

**EFFECT OF THREE DRYING METHODS (OVEN,
SOLAR AND SUN) ON THE MINERAL
COMPOSITION OF ETHIOPIAN PEPPER
(*Xylophia aethiopica*)**

The effect of oven, solar and sun drying methods on the mineral properties of the Ethiopian pepper was determined by conducting a study at the Department of Horticulture, KNUST using a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). The mineral properties analyzed were, calcium, iron, magnesium, sodium, zinc. Sun-dried Ethiopian pepper, had significantly higher ($p \leq 0.01$) calcium (0.01%), iron (46.20mg/kg), magnesium (0.20%), sodium (0.9%), zinc (19.75mg/kg). Ethiopian Pepper dried under oven and solar drying methods retained the best minerals.

Keywords: Crucible, Distilled Water, Absorption Spectrophotometer, Replicates

16 1. INTRODUCTION

17

18 Ethiopian pepper (*Xylopiya aethiopyca* DUNAL) is of the Annonaceae family. The fresh and
19 dried fruits, leaf, stem bark and root bark contain essential oils which help fight several
20 bacteria and certain fungi ([7]; [8]).

21 *X. aethiopyca* also contains substances such as zinc, lipids, proteins, carbohydrates, iodine,
22 saturated and unsaturated fatty acids, mono- and sesquiterpenoids, and pinenes, myriene,
23 p.cymene, limonene, linalool and 1, 8, cineole [13]. The plant is widely distributed in the
24 West African rainforest from Senegal to Sudan in Eastern Africa, and down to Angolan
25 Southern Africa ([3]; [2]) where it is mostly used for local cooking, especially in the
26 preparation of what is referred to as 'the African pepper soup [2]. The bark when steeped in
27 palm wine, is used to treat asthma, stomach-aches and rheumatism [14].

28 The nutritional and chemical properties of the fruit are affected as a result of the changes
29 occurring during drying. Prolonged drying may result in some changes that could negatively
30 affect some functional properties of the product [15]. There is little information on the
31 processing of Ethiopian pepper by farmers which they only adopt to the traditional sun-drying
32 method, which sometimes unhygienic and time-consuming. Alternative drying methods are
33 required to supplement the traditional drying methods to maintain some desirable chemical
34 characteristics in the fruit.

35 This research brings to light the appropriate drying methods which would still maintain the
36 chemical content of the fruits. The effect of the drying methods on the chemicals of Ethiopian
37 pepper has not been sufficiently investigated. It is, therefore, necessary to identify
38 appropriate, easy and cost-effective drying methods that will maintain the fruit chemical
39 properties. The research, therefore, sought to determine the effect of three drying methods
40 (oven, sun and solar) on the chemical of Ethiopian pepper.

41

42 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

43

44 **2.1 EXPERIMENTAL SITE**

45 The experiment was conducted at the laboratories of the Department of Horticulture and
46 Department of Pharmacy, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST),
47 Kumasi.

48 **2.2 SOURCE OF ETHIOPIAN PEPPER**

49 The Ethiopian pepper fruits were obtained from an out-grower farm located at Atobiase in
50 the Bosomtwe District of the Ashanti region. Physiologically matured fruits were harvested
51 and 300g of the fruit sample was weighed. The fruits were then graded and sorted to ensure
52 they were of uniform size, shape and without damages. The fruits were then grouped into 3
53 sub-samples to be dried using the three drying methods (sun, oven and solar driers). Dried
54 fruits were then processed into fine powder by grinding after which the samples were
55 analyzed.



56

57 **Plate 1:** Freshly harvested Ethiopian pepper

58

59 **2.3 DRYING TREATMENTS**

60 **2.3.1 SUN DRYING**

61 One hundred grams (100g) of fresh *Xylopia* fruits were put on a metallic tray and placed on a
62 table directly under the sunlight for 7 days. It was constantly stirred to ensure even drying
63 and uniformity. Temperature and humidity were recorded for the 7 days and the mean value
64 recorded.



65

66 **Plate 2:** Sun-dried *Xylopia* fruits

67

68 **2.3.2 SOLAR DRYING**

69 One hundred grams (100g) of fresh *Xylopia* fruits were put on a metallic tray and placed in
70 the solar dryer for 7 days. It was constantly stirred to ensure even drying and uniformity.
71 Temperature and humidity were recorded for the 7 days and the mean value recorded.



72

73 Plate 3: Solar dried *Xylopi* fruits

74

75 **2.3.3 OVEN DRYING**

76 One hundred grams (100g) of fresh *Xylopi* fruits were put on a clean metallic tray and
77 placed in the oven to dry at 60°C within 24 hours.



78

79 Plate 4: oven-dried *Xylopi* fruits.

80

81

82 2.4 PARAMETERS STUDIED.

83 3.7 MINERAL DETERMINATION

84 A 1.0g of powdered *Xylopia* was weighed into a porcelain crucible and ashed for 4 hours at
85 500°C. 10ml of 1:5 HCl to water was added to the ashed sample, digested on a hot plate
86 and boiled for 2 mins. The digest was then filtered into a 100 ml flask, (raising the crucible
87 well). The filtrate was made to the 100 ml meniscus mark of the volumetric flask using
88 distilled water.

89 The solution was further diluted with distilled water at a ratio of 1:50 using a combined
90 solution of 2.5 ml lanthanum solution and 2.5 ml cesium oxide to remove the interference of
91 other cations. The potassium, magnesium, manganese, zinc, sodium, iron, calcium and
92 copper were read with the Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) using the respective
93 wavelength after calibration. The specific elements were then calculated as

94 Calculation

95 Dilution Factor 50

96 $(\text{Ca, Mg, Na, K}) \% = \text{Concentration} \times \text{df}$

97 $(\text{Ca, Mg, Na, K}) \% = \text{Concentration} \times 50/100 = \text{concentration} / 2$

98 The $(\text{Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn}) \text{ ppm} = \text{concentration} \times \text{coefficient factor}$

99

100 2.7 DATA ANALYSIS

101 Data obtained from the laboratory analysis were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)
102 using STATISTIX version 9. The differences in means were separated using Turkey's
103 Honestly significant difference (HSD) at 1%. The results were then presented in the table.

104 3. RESULTS

105

106 4.2 EFFECT OF THREE DRYING METHODS ON THE MINERAL CONTENTS OF THE 107 *XYLOPIA*

108 Table 4.2 presented the effect of three drying methods on the mineral contents of the
109 *Xylopi*a. The effect of the drying methods on the mineral contents varies among the *Xylopi*a.
110 K, Ca, Mg, Mn, Fe, Cu, were found in the dried *Xylopi*a. Solar drying was found with the
111 highest content of Cu, Ca and Fe regardless of the drying method used. Also, there was
112 significantly ($P < 0.01$) difference between Ca, Cu and Fe in-terms of the three drying
113 methods used. However, no significant ($P > 0.01$) difference exist in Mg and K content
114 examined regardless of the drying method used. Solar drying was found to record the
115 highest Cu content (90) among the drying methods used.

116 For the drying methods, the sodium content did not observe any significant difference ($p \geq$
117 0.01). However, the highest (1.50%) was recorded by oven drying and the least (0.98%) was
118 recorded by sun drying. From the table, no significant difference ($p \geq 0.01$) was observed in
119 the phosphorus content for the dried *Xylopi*a subjected to the different drying methods. Sun-
120 dried *Xylopi*a had the least (0.24%). Phosphorus content for solar dried *Xylopi*a and oven-
121 dried *Xylopi*a was the highest (0.28%).

122 The zinc content recorded a significant difference ($p \leq 0.01$) within the ranges 19.75mg/kg to
123 41.75mg/kg for the drying methods. Across the means of the drying methods, *Xylopi*a fruits
124 dried by oven had the highest zinc content (41.75mg/kg) followed by solar-dried *Xylopi*a

(28.25mg/kg) and the least (19.75mg/) was sun-dried. The manganese showed significant differences ($p \leq 0.01$) in the content from 312mg/kg to 300mg/kg.

Solar drying method had the highest (300mg/kg) content with oven and sun drying methods recording the least (312mg/kg) respectfully as shown in Table.

129

TABLE 4.2: EFFECTS OF THREE DRYING METHODS ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITION OF XYLOPIA AETHIOPICA

Drying methods	Calcium	Copper	Iron	Potassium	Magnesium
OVEN	0.16 b	60.00 c	38.00 c	0.23 a	0.25 a
SOLAR	0.38 a	90.00 a	68.00 a	0.23 a	0.11 a
SUN	0.01 b	72.50 b	46.20 b	0.20 a	0.13 a
CV (%)	0.3	0.67	0.99	2.2	0.11
LSD (0.01)	0.22	1.51	1.51	0.15	0.15

Each value is a mean of three replicates standard error of each sample value having the same alphabets as subscripts in the same column are not significantly at LSD (0.01)

135

136

137

TABLE 4.3 EFFECTS OF THREE DRYING METHODS ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITION OF XYLOPIA AETHIOPICA

Drying methods	Manganese	Nitrogen	Sodium	Phosphorus	Zinc
OVEN	3.12 a	2.54 b	1.50 a	0.28 a	41.75 a
SOLAR	3.00 b	2.80 ab	1.11 a	0.28 a	28.25 b
SUN	3.120 a	2.91 a	0.98 a	0.24 a	19.75 c
CV (%)	0.17	3.4	0.38	7.5	1.67
LSD (0.01)	1.51	0.28	1.51	0.06	1.51

Each value is a mean of three replicates. The standard error of each sample value having the same alphabet as in the same subscripts in the same column are not significantly at LSD (0.01)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

160 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

161

162 5.2 EFFECTS OF THREE DRYING METHODS ON MINERAL COMPOSITION

163 5.2.1 Iron

164 The Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA) of iron for infants, children and adults ranged
165 from 6 - 15mg/kg while that obtained from the study, was from 3.8mg/kg -4.6mg/kg, slightly
166 lower than that of the RDA. Iron helps in the growth and development of connective tissues
167 and hormones. Its consumption is also vital for the production of hemoglobin and the
168 oxygenation of red blood cells.

169 5.2.2 Calcium

170 Calcium as an essential mineral helps in bone and teeth formation, as well as the proper
171 growth of the body. Adanlawo and Ajibade, [1] reported a calcium content of 1.27% for the
172 *Xylopia* fruits but from the study, the calcium content was comparatively lower (0.20% to
173 0.23%). THIS MIGHT BE DUE to PROLONG DRYING.

174 5.2.3 Potassium

175 Increasing potassium in the diet protects against hypertension for people who are sensitive
176 to high levels of sodium [6]. Adanlawo and Ajibade, [1] as well as USDA, [12] reported
177 4.94% and 4% as the potassium content of the dried fruits.

178 From the study, lower potassium content within the range of 0.20% - 0.23% was obtained.
179 Potassium maintains the body's fluid volume and also promotes proper functioning of the
180 nervous system [9].

181 5.2.4 Magnesium

182 Magnesium (Mg) is an activator of many enzyme systems which maintains electrical
183 potential during nerve metabolism and Protein synthesis. It also helps in the assimilation of
184 potassium ([11]; [10]).

185 The magnesium content found in Ethiopian pepper fruits was reported by Adanlawo and
186 Ajibade [1] as 3.87%. Comparatively, the magnesium content (0.11% - 0.25) obtained from
187 the studies was lower probably due to prolong drying.

188 **5.2.5 Sodium**

189 Sodium is a micronutrient that maintains osmotic pressure and helps in the relaxation of
190 muscles [6]. The Sodium content according to USDA, [12] was reported as 0.0006 %.
191 Comparatively, high sodium content (0.98% - 1.50%) obtained from the studies, might be
192 due to differences in the drying methods used. Sodium helps in cell functioning as well as
193 regulation of the body's fluid volume.

194 **5.2.6 Phosphorus**

195 Phosphorus plays a vital role in metabolic processes and helps in the production of ATP.
196 *Xylopia* fruits is reported to contain phosphorus of 0.004% [1]. From the study, a higher
197 phosphorus content (0.24% - 0.28%) obtained might be due to differences in the drying
198 method used. Consumption of phosphorus helps maintain balance with calcium for strong
199 bones and teeth.

200 **5.2.7 Zinc**

201 Zinc helps in the breakdown of carbohydrates as well as maintaining the structural integrity
202 of proteins [4]. The RDA for zinc is 15mg/kg [5] from the study, the zinc content obtained
203 ranged from 0.82mg/kg - 3.06mg/kg which was comparatively lower than that reported by
204 Adanlawo and Ajibade, [1]. Infants, children, adolescents and pregnant women would be at

205 risk if the RDA for zinc is not met. To meet the RDA for the fruits, more of it needs to be
206 consumed. Solar dried fruits had higher calcium, iron, copper, and zinc while oven drying
207 resulted in higher potassium and phosphorus content.

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

UNDER PEER REVIEW

217

218 REFERENCES

219

- 220 1. Adanlawo I.G and Ajibade V.A. Nutritive Value of the Two Varieties of roselle
221 (Hibiscus sabdariffa) Calyces Soaked with Wood Ash. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition
222 2006; 5 (6); 555-557.
- 223 2. Chiabrando, V. and Giacalone, G. Shelf life extension of high bush blueberry using
224 1-Methylcyclopropene stored under air and controlled atmosphere. Food Chemistry,
225 Berlin, 2011; 126(4); 1812-1816.
- 226 3. Hamzah, H.M., Osman, A., Tan, C.P. and Ghazali, F.M. Carrageenan as an
227 alternative coating for papaya (Carica papaya L. cv. Eksotika). Postharvest Biology
228 and Technology. 2013; 75; 142-146.
- 229 4. Kawashima, L. M., & Soares, L. M. V. Mineral profile of raw and cooked leafy
230 vegetables consumed in Southern Brazil. Journal of Food Composition and
231 Analysis, 2003; 16(5), 605-611.
- 232 5. Myhill, S. Trace Elements in Food: Eating to Meet Your RDAs 2010; 1-8.
- 233 6. Okoli J.N. Basic nutrition and diet therapy. University of Nigeria press Ltd. UNN
234 Nigeria, 2009; p.74.
- 235 7. Paull, R., Gross K. and Qiu Y. Changes in papaya cell wall during fruit ripening.
236 Postharvest Biology Technology. 1999; 16; 79-89.
- 237 8. Paull, R.E. and Chen, N.J. Waxing and plastic wrap influence water loss from
238 papaya fruit during storage and ripening. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1989; 114(6); 937-
239 942.

- 240 9. Shahnaz, A., Atiq-Ur-Rahman; M. Qadiraddin and Q Shanim. Elemental analysis of
241 Calendula. Officinalis plant and its probable therapeutic roles in health. Pakistan
242 Journal of Science and Industrial Research 2003; 46; 283-287.
- 243 10. Shills, M. Y.G and Young, V. R. Modern nutrition in health and disease. In: Nutrition,
244 Nieman, D.C., D.E. Butter Worth and C. N. Nieman (Eds.). WAC Brown Publishers,
245 Dubugu, USA. 1992; 276-282.
- 246 11. Underwood, B.A. "In the Retinol". Seorn Robert, A, B. Academic Test Press New
247 York, 1984 Vol. 1 Chapter 6.
- 248 12. USDA, Basic Report, roselle, raw. National Nutrient Database for Standard
249 Reference Release 28; 2016.
- 250 13. Uyovwieseewa. AJ, Aloamaka. PC and Avwioro OG, 2011. Effect Of Xylopia
251 Aethiopica Plant Extract On Semen Quality Of The Sprague Dawley Rats.
252 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research, Vol. 2(6), 179 – 181.
- 253 14. Adeniji, K. A., Ariwaodo, J. O., Osiyemi, O. A., & Ugbogu, O. A. (2018). A
254 survey of stem bark used in traditional health care practices in some popular
255 herbal markets in Osun state, Nigeria. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 6(6), 08-
256 12.
- 257 15. Pan, Z., & Atungulu, G. G. (2010). *Infrared heating for food and agricultural*
258 *processing*. CRC Press.
- 259 16.

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268 **APPENDIX**

269

270 APPENDIX 1: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR ASH

271	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
272	REP	2	0.0912	0.04560		
273	ACCE	2	10.6080	5.30401	51.76	0.0000
274	DRM	2	1.0137	0.50685	4.95	0.0213
275	ACCE*DRM	4	8.4684	2.11710	20.66	0.0000
276	ERROR	16	1.6397	0.10248		
277	TOTAL	26	21.8210			
278	GRAND MEAN	5.5493	CV 5.77			

279 APPENDIX 2: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR CARBOHYDRATE

280	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

281 REP 2 0.407 0.203

282 ACCE 2 314.196 157.098 765.58 0.0000

283 DRM 2 61.344 30.672 149.47 0.0000

284 ACCE*DRM 4 70.110 17.527 85.42 0.0000

285 ERROR 16 3.283 0.205

286 TOTAL 26 449.340

287 GRAND MEAN 60.581 CV 0.75

288 APPENDIX 3: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR FAT

289	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
290	REP	2	0.0403	0.02013		
291	ACCE	2	2.5478	1.27391	24.47	0.0000
292	DRM	2	12.0573	6.02863	115.82	0.0000
293	ACCE*DRM	4	5.6963	1.42408	27.36	0.0000
294	ERROR	16	0.8328	0.05205		
295	TOTAL	26	21.1745			
296	GRAND MEAN		2.0978	CV 10.88		

297 APPENDIX 4: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR CRUDE FIBRE

298	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

299 REP 2 0.0340 0.0170

300 ACCE 2 52.6189 26.3094 4102.24 0.0000

301 DRM 2 10.1335 5.0667 790.02 0.0000

302 ACCE*DRM 4 33.0787 8.2697 1289.43 0.0000

303 ERROR 16 0.1026 0.0064

304 TOTAL 26 95.9677

305 GRAND MEAN 16.914 CV 0.47

306 APPENDIX 5: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR MOISTURE CONTENT

307	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
308	REP	2	0.204	0.1022		
309	ACCE	2	6.088	3.0440	80.19	0.0000
310	DRM	2	97.409	48.7043	1283.07	0.0000
311	ACCE*DRM	4	16.670	4.1675	109.79	0.0000
312	ERROR	16	0.607	0.0380		
313	TOTAL	26	120.978			
314	GRAND MEAN	9.1019	CV 2.14			

315 APPENDIX 6: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR PROTEIN

316	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

317 REP 2 0.0119 0.0060

318 ACCE 2 22.6692 11.3346 4737.36 0.0000

319 DRM 2 0.2076 0.1038 43.39 0.0000

320 ACCE*DRM 4 10.5459 2.6365 1101.93 0.0000

321 ERROR 16 0.0383 0.0024

322 TOTAL 26 33.4728

323 GRAND MEAN 5.7563 CV 0.85

324 APPENDIX 7: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR PH

325	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
326	REP	2	0.00010	0.00005		
327	DRM	2	0.26405	0.13203	8911.75	0.0000
328	ACCE	2	0.13970	0.06985	4714.75	0.0000
329	DRM*ACCE	4	0.02495	0.00624	421.00	0.0000
330	ERROR	16	0.00024	0.00001		
331	TOTAL	26	0.42903			
332	GRAND MEAN		2.8937			CV 0.13

333 APPENDIX 8: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR CALCIUM

334	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

335 REP 2 0.01115 0.00558

336 ACCE 2 0.96456 0.48228 137.96 0.0000

337 DRM 2 0.00307 0.00154 0.44 0.6519

338 ACCE*DRM 4 0.06996 0.01749 5.00 0.0083

339 ERROR 16 0.05593 0.00350

340 TOTAL 26 1.10468

341 GRAND MEAN 0.7910 CV 7.47

342 APPENDIX 9: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR IRON

343	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
344	REP	2	0.0229	0.0114		
345	ACCE	2	52.2156	26.1078	2595.64	0.0000
346	DRM	2	2.2467	1.1233	111.68	0.0000
347	ACCE*DRM	4	14.7394	3.6849	366.35	0.0000
348	ERROR	16	0.1609	0.0101		
349	TOTAL	26	69.3855			

350 GRAND MEAN 6.3944 CV 1.57

351 APPENDIX 10: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR POTASSIUM

352	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

353 REP 2 0.00002 0.00001

354 ACCE 2 0.02900 0.01450 1048.20 0.0000

355 DRM 2 0.00799 0.00400 288.87 0.0000

356 ACCE*DRM 4 0.03683 0.00921 665.62 0.0000

357 ERROR 16 0.00022 0.00001

358 TOTAL 26 0.07407

359 GRAND MEAN 0.5648 CV 0.66

360 APPENDIX 11: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR MAGNESIUM

361	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
362	REP	2	0.00003	0.00001		
363	ACCE	2	0.45295	0.22647	18600.1	0.0000
364	DRM	2	0.04867	0.02434	1998.70	0.0000
365	ACCE*DRM	4	0.07375	0.01844	1514.30	0.0000
366	ERROR	16	0.00019	0.00001		
367	TOTAL	26	0.57559			
368	GRAND MEAN		0.3690	CV 0.95		

369 APPENDIX 12: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR SODIUM

370	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

371 REP 2 1.250E-05 6.250E-06

372 ACCE 2 1.263E-03 6.317E-04 28.99 0.0000

373 DRM 2 2.174E-04 1.087E-04 4.99 0.0207

374 ACCE*DRM 4 1.478E-03 3.696E-04 16.96 0.0000

375 ERROR 16 3.487E-04 2.179E-05

376 TOTAL 26 3.320E-03

377 GRAND MEAN 0.0225 CV 20.75

378 APPENDIX 13 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR PHOSPHORUS

379	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
380	REP	2	0.00021	1.037E-04		
381	ACCE	2	0.01243	6.215E-03	143.57	0.0000
382	DRM	2	0.00187	9.349E-04	21.60	0.0000
383	ACCE*DRM	4	0.00320	7.993E-04	18.46	0.0000
384	ERROR	16	0.00069	4.329E-05		
385	TOTAL	26	0.01840			
386	GRAND MEAN	0.3324	CV 1.98			

387 APPENDIX 14: ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR ZINC

388	SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	P
-----	--------	----	----	----	---	---

389	REP	2	0.0008	0.00040		
390	ACCE	2	10.3321	5.16604	1499.21	0.0000
391	DRM	2	0.7013	0.35063	101.76	0.0000
392	ACCE*DRM 4		4.1640	1.04099	302.10	0.0000
393	ERROR	16	0.055	0.00345		
394	TOTAL	26	15.2533			
395	GRAND MEAN		1.7656	CV 3.32		

396

397

398