taken for our study to discover novel hit molecule for 180 antioxidant drug discovery. The reference ligand was docked into the active site of the enzyme. The amino group of 181 reference ligand was found to interact with positively charged amino acid Glu592 and non-polar amino acid Trp587. 182 The phyto-compounds selected for A. salvifolium in this study was made to dock into the active site pocket of the antioxidant enzymes(SOD) and found that the compound 183 code Alangium-1(4(benzoyloxy)methyl-184 2hydroxyphenoxy tetrahydorxy hexoxone 1,2,3,4,5, pentaium ) was found to be best docking score as an binding affinity (-kcal/mol. The closer analysis of the compound was analyzed and found that the compound was found to 185 interact with the amino acid Ser457, Thr231 and the benzyl group is stacked with the non-polar amino acid Trp409. 186 187 The 3-dimensional view of this molecule reveals that the compound was well fitted into the active site cavity which 188 made this molecule more effective binding than the reference ligand. Furthermore, the nitro group and 189 methoxyphenyl group was well surrounded by the non-polar amino acids. The binding analysis and the ligand 190 interaction 2D, 3D diagram was depicted in the Figure. 4.

The target receptor of catalase(CAT) showed the excellent docking score to liagand (Alangium-1) further discuss about this compound binding analysis and interactions, the amino acid Ser257,Thr245 and Ala 235 donates one hydrogen atom to the compound and the chloro benzilic group was found to be firm interact with two stacking interaction with non-polar amino acids Trp409 and Phe584. Furthermore, the compound is fully surrounded by the non-polar amino acids such as Val167, Ala266, and Ile324 which made this compound possess better docking score than others. The binding analysis and the docking score of the compound were depicted in Figure 5.

197 The GPx antioxidant enzyme showed the good docking score against the Alangium-1. Further, the structure-198 activity relationship of this compound reveals that the metal binding domain group is showing a stacking 199 interaction with Trp209. Due to the presence of bulky heme group present site on the both the side of this 200 compound, the compound tends to powerful its activity on binding with the enzyme [12]. The binding analysis and 201 the ligand interaction of the compound were depicted in Fig. 6.which made this compound more active than 202 without these phytocompounds because the Alangium phytocompounds firmly bind with metal binding domain 203 which give superior stability to bind the metal group for anti-xoidents enzymes. therefore increase its scavenging 204 activity for reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reduce the oxidative stress. Inside the cell [13]. The binding 205 analysis and the ligand interaction of the GPx and Alangium-1 phytocompound were depicted in Fig. 6. The antioxidant enzymes studied in this research, the 3-dimensional representation of this interaction reveals that the interaction between receptor and ligands is closed from the metal binding domain site.

### 208 CONCLUSION

All the four components have more or less similar docking energies and so all the four compounds can be used for good binding affinity in different pose site AEs activity. It might be expected that the active components isolated from *A. salvifolium* phytochemicals would have some pharmacological actions to promote the oxidative radical scavenging activity SOD, CAT and GPx enzymes .Further this may be confirmed by drug trials in *In-vitro* and *Invivo* models to find out the optimum dose and its efficiency in binding actively AEs and reduce ROS related complications.

215

#### 216 References

217

- Akinmoladun AC, Ibubun EO, Afor E, Obuetor EM, Farombi EO(2007). Phytochemical constituent and antioxidant activity of extract from the leaves of *Ocimum gratissimum*. Sci Res Essay. 2:163–6.
- 221
   22. Shinde V, Dhalwal K, Paradkar AR, Mahadik KR, Kadam SS.(2006) Evaluation of *in vitro* antioxidant activity of human placental extract, Pharmacol Onlin. 3:172–9
   223
- Uthiraselvam M, Asmathu FS, Peer MH, Babu SM, Kavitha G (2012). Pharmacognostical studies on the medicinal plant *Alangium salvifolium* (Linn. F) Wang. (Alangiaceae). Asian J. Plant Sci. Res. 2(6):670-674.
- Ronok Z, Laizuman N, Luthfun NM (2013). Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of flower (*Alangium salvifolium*) extracts. Pak. J. Bio. Sci. 16(19):1040-1045.
- 5. Meera R, Shabina S, Devi P, Venkataraman S, Parameswari PT, Nagarajan K, Aruna A (2013). Anti-hyperglycemic effect of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of leaf and stem bark of *Alangium salvifolium* (L.F.) Wang in alloxan induced diabetic rats. Inter. J. Phar. Res. Allied Sci. 2(4):28-32.
- 6. Gopinath SM (2013). Broad spectrum antimicrobial activities and phytochemical analysis of *Alangium salviifolium* flower extract. Global J. Res. Med. Plants Indigen. Med. 2(3):135-141.
- 237
   238
   239
   7. Savithramma N, Ling RM, Ankanna S (2012). Preliminary phytochemical screening of some important medicinal plants. Inter. J Ayur. Herbal Med. 2(1):139-145.
- 240 8. Worthington Enzyme Manual.(2009) Worthington Biochemical Corporation. Retrieved-03-01
- Frank L.(1981) Prolonged survival after paraquat: role of the lung antioxidant enzyme systems. Biochem Pharmacol 30:2319-2324.
- 245 10. Bagley A, Krall J, Lynch R. (1986)Superoxide mediates the toxicity of paraquat for chinese hamster ovary cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:3189-3193.
   247

248 11. Bus JS, Gibson JE.(1984) Paraquat: model for oxidant-initiated toxicity. Environ Health Perspect 55:37-46.
 249

256 257

258

259

- 12. Stevens TM, Boswell GA, Adler R, Ackerman NR, Kerr JS(1988). Induction of antioxidant enzyme activities by a phenylurea derivative, EDU. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 96:33-42.
- 253 13. Saito K.(1986) Effects of paraquat on macromolecule synthesis in cultured pneumocytes. Tohoku J Exp Med 148:303-312.
   255
  - 14. Kelner M, Bagnell R. (2014)Generation of endogenous glutathione peroxidase, manganese superoxide dismutase, and glutathione transferase activity in cells transfected with a copper-zinc superoxide dismutase expression vector. J Biol Chem 265:10872-10875.
- 260
   261
   262
   15. St Clair'D, Oberley T, Ho YS(2009). Overproduction of Mn-superoxide dismutase modulates paraquat-mediated toxicity in mammalian cells. FEBS Lett 293:199-203.
- 16. McKusker K, Hoidal J.(1990) Selective increase of antioxidant enzyme activity in the alveolar macrophages from cigarette smokers and smoke-exposed hamsters. Am Rev Respir Dis 141:676-682.
- 17. Toth K, Berger E, Beehler C, Repine J.(2015) Erythrocytes from cigarette smokers contain more glutathione and catalase and protect endothelial cells from hydrogen peroxide better than do erythrocytes from nonsmokers. Am Rev Respir Dis 134:281-284.
- 18. Hay J, Shahzeidi S, Laurent G.(1991) Mechanisms of bleomycin-induced lung damage. Arch Toxicol 65:81-94.
- 272 19. Sausville EA, Peisach J, Horwitz SB.(1996) Effect of chelating agents and metal ions on the degradation of DNA by bleomycin. Biochemistry 17:2740-2745.
   274
- 275 20. Oberley LW, Beuttner GR(1979). The production of hydroxyl radical by bleomycin and iron (II). FEBS Lett 97:47-49
- 278 21. Giri S, Chien Z, Younker W, Schiedt M.(2016) Effects of intratracheal administration of bleomycin on GSH-shuttle enzymes, catalase, lipid peroxidation and collagen content in the lungs of hamsters. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 71:132-141.
   280 141.
- 282 283 284
   283 284
   284
   283 284
   284
   284
   284
   285 284
   286
   286
   287 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
   288 284
- 285
   286
   286
   287
   28. Fantone J, Phan S. (2008)(xygen metabolite detoxifying enzyme levels in bleomycin-induced fibrotic lungs. Free Radic Biol Med 4:399-402.
- 288 289 289 289 induced lung injury in rats. II. Phospholipids of the lung surfactant. Acta Physiol Hungar 78:157-162.
   290 290

- 25.. Borzone G, Klaassen R, (1992)Vivaldi E. Bleomycin-induced lung injury in rats: protective effect of free radical scavengers. Am Rev Respir Dis 145:A578.
- 294 26. Surinrut P, Shaffer J, Marsh J, Heintz NH, Mossman BT.(2009) Transfection of a human catalase gene ameliorates 295 asbestos-induced cytotoxicity in hamster tracheal epithelial cells. Am Rev Respir Dis 147:A205

27.. Kinnula VL, Everitt JI, Mangum JB, Chang L-Y, Crapo JD.(2013) Antioxidant defense mechanisms in cultured pleural mesothelial cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 7:95-103

S.no	Protein name	PDB ID	Enzyme Code	No of chain	Total amino acids
1	SOD	1PM9	EC 1.15.1.1	2	420
2	CAT	1QQW	EC 1.11.1.6	4	2108
3	GPx	1BY	EC 1.11.1.7	1	215

#### Table:-2. General Properties of phytochemicals obtained from Alangium salvifolium

S.no	Properties	Alangium 1	Alangium 2	Alangium 3	Alangium 4
1	Name of chemicals	4(benzoyloxy)methyl- 2hydroxyphenoxy tetrahydorxy hexoxone 1,2,3,4,5, pentaium	S	Tetahydroxy(2hydro xy phenoxy)hexone 1,2,3,4,5 pentaium	Tetahydroxy(2h ydroxy phenoxy)hexone 1,2,3,4,5 pentaium
2	Molecular formula	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>15</sub> O <sub>14</sub>	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>23</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>9</sub> O <sub>12</sub>	$C_{16}H_{12}O_4$
3	molecular weight	407.26	419.36	273.13	268.26
4	Compositio n				
5	Molar refractivity	81.88	94.46	46.12	76.43

#### TABLE;-3 Ligand lead energy simulation and pharmacophore specification (Argus lab) results

S.NO	Specification	Alangium 1	Alangium 2	Alangium 3	Alangium 4
1	SCF energy	-231.767296889	-300.7076472584	47.3947768704	213.3165388741
2	Geometry	231.819691035	-300.771772957	47.521422858	213.325870339sss

- **Table: 4.** Mean values of docking energies (kcal/mol) and standard deviation for each skeletal
- type of *Alangium salvifolium* phytochemicals as liagands with anti-oxidant enzymes enzymetargets.

Target	Ligands	Dimension Centre(x=25Ay=25z=25)	No of pose	RSD %lower	RSD %upper	Mean binding
SOD	Alangium 1	X=16.0161, Y=70.1678,	9	114.74%	57.7%	-7.6
200	2		9	52.72%	57.72%	-7.0
	3	2-13: 4010	9	103.74%	89.09%	-6.3
	4		9	42.62%	39.74%	-7.4
CAT	1		9	61.17%	54.60%	-8.9
	2	X= 48.844 y= 101.718 z=38.2861	9	49.96%	51.85%	-8.3
	3		9	72.23%	67.91%	-6.7
	4		9	47.92%	45.56%	-8.1
GPx	1		6	75.32%	78.04%	-7.1
	2	X=-12.041 y=22.8816	4	79.61%	68.17%	-4.7
	3	Z=9. 2389	9	151.01%	122.16%	-5.5
	%4		6	56.07%	61.34	-4.4





376 Fig:-2. Chemical structures of *Alangium salcifolium* Phytochemicals obtained from *Chem-Sketch* (2D)





471 Fig. 4: The binding analysis and the ligand interaction between SOD (1PM9) and472 phytocompound of *A. salvifolium*.





- -