



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_50681
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Optimal Siting of Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 329: No Roman Numerals for REFERENCES. 2. Check the reference format: F. A. Author 3. Uniform font size in section heading 4. Number equations consecutively with equation numbers in parentheses flush with the right margin, (Line 83 & 86) 5. Line 70: start with : Ren et al. [21] 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Please include the following comment's in your article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policies of government over the charging station regarding sustainability. 2. What is the impact in the economy? 3. Siting requirements of EV in each environment (residential, commercial, etc) 4. Table summary for battery sizes and charge time for various electric vehicle platforms. 5. The cost associated with providing charging infrastructure for EV, along with the cost for onboard power electronics and the batteries associated with EV technology. 6. Summary table of each algorithm used in different infrastructures: the benefits and disadvantages. 	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charles G. Juarizo
Department, University & Country	Pamantasan ng Lungsod ng Maynila, Philippines