

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70526
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report On Steroid- Responsive Encephalopathy In A Case Of Hashimoto's Thyroiditis
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author should concentrate on the case reporting and present the report as such.</p> <p>The abstract should follow the standard format of introduction, method used, results and conclusion. The method has not been expressed in the abstract.</p> <p>The pathoaetiology and therapeutic modalities of Hashimoto thyroiditis should be organized well.</p> <p>There was no method on work done on systematic review so there should be no conclusion on such.</p> <p>The history and clinical findings should be presented chronologically.</p> <p>In text references should be provided for factual statements made.</p> <p>The paper should be reorganized to suit the topic and appropriate conclusion should be made.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There should be English editing of the paper.</p> <p>There are incomplete statements (e.g. Hashimoto is a Japanese word that translates to.)</p> <p>Grammatical errors are too many in the paper.</p> <p>Punctuation and spacing should be checked and corrected (e.g. to " In the United States).</p> <p>Typographical errors should be corrected e.g. Paediatric not peadiatric.</p> <p>The contents of Relevant past interventions with outcome have been repeated under timelines.</p> <p>All abbreviations should be written in full when first introduced e.g. AVBRH</p> <p>Units should be written well e.g. mmHg not mm/hg</p> <p>The type of diabetes should be stated (mellitus or insipidus)</p> <p>The bibliography should follow a standard reference style.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<i>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alfred Ofori Agyemang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ghana</i>