

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_59159
Title of the Manuscript:	Solubility of Calcium Phosphate Crystallization in vitro in presence of Basella rubra Deproteinized Concoction used in n
Type of the Article	Original work

#### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

## non-codified medicine for Urinary stones

## SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol> <li>Article needs to be <u>rewritten</u> with good English. There are many punctuation errors and syntax errors that should be corrected.</li> <li>Introduction         <ol> <li>Missing references</li> <li>References for figure</li> <li>Missing references that the atther ferences.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Materials and Methods         <ol> <li>Missing references that the authors followed</li> <li>In step "2.2 Collection of the plant material", keeping the parts of the plant in 4°C is freezing and not refrigerated as mentioned by the authors.</li> <li>In step "2.3 Preparation of deproteinized concoction", aqueous extraction again and again ???. Authors should mention how many times the extraction was performed and the volume of solvent used.</li> <li>In step "2.3 Preparation of deproteinized concoction", <u>authors did not mention the volume used of saturated ammonium sulphate</u>.</li> <li>In general, the method should be <u>rewritten</u> more clearly.</li> <li>It is better not the write a reference in the title as in "2.4 Preliminary Phytochemical Screening (Boxi <i>et al</i>)."</li> <li>In Fehling test, <u>authors should mention either bring to boil or boil for ???? time</u>.</li> <li>In Molisch test, <u>authors should mention the volume of the concoction added to the reagent</u>.</li> <li>In general, authors should take care of the <u>missing information</u> in the methods steps.</li> <li>J. The title in "3.2.1 Solubility effect on calcium phosphate" is not clear.</li> <li>MSO solvent should be written in detail when mentioned for the first time with the abbreviation, then authors can use the abbreviation only.</li> <li>In step "3.2.1 Solubility effect on calcium phosphate", the different concentrations (50 mg/ml and 100 mg/ml concentrations of each), is it for DMSO or the concoction??</li> <li>In step "3.2.1 Solubility effect on</li></ol></li></ol>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

#### reed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Suhera M. Aburawi
Department, University & Country	University of Tripoli, Libya

# with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her