Review Form 1.6

| Journal Name: | Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JPRI_71412 | |
| Title of the Manuscript: | AN AYURVEDA MANAGEMENT OF SHWTRA – A CASE STUDY | |
| Type of the Article | Case study | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The said article has been reviewed and enclosing herewith my comments. The paper is well written. This is a very interesting study and author/ s have collected a unique dataset. The given analysis seems to have good social relevance. All the necessary information regarding Shwitra disease and subsequent Ayurvedic treatment have been discussed. Assessment grading for various subjective parameters were mentioned in neat tabular form. Comparison for before and after the treatment has been done in systematic manner. The article is generally well structured. The paper has a potential to be accepted for the publication | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| | SUGGESTIONS: | |
| | 1. More extensive literature review needs to be conducted | |
| | 2. In introduction section, first of all, to clear the rationale of study, the study significance should be carefully discussed, what was the need of performing the present study. It should not be unnecessarily lengthy and should be precisely oriented towards the aim of the authors? | |
| | 3. Sampling methods need to discussed more in detail. | |
| | 4. The most significant point is, Shwitra is very common disease and there are so many allopathic medicines are already available in the market. These medicines are very rapid in action having said that few side effects have been observed. So, in my opinion this point should point should have been addressed. This comparison study / analysis could have been done to prove the utility of Ayurvedic treatment over allopathic medicines. (it's a optional part) | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PART 2:

| | | | Author's comment (if agreed v highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Are there ethi | cal issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Pralhad Rege |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Department, University & Country | India |

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write