SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_62181
Title of the Manuscript:	MATERNAL NEAR MISS IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	These are some of the observations 1) Grammatical mistakes 2) Table 2 It should be 12% of study population had no education 3) No mention of prevalence in the present study 4) Profile of medical and Obstetric conditions should have come in table form 5) Mode of delivery has not been mentioned 6) There is no critical analysis in this study about the reasons for near miss, intervention strategies, need for blood transfusion ICU stay, Complications which would have given an insight about the way cases were handled. 7) There is no correlation between the present study and the studies quoted in the discussion 8) Table 4. T should be 78% patients needed hospitalization for 10-20 days 9) In discussion part, it should be un-booked 62% and booked 38% cases	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajeshwari Khyade
Department, University & Country	Rguhs University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)