#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ MRJI_66676
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Cropping Practices on the Persistence and Vertical Migration of E. coli in Hydromorph Soil in Dschang West Cameroon
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		This recuback riere)
	I have gone through the manuscript entitled "Influence of Cropping Practices on the	
	Persistence and Vertical Migration of <i>E. coli</i> in Hydromorph Soil in Dschang West	
	Cameroon". I can see that the manuscript carries merit to be published in your reputed	
	journal but after major corrections mentioned below and annotated in the manuscript as	
	well.	
	1. The authors need to improve the language throughout the manuscript as there are	
	several grammatical and spelling mistakes.	
	2. The introduction part of the manuscript is too short and there is a lack of recent citations	
	in this part as there is huge literature available on this topic. I have made annotated	
	changes on MS, which authors need to look into.	
	3. In material and methods portion, there is lack of statistical design approach that was	
	used during the study.	
	3. In results, Figures and table need improvement as suggested in MS.	
	4. In discussion part, the Authors need to support their findings with the latest information	
	and there is a need to replace old references/citations with the latest ones as there are too	
	old references/citations used by the authors overall in manuscript.	
	Thanks for writing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Muhammad Tahir Akram
Department, University & Country	PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)