



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_53721
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cone-beam computed tomography guidance in functional endoscopic sinus surgery: a retrospective cohort study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The authors report a case serie of CBCT guided endonasal surgery, evaluating satisfaction of the surgeon and complication rate. The study was approved by the local ethic commission. The study is well designed, aims are clear and methods are in accordance to the objectives. The results are well exposed, discussion exposes relevant literature regarding CBCT vs CT, and indications of FESS. My only suggestion is to use only one abbreviation: either ESS or (better as more frequently used) FESS. Also, I would suggest changing "navigation", as the word confounds to intra-operative navigation imaging, what was not the case. The CBCT was done preoperatively to perform identification of anatomy of each patient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	none	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Silke Weber</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sao Paulo State University, Brazil</b>