



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_53721
Title of the Manuscript:	Cone-beam computed tomography guidance in functional endoscopic sinus surgery: a retrospective cohort study
Type of the Article	Original Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1.What type of polyposis cases were included in the study? Was it extensive disease or minimal disease?</p> <p>2.Introduction- "This technique includes insertion of a slender endoscope and other tools through nostrils to widen sinus pathways by removing cartilages and small bones" – Sinus surgery will invariably include removal of bone and tissue, not cartilage.</p> <p>3.Is there mistake in inclusion and exclusion criteria?</p> <p>4."After obtaining CBCT images, patients with positive pathologic findings on CBCT underwent therapeutic sinus endoscopy under general anesthesia, and those without pathologic findings on CBCT underwent diagnostic sinus endoscopy"- Could you explain about diagnostic and therapeutic sinus endoscopy?</p> <p>5. Please explain more about the methodology of surgical procedure.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashish Dhakal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kathmandu University Hospital, Nepal</b>