SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_50709
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Soil Quality in a Deltaic Hydrocarbon Polluted Environment Niger Delta Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The ABSTRACT is not written to the style of the journal. The references in text are not to the style of the journal. References in text should be in brackets [5]. References are not done Harvard style. The STUDY AREA lines 86 to 95 Should be in the MATERIALS AND METHODS. The map Figure 1 can be in DISCUSSION or RESULTS REFERENCES at end of article are not to the style of the journal. REFERENCES at	
Minor REVISION comments	end should be numerical order as presented in the text.	
Optional/General comments	The TABLES and FIGURES are useful and provide information. After the revisions listed above are completed, the article can be published.	

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comr manuscript and mandatory that
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	none	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Bartzatt
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska, USA

pmment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is hat authors should write his/her feedback here)