SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_62804
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study on antidiabetic effect of ethanolic extract of jute leaf on neonatal streptozotocin- induced Type-2 diabetic model rat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
e authors investigated the antidiabetic properties of <i>Corchoruscapsularis</i> and <i>orchorusolitorius</i> varieties of jute leaf (ethanolic extract) on nSTZ-induced type-2 abetic rats.	
The author should clarify what is meaning by neonatal STZ I order to sharply declare the antidiabetic effect of both extract you have to ctionate all the contents of extraction and to refer to each compound and its role antidiabetic effect Serum Insulin should be measured to elucidate the mechanism of antidiabetic ect	
In the introduction, the authors are not adequately described the rationale of the ork. As there was no explanation of why and how the authors came up with the	
The Se	chorusolitorius varieties of jute leaf (ethanolic extract) on nSTZ-induced type-2 petic rats. The author should clarify what is meaning by neonatal STZ perioder to sharply declare the antidiabetic effect of both extract you have to tionate all the contents of extraction and to refer to each compound and its role intidiabetic effect erum Insulin should be measured to elucidate the mechanism of antidiabetic ct. The introduction, the authors are not adequately described the rationale of the k. As there was no explanation of why and how the authors came up with the

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Mansour
Department, University & Country	King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Saudi Arabia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)