Market Integration and Price Movement of white and brown cowpea in Urban and Rural Markets of Gombe State, Nigeria. A Granger - Causality Approach.

U.K IROEGBUTE<sup>1</sup>, I. MOHAMMED<sup>1</sup>,.;S .A JIBRIL<sup>2</sup>; E. F PANWA<sup>1</sup> and J .MOSES<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension Department, Federal University Kashere, P.M.B 0182, Gombe State Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi, Bauchi State, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>*PKF Professional Services ( Accountants and Business Advisers ), Giwo House Bauchi State, Nigeria* 

#### **Corresponding Author : urchman225@gmail.com**

### ABSTRACT

The study investigated the price movement and market integration of rural and urban price of brown and white cowpea in Gombe State, Nigeria .Monthly market prices (measured in Naira per kilogram) of brown and white cowpea in the rural and urban markets from January 2009 to December 2014. The data was obtained from the Gombe State Agricultural Development Programme (GSADP). Augmented Dickey Fuller test was used to detect the for the presence of unit root in the series. The Granger causality test was used to test the direction of influence between prices. The descriptive statistics shows that the average price of rural brown cowpea was N109.88k,rural price of white was N95.71k, urban price of brown was N 123.18k while urban price of white was  $\aleph$  110. Unit root test indicated that the prices were stationary at level I(0) and first difference I(1). The Johansen co- integration analysis was used to test for the relationship between markets price and the results indicated that the rural and urban markets price were cointegrated. Pair-wise granger causality test indicated a bi-directional movement between the urban price of brown and urban price of white and a uni-directional movement between rural price of white cowpea and rural price of brown cowpea. There is need for the State government to establish market information centers and information centre of the GSADP should facilitate efficient communication and flow of information concerning prices of agricultural products using the mass media and social media.

**Keywords:** Stationarity, Granger-causality, Market integration, Vector error correction model, Price movement.

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Agricultural Production plays an important role in economic development of Nigeria. Apart from contributing to the largest share of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), agriculture is the largest non-oil foreign exchange earner, the largest employer of labor and a key contributor to wealth creation and poverty alteration in Nigeria (Adamu et al,)[1]. Markets are important for economic growth and sustainable development of a given country, but, emphases in development policies in agrarian countries have usually been placed on increasing agricultural production to serve as a base for rural development. In the absence of well-functioning markets, agricultural production can experience several drawbacks.

The pivotal role of marketing in enhancing rural economy of the world cannot be overemphasized. One of the major functions of Agricultural marketing is to bring items of trade from surplus to deficit areas. Cowpea (*VignaUnguiculata*)is one of the agricultural produce in Gombe State, Cowpea marketing entails all the activities involved in moving cowpea from the point of production to where it is needed by the final consumer. It involves series of transaction costs which are reflected in the size of the marketing margin. These margins vary among brands, types, location and over time (Akpan et al)[3].

Cowpea is considered more tolerated to drought than soybeans and better adapted to sandy soils. Many cowpea cultivars have a vining growth habit, but modern plant breeding has also led to more upright, bush-type cultivars, (Abah et al)[2].

Cowpea is an important source of plant protein in the developing world and most especially in West Africa; cowpea is rich in protein and constitutes a staple food for people in rural and urban areas (Baributsa et al)[6].

The need for the marketing system of cowpea to be well structured and efficiently organized cannot be overemphasized. It enhances the place of economic development by encouraging specialization, generation of foreign exchange earnings, development of an exchange economy, provision of income and employment opportunity for marketing (Olukosi et al)[7].

Instability in commodity prices among markets could be detrimental to the marketing system and the economy as a whole. It could cause inefficiency in resources allocation among sellers and consumers depending on the source of variability. It could also increase poverty level among low income earners in the society (Polaski,)[8] (Akpan et al)[3]. The extent of price movement affect the welfare of poor consumer and farmers, secondly the magnitude of price movement helps to determine the extent to which adjustment by producers and consumers will stabilize world price change (Keats et al.,). Price of food commodity as stated by Goetz et al.,[18] is key variable in an economy that is market- oriented with perfect information. The unstable food price comovement that was experienced during the crisis of 2007 rekindled interest in understanding the driving forces behind volatility and price co- movement of food commodity.

Pries of cowpea is highly unstable between seasons and consumers pay different amount for the same product in different markets separated by a few kilometers (Akpan et al)[3].

In order to ensure maximum returns, farmers must market their production decisions considering the most favorable place, time and form in which their products could be marketed (Ayinde and Idris)[13]. Prices of cowpea vary from month to month, variety and even day to day. Prices also

differ between various grades of cowpea and also differ between alternative markets. Farmers usually sell their surpluses to rural assemblers, who in turn sell to urban wholesalers directly or through commission agents, therefore Sustainability of agricultural activities is hinged on effective price system. In the recent past, the markets for agricultural commodities in Nigeria have shown a pattern of long-term price fall and short-term price instability (IMF)[11]( Akpan et al)[3].

During harvesting periods, prices of farm product are generally low due to surpluses : In the offseason, prices rose due to reduced production and seasonal change (Akpan,[10] Akintunde et al)[12]. Hence, agricultural commodity price is one of the major determinants of quantity of commodities supplied by farmers and demanded by consumers. Price instability among agricultural commodities is a regular phenomenon in markets across Nigeria and could be detrimental to the Marketing system and the economy as a whole. From the literatures reviewed, it can be seen that price movement and market integration studies on white and brown cowpea has not been widely investigated in the study area. Therefore to achieve this the following specific objectives were achieved.

- i. To examine the degree of market integration of white and brown cowpea in the rural and urban markets in the study area.
- ii. To examine the Granger –Causality between the urban and rural price of white and brown cowpea .
- iii. To examine the speed of adjustment to equilibrium of white and brown cowpea in the rural and urban markets in the study area.

# Materials and methods

Gombe State is located between latitude 9 <sup>0</sup>30' and 12 <sup>0</sup>30' N and longitude 8<sup>0</sup>45' and 11 <sup>0</sup> 45' E of the Greenwich meridian. It lies within the North east region of Nigeria and occupies a total area of about 20,265 square kilometres. The State had, as at 1998 an estimated population of 1,820,415 inhabitants (NPC, 2006). The projected population is about 2,275,518 people in 2016. It is a confluence of economic activities by its position as a meeting point for business people from the surrounding State. The State share boundary with Yobe and Borno to the north east, Taraba and Adamawa to the south and Bauchi to the west .This advantage has made the state vibrant in all respects. It has agriculture as the mainstay of its economy with the production of varieties of cash crops with large percentage of the populace engaged in farming and agro allied activities. The soil is very fertile for crops like cowpea, maize, sorghum, millet, groundnut among others that are cultivated in the study area. The State has eleven (11) Local Government Areas grouped into three senatorial zones. Gombe north comprising of Gombe,Kwami,Dukku,Nafada and Funakaye and Gombe central comprising Yamaltu/Deba and Akko Local Government areas while Gombe south comprises of Billiri, Balanga, Kaltungo and Shongom Local Government areas respectively (www.gombe state.gov.ng,)[17].

# **Data Collection**

The data for this study was sourced from Gombe State Agricultural Development Programme (GSADP). Secondary data on monthly prices of brown and white cowpea in rural and urban market spanning from 2009- 2014 was collated. Accordingly, the sample consists of six years

observation, the study period was limited to this time frame because of the availability of necessary data for the study. In all 72 monthly data was used for the research.

In achieving the objectives, this series must be stationary (integrated of same order) the the optimal lag length is determined for the model, the perform Johansen co-integration test if there is no co-integration ,estimate the unrestricted VAR model but if there is co-integration then specify the restricted VAR model which is the VECM. All the variable in the VECM are endogenous, there are no exogenous variables, VECM is constructed where the variables are co-integrated and co-integration specifies evidence of a long run relationship among the variable VECM is obtained by differencing a VAR, hence losing a lag.

# **Empirical Models**

# **Testing for Unit Root.**

A variable is said to have a unit root if it is non-stationary (Vavra and Goodwin,)[15]. A time series that has a unit root is known as a random walk. Vavra and Goodwin)[15] defined a random walk as a process where the current value plus an error term defined as a white noise.

A variable is said to contain a unit root or is 1 (1) if it b non-stationary. The use of data characterized by unit roots may lead to serious error in statistical inference. According to Vavra and Goodwin[15].

If equation (1) equals one, the model is said to be characterized by unit root (the equation becomes the random walk model), and the serious is non-stationary (Vavra and Goodwin)[15].For a series to be stationary, must be less than unity in absolute value.

Hence, stationary requires that  $-1 < \beta < 1$ .

The reason for unit root is to determine whether the series is consistent with 1(1) (integrated order of one) process with a stochastic trend. (Welson and Plosser,)[20]. The commonly used test for the presence of unit root are the t-test proposed by Dickey-Fuller [5]& the alternative test proposed by Philips &Perron)[9].

### **Co-integration Test**

Co-integration test is concerned with estimating long-run economic relationships among nonstationary and integrated variables. Variables are said to be integrated when they share common unit root and the sequence of stochastic shock is common for both. Co-integration is a powerful concept that allows capturing the equilibrium relationship even between non-stationary series (if such equilibrium relationship exists) within a stationary model (Vavra and Goodwin,)[15]. If the series indicates that the series are co-integrated, then one can test for transmission of price.

### **Model Specification**

#### Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (ADF)

 $\Delta Pit = \beta + \beta i T + di Pt-1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} b1 \Delta Pt-1 + Et -----(2)$ 

#### Where;

 $\Delta$  = the difference operator

T= time trend

 $\beta$  =drift parameter

 $\beta i$ , d i and b i =coefficients

Et = error term. (Dickey and Fuller, )[5]

### Johansen co- Integration Model

 $\Delta \mathbf{Pt} = \alpha + \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \Gamma \Delta P \mathbf{t} \mathbf{-1} + \Gamma \Delta \mathbf{Pt} \mathbf{-1} + \prod \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Ut} - \dots$ (3)

#### Where;

Pt = n \* 1 vector containing the cowpea price series at time (t)

 $\Delta$  = the first difference operator

 $\Gamma$ i and  $\prod = n^*n$  matrix of parameters on ith and kth lag of Pt

(Johasen and Juselius, 1990)

Decision Criteria:

\* Reject at the 5% level.

\* Reject the null hypothesis if the value of the trace and Max statistic greater than 5% critical value, otherwise ,fail to reject the null hypothesis.

# Vector error correction model(VECM)

The vector error correction model(VECM) restricts the long –run behavior of the endogenous variable to converge to their co-integrating relationship while allowing for a short run adjustment. it is a restricted VAR designed for use with non-stationary series that are known to be integrated. The Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) is an extension of co-integration method and this is what is used for this study to analysis price movement because it separates short and long-run market dynamics (Conforti,)[4].

 $\Delta y_{t} = \beta_{0+} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \beta \Delta y_{t-1+} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta \Delta x_{t-1} + \psi Z_{t-1} + u_{t}$ 

Z = ECT and is the OLS Residual from the long-run co-integration equation.

 $\psi$  = speed of adjustment.( it measures the speed at which y returns to equilibrium after a change in X.

Cointegrating equation:  $Z_{t-1} = ECT_{t-1} = Y_{t-1} - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_{t-1}$ 

# **Granger Causality Test**

After undertaking co- integration analysis of the long run linkages of the various market pairs, and having identified the market pairs that are linked, an analysis of statistical causation will be conducted. The causality test uses an error correction model (ECM) of the following form;

The Granger Model

 $\operatorname{Rpt} = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha U p t - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{u} \beta j \operatorname{RPt-j+Et} - \dots$ (4)

# Where:

Rpt = rural market price

*Upt-*1= urban market price

U= number of observations

m= number of lags

#### Et=error term

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  = parameter to be estimated. (Baulch, 1997)

**Results and Discussions** 

Mean109.875095.70833123.1806110.0000Median100.000090.00000110.0000100.0000Maximum210.0000200.0000250.0000250.0000Minimum62.0000030.0000064.0000064.00000Std. Dev.35.9839332.0301144.5283036.54941Skewness1.6593531.2053551.8021932.382366Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566Probability0.0000000.0000000.0000000.000000
Maximum210.0000200.0000250.0000250.0000Minimum62.0000030.0000064.0000064.00000Std. Dev.35.9839332.0301144.5283036.54941Skewness1.6593531.2053551.8021932.382366Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566
Minimum62.0000030.0000064.0000064.00000Std. Dev.35.9839332.0301144.5283036.54941Skewness1.6593531.2053551.8021932.382366Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566
Std. Dev.35.9839332.0301144.5283036.54941Skewness1.6593531.2053551.8021932.382366Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566
Skewness1.6593531.2053551.8021932.382366Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566
Kurtosis5.4188195.8824785.8910719.540859Jarque-Bera50.5934942.3606164.04968196.4566
Jarque-Bera 50.59349 42.36061 64.04968 196.4566
Probability 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000
Sum 7911.000 6891.000 8869.000 7920.000
Sum Sq. Dev.         91933.88         72840.87         140776.7         94846.00
Observations         72         72         72         72

Table 1: Descriptive	Statistics of white	and brown cowpea	a in the study are	a (2009-2014).

Source: Gombe State Agricultural Development Programme (GSADP), 2018, table is computed by Authors and price expressed in nominal terms. Unit of measurement (N/kg).

The mean price of 1 kg of brown cowpea in the rural market was \$109.86k with a minimum price of \$62 and maximum price of \$210. The mean price of 1 kg of white cowpea was \$95.71k with a minimum price of \$30 and a maximum price of \$200/kg. The mean urban price of brown cowpea per kg was \$123.18k with a minimum price of \$64 and a maximum price of \$250/kg while the mean urban price of white cowpea was \$110/kg with a minimum price of

N64 and a maximum price of N250/kg. The prices of both white and brown cowpea were positively skewed to the right. The result of the kurtosis shows that the prices were leptokurtic meaning that the kurtosis are greater than 3 and are flat tailed. The standard deviation in the rural pw and urbanpw followed similar pattern (32.03011 and 36.54941) which indicates that the change in both prices assumed similar pattern, the same goes for ruralpb and urbanpb (35.98393 and 44.52830).

Market price series	price level 1(0)	Lag	First Diff (1)	Lag
Rural PB	7.9630 ***	(0)	9.5130***	(1)
Rural PW	4.9477 ***	(0)	11.7228 ***	(0)
Urban PB	3.6052 ***	(0)	8.2934 ***	(0)
Urban PW	5.3491 ***	(0)	13.0761 ***	(0)

Table 1a: Augmented Dickey- Fuller Unit root test result of price series (2009 - 2014)

Source: Authors extract.

Significant at 1%

The hypotheses to be tested are:

H<sub>o</sub>: Price series has a unit root

H<sub>A</sub>: Price series has no unit root

# Decision rule:

if t-statistics value is greater than the ADF critical value we fail to reject H<sub>0</sub> and otherwise.

The result in the table shows the stationary test for urban and rural prices of brown and white cowpea. The results indicate that the variables were stationary both at levels I(0) and at first difference I(1) this is done in other to avoid a spurious regression and errors as a result of the data generating process. Therefore, the null-hypothesis was rejected in favour of the alternative. Thus, the price series were stationary both at levels I(0) and first difference I(1) are requirements for the Johansen co-integration analysis. The result is in agreement with the findings of Mafimisibi et al., 2014, Akintunde et al[12].

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria

# Endogenous variables: RURALPB RURALPW URBANPB URBANPW Exogenous variables: C

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-1160.626	NA	2.50e+10	35.29170	35.42440	35.34414
1	-1100.122	111.8400	6.49e+09*	33.94310*	34.60664*	34.20530*
2	-1091.469	14.94687	8.15e+09	34.16573	35.36008	34.63767
3	-1076.120	24.65206	8.43e+09	34.18544	35.91062	34.86714
4	-1068.167	11.80770	1.10e+10	34.42932	36.68532	35.32077
5	-1047.699	27.91191*	1.01e+10	34.29390	37.08073	35.39511
6	-1034.065	16.93901	1.16e+10	34.36560	37.68326	35.67657

Sample: 2009M01 2014M12 Included observations: 66

\* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5%

level)

FPE: Final prediction error

AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion

HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

From the VAR Lag Order Selection criteria table above the Akaike Information Criterion(AIC), Final prediction error(FPE),Schwarz information criterion(SC), and Hannan-Quinn information criterion(HQ) all selected a lag length of one(1) while sequential modified LR test statistic selected lag length of five(5).So we go with a lag length of one (1).

Test for co-integration between urban and rural market price of cowpea (white and brown).
Table 2: Johansen Co-integration result (2009-2014)

Market pair	Trace Statistic	Critical Value (5%)	Max E value	igen Critical value	Hypothesized No of CE (S)
Rural- Urban PB	32.57	15.49	21.03	14.26	At most 1
	34.44	15.49	20.62	14.26	At most 1
Rural PW- Urban PW	34.44	15.50	23.45	14.26	At most 1

Urban	PB-	35.91	15.50	23.22	14.26	At most 1
Urban P	W					
Source :	Author	rs Extract.				

\* Significant (P < 0.05)

The co-integration test carried out on all the price series to determine the existence of long-run relationship between the price series using Johansen co-integration test gave the result presented in Table (2). Both the trace statistics and maximum Eigen value indicated two co-integrating vectors for brown and white cowpea market price at 5% level of significance.

The null hypothesis of co-integration, r = 0 is rejected This is because the trace statistic for the null hypothesis of r = 0 were greater than the critical value of 5%. This implies that rural – urban market price for these commodities are co-integrate and there is significant existence of long-run market relationship. It also indicates that a perfect price transmission of formation exist in both urban and rural markets of cowpea. When there is perfect transmission of price in a network of markets, producers, marketers and consumer, will realize the appropriate gains from trade because correct price signals will be transmitted down the marketing chain. This is in line with the findings of (Ojiako et al[14] and Izekor et al[16].

Table2a : Cointegraing Equation

1 Cointegrating	Equation(s)	Log likelihood	-768.3542	
Normalized coin	ntegrating coeffic	cients (standard error ir	n parentheses)	
RURALPB	URBANPE	3		
1.000000	0.007707			
	(0.41555)			

Table2b:	Cointegrating	g Equation
----------	---------------	------------

	0 - 1			
1 Cointegrating E	equation(s)	Log likelihood	-760.7569	
Normalized coir	ntegrating coeff	icients (standard error	in parentheses)	
RURALPB	RURAL	PW		
1.000000	-0.32202	0		
	( 0.59799	))		

#### Table2c : Cointegrating Equation

1 cointegrating Eq	uation(s)	Log likelihood	-614.6303	
Normalized coint	egrating coeffi	cients ( standard error	in parentheses)	
RURALPW	URBAN	PW		
1.000000	-0.724583	3		
	( 0.07677)	)		

Table 2d : Cointegrating Equation

	0 0 1			
1cointegrating	g Equation(s)	Log likelihood	-621.1777	
Normalized coi	ntegrating coeffi	cients ( standard error	in parentheses)	
URBANPB	URBANPW	V		
1.000000	-1.222191			
	(0.07616)			

Source: From Authors extract.

From the above co-integrating equations( Table 2a -2d), the signs of the coefficient is reversed in the long-run, and this shows that in the long-run falling price of urban price of brown cowpea are associated with the rising price of rural price of brown cowpea vice- versa, in table 2b, the coefficient is reversed in the long-run and this shows that in the long-run the rising price of urban price of white cowpea is associated with the rising price of rural price of rural price of brown cowpea vice-versa. Table 2c in the long-run shows that the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban white cowpea is associated with the rising price of urban price of brown cowpea vice versa, table 2d in the long run shows that the rising price of urban price of urban

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Speed of Adjustment coefficients

The speed of adjustment coefficient given as -0.935 and -0.866 for the rural price of brown cowpea and urban price of white cowpea. The negative sign indicates a move back towards equilibrium. The results indicate that if there is a positive direction for long-rum equilibrium the markets tends to respond with a decrease if both rural and urban prices of brown cowpea and white cowpea prices. The rural price of Brown cowpea tends to respond faster relative to urban price of white cowpea. The adjustment coefficient was statistically significant at 5% suggesting that the urban price of white cowpea is weakly exogenous. This suggests that movement in urban price of white cowpea is less affected by the price of rural price of Brown cowpea. This means that the long-run equilibrium after exogenous shocks is restored.

The speed of adjustment coefficient is given as -0.5514 and 0.4407 for rural price of white and urban price of brown cowpea. The results indicate that if there is a positive deviation from long-run equilibrium the markets tend to respond with decreases in both rural and urban price of cowpea. The rural price of white cowpea tends to respond faster compared to urban price of brown cowpea , and at long run it will converge at equilibrium while the that of urban price of brown cowpea will not converge at long-run.

The adjustment coefficient was statistically significant at 5% suggesting that the urban price of brown cowpea is weakly exogenous.

Estimated VECM with RURALpb as target variable:

 $\Delta Ruralpb = 0.935060ect_{t-1} + 0.097148 \Delta Ruralpb_{t-1} + 0.112009 \Delta Urbanpb_{t-1} + 0.086067$ 

Cointegrating equation( long-run)

 $ect_{t-1} = 1.000000Urbanpbt-1 - 0.519536Urbanpn_{t-1} - 46.29201$ 

Estimating VECM with URBANpw as target variable

 $\Delta Urbanpw = -0.0866278ect_{t-1} - 0.025234 \Delta urbanpw_{t-1} - 0.083578 \Delta urbanpb_{t-1} + 0.295933$ 

Cointegrating equation (long-run)

 $ect_{t-1} = 1.000000Urbanpw_{t-1} - 0.696457 \Delta Urbanpb_{t-1} - 24.30492$ 

Estimating VECM with Ruralpw as target variable

 $\Delta Ruaralpw = -0.55147ect_{t-1} + 0.101959 \Delta Ruralpw_{t-1} - 0.390509 \Delta urbanpw_{t-1} + 0.187129$ 

Cointegrating equation (long-run) ect<sub>t-1</sub> = 1.000000Ruralpw<sub>t-1</sub> -  $0.836287\Delta$ urbanpw<sub>t-1</sub> - 3.6580507

Estimating VECM with URBANpb as target variable

 $\Delta Urbanpb = 0.440712ect_{t-1} - 0.197389 \Delta urbanpb_{t-1} + 0.374656 \Delta Ruralpb_{t-1} + 0.237327$ 

Cointegrating equation (long-run)

 $ect_{t-1} = 1.000000Urbanpw_{t-1} - 1.924795 \Delta Ruralpb_{t-1} + 89.10264$ 

Table3 : Granger- causality for urban and rural prices of white and brown Cowpea(2009-2014).

Direction of causality	No of lag	F-statistic	Decision	
$Urbanpb \rightarrow Urbanpw$	1	4.94277(0.0295)	Rejected	
$Urbanpw \rightarrow Urbanpb$	1	11.6964(0.0011)	Rejected	
Ruralpb $\rightarrow$ Urbanpw	1	1.23686(0.2700)	Accepted	
Urbanpw $\rightarrow$ Ruralpb	1	11.2936(0.0013)	Rejected	
$Urbanpb \rightarrow Ruralpw$	1	1.83690(0.1798)	Accepted	

Ruralpw $\rightarrow$ Urbanpb	1	3.55414(0.0637)	Accepted	
Ruralpb $\rightarrow$ Ruralpw	1	1.14766(0.2878)	Accepted	
Ruralpw $\rightarrow$ Ruralpb	1	04.17977(0.0448)	Rejected	
Ruralpw $\rightarrow$ Urbanpw	1	0.19863(0.6572)	Accepted	
Urbanpw $\rightarrow$ Rural pw	2	0.01206(0.9129)	Accepted	

Source : Author's Extract

Value in parenthesis= probability level. Urbanpb = urban price of brown Urbanpw = urban price of white Ruralpb =rural price of brown Ruralpw =rural price of white

From table 3 above the pair wise Granger causality test shows that the rural and urban price of brown and white cowpea does not granger cause each other except for urban price of brown(urbanpb) that that granger cause urban price of white(urbanpw) and also urban price white granger cause urban price of brown cowpea,urban price of white cowpea(urbanpw) granger cause rural price of brown(ruralpb), rural price of white(ruralpw) also granger cause rural price of brown cowpea(ruralpb). There are two uni-directional movement of price and one bi-directional movement. This means that passed price of rural price of white can be used to predict the future price of urban white vise versa.

Conclusion and Recommendation

The study used statistical and econometric method to analyze the movement of price and market integration of white and brown cowpea in the rural and urban markets of Gombe State, Nigeria .The result for the unit root test between the rural and urban prices of white and brown cowpea shows that the prices were stationary at level I(0) and at first difference I(1). T he Johansen co-integration test revealed that the urban and rural markets were integrated at long-run, and VECM result revealed that the prices will converge at long run to equilibrium except for urban price of brown cowpea. The Granger –Causality test revealed that two markets exhibited uni- directional movement of price and one bi- directional movement of price.

Therefore, based on the discoveries of this study, it is recommended that the Gombe State government should help in putting marketing infrastructures in place especially in rural areas, also effort should be made to reduce excessive charges by revenue collectors on the road from rural to urban centers. The State agricultural development programme should intensify effort to create a proper information sharing centers through the use mass media (radio, television etc) and even social media to facilitate efficient flow of information to cowpea farmers and consumers both within and outside the State. Despite the various effort by the government to combat high fluctuations in food prices of food commodities in the markets, it has been very difficult for consumers to get enough supplies and also for the farmers to secure inputs and also there prices. There is need for policy shift at all levels and substantial investment in the transport infrastructure to enable produce to move from production areas to market place. Tere is also need to strengthen social capital in order for farmers to have the ability to negotiate in the market place and secure fairer prices for their products.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Adamu, M.T, Biwe, E.R and Suleh, Y. G (2013). Socio-Economic Characteristics Of farmers under National Fadama Development Project in Biliri Local Government Area of Gombe State, Nigeria Projournal of Agricultural Sciences, research 1 (2) 7-21.
- Abah, D and Tor, I.E (2012. Cost and returns of cowpea Enterprise is Lafia Local \ Government of Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Production Agriculture and Technology PAT December, 8 (2): 59-67.
- 3. Akpan, S.B, Udoh, E.J and Udo, U.J (2014) monthly Prices Analysis of Cowpea

(Bean) and maize in AkwaIbom State, Southern Nigeria.International journal of food and Agricultural Economics ISSN 2147-8988 Vol. 2 No2PP65-86.

- 4. Conforti P. (2004). Price Transmission in selected Agricultural Markets FAO Commodity and Trade Policy Research Working Paper 7.
- Dickey D. A and fuller, W. A (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. J. AM Stat. Ass. 74(366): 427 – 431.
- 6. Baributsa, D. Lowenbery Deboer, J. Murdock, L, Moussa, B (2010) profitable Chemical –free cowpea storage technology for smallholder farmers in Africa opportunities and challenges 10<sup>th</sup> international working conference on stored product protection, international programs in Agriculture, 615W State street, Purdue University, West Lafayette pp. 1046-1052.
- Olukosi, J.OIsitor, S.V and Ode, M.O (2005). Introduction to agricultural marketing and prices; Principles and Application. Living book series, G.U Publication, Abuja pp106.
- 8. Polaski, S. (2008). Rising food prices, poverty and Poha Round. Carnegie

Endowment for international peace, principles and application.Living book series, G. M Publication Abuja.15-20.

9. Philips P.C and Perron P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. Biometric 75(2): 335 - 346.

- Akpan, S.B (2002). Analysis of Gross Margin Efficiency of rice, beans and Garri in delected markets in Cross River state. (Unpublished undergraduate project work, Department of Agricultural Economics). University of Calabar, Nigeria November, 5<sup>th</sup> pp 110-120.
- International Monetery Fund (2010). In S.BAkpan, E.JUdoh and U.JUdo (2014) Monthly Price Analysis of cowpea (beans) and maize is Akwa-Ibom State, Southern Nigeria International Journal of food and Agricultural Economics Vol. 2 No 2 pp 65-86.
- 12. Akintuade, O.K, Yusuf, S.A, Bolarinwa, A.O and Ibe, R.B (2012) Price formation and Transmission of staple food stuffs in Osun state, Nigeria. ARPN Journal of Agricultural and biological Science, Vol. 7, No. 9 pp 699-710.
- Ayinde, O.E and Idris, A.O (2014). Effect of Socio-Economic Factors on Ruck Behaviour of farming Household. An Empirical evidence of small scale crop producers in Kwara State, Nigeria Agricultural Journal. 3(6): 447-453
- 14. Ojiako, I.A, Ezedinma, C. Asumugha, G. N and Nkang, N.M (2013) Partial

Integration and Price Transmission in selected cassava products markets in Nigeria: A case of Lafun World Applied Sciences, Journal, 18 (9): 1209-1219.

- Vavra P and Goodwin B. (2005). Analysis of Price Transmission along the food charis (No. 3) OECD Publishing.
- 16. Izekor, O.B, Alufohai, G.O and Eronmwon, I (2016). Analysis of Market Intergration and price variation is Garri Marketing in Edo State, Nigeria.
  17. www.gombestate.gov.ng.accssed on the 27<sup>th</sup> june, 2017.

18. Goetz, S., Weber, M.T., Arndt, S.W., Richardson, J.D., Herrera, A.C., Sarma, A.K., Vanamaya, P.R. (1986). Foundamentals of price analysis in developing Countries food system: a training manual to accompany the microcomputers software program MSTAT (No.E7OG611). Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, Mich (EUA).

19. Keats, S,Wiggin, S, Compton, JVigneri, M. (2010). Food price transmission : Rising international cereals prices and domestic markets, London:ODI. project Briefing.

20. Nelson, C and Plosser, C (1982). Trend s and random walks in Macroeconomic time series: Some evidences and implications. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 1982, Vol10, Issue 2, 139 - 162.