



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_61898
Title of the Manuscript:	An Immunological Outlook on SARS Coronavirus and Its Current Clinical Status
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The main observations are summarized. In view of its contents, the present manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor revision with the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please, add an acronym section and define all the abbreviations and terminologies. 2. In the introduction section the contributions of the study it is not clear. All the key contributions of the study should be explained in a very precise manner. 3. The figures must be replotted with higher resolution as well as higher font size as in the current format will appear blurry in the final version of publication upon the acceptance of the paper. In addition, more descriptive information should be provided about them. 4. The main contributions of this study should be clarified considering the existing studies in the relevant literature. 5. In the conclusion section, All the findings of the work should be presented in the bullet format 6. More achievements along with more future recommendations should be provided in the conclusion. 	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Meziane
Department, University & Country	Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco