SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_54223
Title of the Manuscript:	ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC CHANGES IN CONTROLLED TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS WITH REFERENCE TO BODY MASS INDEX AND WAIST HIP RATIO
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	The authors present an interesting investigation that describes the echocardiographic alterations detected in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	I propose that the authors make various changes and additions to the document, in order to make the work more attractive. I suggest that the type of physical examination performed on patients and what anthropometric measures were considered be specified in the study design. On the other hand in the results it would be relevant to present the data of the ages, anthropometric measurements, blood cell count, etc. I recommend including the largest amount of data available and establishing whether they had any relationship with the echocardiographic changes detected. It is necessary that in Fig. 1 mention be made of the group to which each bar corresponds. Define in the text the abbreviations used. Comment on the Figures and Table in the Results. Expand the Discussion, the first half of it, is a description of results.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Ethical issues were not detected	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Cristina Gonzalez Torres
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Mexico

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)