



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_53409
Title of the Manuscript:	Conjunctivochalasis: A Systemic Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 229 Please correct Conjunctivochalasis Line 242 What do the authors mean with "irrigated complaints" Line 244 please provide the proper abbreviation of conjunctivochalasis since the beginning of the document. Line 337 I think the author are referring to the city of Bogota in Colombia instead of "Columbia" Line 358 through line 360.- Please rewrite the entire paragraph, it is not understandable . Line 451. Choices must be in singular as the authors refer to a one surgical procedure.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angel Nava-Castañeda
Department, University & Country	National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico