



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_48243
Title of the Manuscript:	PESTICIDE RESIDUE LEVELS IN SOIL, WATER AND SELECTED VEGETABLES IN EWASO NAROK WETLAND, LAIKIPIA, COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	(1) Include in the text the reason for choosing the pesticides analyzed in the different matrices (2) Include in the text why the authors choose analyze pesticide residues in tomatoes and kale (3) Why was the citrate QuEChERS method used to analyze the pesticides in soil, tomato and kale samples? Explain in the text the reason (4) To add chromatograms of the samples, at least those that registered greater presence and concentration of pesticides (5) Always use the term LC-MS/MS	
Minor REVISION comments	(1) the information about concentration range are different in experimental and results sections, please revise them (2) some values in the text are without units (one example: page 5, line 2) revise them and ensure the significative digits	
Optional/General comments	(1) In my opinion, the results of Tables 1 and 2 were not adequately discussed. What is the influence of these values on the sample preparation process? (2) Are there any relation of these values to the choice of citrate QuEChERS method?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anizio Marcio de Faria</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute of Exact and Natural Sciences of Pontal, Federal University of Uberlândia, Brazil</i>