SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67925
Title of the Manuscript:	DISINFECTION OF TANK WATER USING BIOGENIC SILVER NANOSILICA AS ANTI-MICROBIAL AGENTS IN JEDDAH CITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors describe the antimicrobial activity of polyurethane foam coated nano-silica silver nanoparticles for use in water treatment. I have some comments: a. I suggest that the authors modify the manuscript's title. The title could be: ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF POLYURETHANE FOAM COATED NANO-SILICA SILVER NANOPARTICLES ON TANK WATER FROM JEDDAH CITY. b. Authors must correct errors in the scientific nomenclature of microorganisms and spelling errors in the manuscript. c. Could the authors mention why each site was sampled only once? A more significant number of samples from the same location would strengthen their work. d. The authors could mention Why did they use 0.45um filters to filter the water samples? A 0.22um filter would have helped them retain all the bacteria and fungi present in the water samples. e. I recommend using CFU in Figure 2, as shown in Table 5 f. The results could be better presented. For example, in figure 2, the points should not be joined by a line because they correspond to different samples. g. In evaluating the Antimicrobial activity of NSAgNPs, a positive	highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Minor REVISION comments	control is necessary to show an effect similar to antibiotics (it could be an antibiotic to which the bacteria/fungus was susceptible). h. Remove the word "microorganisms" from figure 6. Place the bars of the graph horizontally. i. I suggest that Table 6 be plotted on a line graph to see the decline in CFU versus time. Positive control and negative control (no treatment) is also required in this assay. j. TEM assays can demonstrate the interaction between nanoparticles-microorganisms and nanoparticles-MCF-7 cells. k. The authors do not show statistical analysis in any assay. Please could you present it in the figures and tables?	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nayeli Shantal Castrejón-Jiménez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, México

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)