SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_65044
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF PULMONARY HYPERTENSION IN SICKLE CELL ANEMIAI PATIENT IN KSA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	THE MANUSCRIPT PREVALENCE OF PULMONARY HYPERTENSION IN SICKLE CELL ANEMIAI PATIENT IN KSA HAS VERY WRITE UP THROUGHOUT THE MANUSCRIPT EG: IN THIS STUDY, WE AIMED IN THIS STUDY TO DETERMINE PREVALENCE OF PHTN IN SCA PATIENT, AND ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS WITH IT. ABBREVATION HAS WITHOUT EXPANTION EG: SCI NO UNIFORMITY IN THE REFERENCES THE MANUSCRIPT HAS TO THOROGUHLY REWRITTEN BEFORE ITS ACCEPTANCE	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT PREVALENCE OF PULMONARY HYPERTENSION IN SICKLE CELL ANEMIAI PATIENT IN KSA HAS SCIENTIFICAL SOUND IN THE CONNECTION OF SURVEY	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T Panneerselvam
Department, University & Country	India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)