



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_62437
Title of the Manuscript:	THE UNITED STATES-CHINA TRADE WAR: EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT FROM 2018 TO 2020
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>My primary concern is language. Extensive language editing is required. The text has not been checked with Microsoft Word's grammar and syntax checker, having many mistakes.</p> <p>Finally, the text is mostly divided into large paragraphs that distract the reader's attention. Make them smaller and more straightforward.</p> <p>In terms of the article's content, the following must be re-examined:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TITLE: Clarify whether the discussion is about 2018-2020 or 2012-2020, as stated in the conclusions. • ABSTRACT: Needs to be restructured and provide a short and clear summary of the purpose, aims, research design, findings, and conclusion. • INTRODUCTION: Why is this a research gap? What do you add? State your research question. In the introduction, also write what studies have you read and analyzed to conduct your research. In other words, write about your method. • CONCLUSIONS: You should better call this section conclusions and discussion and lay down your findings. Where do the research and all these statistics lead? Is there a specific conclusion? Also, speak about possible limitations and future directions of research. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dimos Chatzinikolaou</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Democritus University of Thrace, Greece</i>