



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59477
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential anticancer effects of Aged Garlic Extract and its water-soluble organosulfur compounds
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is not adequate 2. No consistency with reference 3. The aim of the study is not stated in the introduction 4. The introduction does not capture cancer, its prevalence, effects and economic burden. 5. You are expected to review researches on the effect of aged garlic extract only on different types of cancer 6. The conclusion is too long and consist of reference (please remove references from the conclusion) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nathan Isaac Dibal
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria