#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_52361
Title of the Manuscript:	Isatin analogues as anti-bacterial agents; QSAR, Docking
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
	highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	, i
only on those used in QSAR model.	
Table 5. is redundant and could be replaced in the supplementary materials	
Molecular docking:	
•	
Abstract:	
lipophilicity (also Logp) is redundant	
Introduction:	
<ul> <li>Explain abbreviation Fabl when first time was mentioned</li> </ul>	
Our <u>Different (different)</u>	
Methods:	
,	
descriptors in QSAR equation should be write italic	
kenardston algorithm – involve reference for this algorithm	
	QSAR:  • If authors have used external set for validation of QSAR models, statistical parameters for external set are missing (coefficientof determination (R <sup>2</sup> <sub>ext</sub> ; mean absolute error of the external validation set (MAEext), etc.  • Table 2. is too extensive. Description of molecular desctiptors should be reduced only on those used in QSAR model.  • Table 5. is redundant and could be replaced in the supplementary materials  Molecular docking:  • Method for molecular docking is missing.  • Table 9. is also too extensive. Instead of this table, authors should involved deeper discussion about interaction in active site of enzyme  • Figure 4. "d diagrams of interactions in active site are too small. Authors should choose only the one or two with the best compound.  Abstract:  • Iipophilicity (also Logp) is redundant  Introduction:  • Explain abbreviation Fabl when first time was mentioned  • Our Different (different)  Methods:  • Ecoli (should be E. coli)  • Symbols for physicochemical parameters (V), (SA), (HE) (MP), etc. and

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vesna Rastija
Department, University & Country	Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Croatia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)