



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48243
Title of the Manuscript:	PESTICIDE RESIDUE LEVELS IN SOIL, WATER AND SELECTED VEGETABLES IN EWASO NAROK WETLAND, LAIKIPIA, COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>English language needs slight corrections especially important in the Methods sections for example the word "kale" is collective so "kales" does not exist.</p> <p>If some of the pesticides checked are banned (Aldicarb, azinphos methyl), how are they being imported into the country and used regularly by farmers? Is it possible that the chemical detected is a degradation product or modification of another pesticide?</p> <p>Was any protocol used to wash the surface of the tomatoes and kale? If not, how can the authors know if the pesticide is mainly on or in the produce? If it is mainly on the surface, the level available for human uptake would be much lower. If there was no washing, this should be mentioned as a limitation.</p> <p>Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry is best represented by the abbreviation "LC-MS/MS" so please add the dash.</p> <p>Some references in text are in round brackets instead of square ones, while others are in the wrong format e.g. "Golge and Kabak (2015)" and "(WHO, 2017; WHO, 2003)"</p> <p>In-situ should be in italics</p> <p>The Results section is called "Reesults and Discussion" and the following section is "Discussion". Please follow the Editor's recommendation on how to divide the sections such that Results and Discussion are either completely integrated or separate.</p> <p>Change "0.69%-10.81percent" to "0.69%-10.81%"</p> <p>Combine "Significantly higher values of temperature, pH and conductivity were recorded for the soil during the dry season than the wet season. (Table 1) While significantly higher values for the TDS was recorded for the soil during the wet season compared to dry season." into "Significantly higher values of temperature, pH and conductivity were recorded for the soil during the dry season than the wet season (Table 1), while significantly higher values for the TDS was recorded for the soil during the wet season compared to dry season."</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byron Baron
Department, University & Country	University of Malta, Malta