



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_51567
Title of the Manuscript:	Drosophila melanogaster: a veritable genetic tool and in vivo model for human Alzheimer's disease
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work does not have enough scientific basis go back up the evidences No statistics stated whatsoever There is no new information being brought up in this paper Document too wordy and is poorly articulated The conclusions given of this study are not strong enough	
Minor REVISION comments	All the paragraphs need to be revisited and proper referencing done Let the author employ diagrams and flow charts where necessary. For example under the lifecycle stages and their uses	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayikobua Emmanuel Tiyo
Department, University & Country	Soroti University, Uganda