SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_58386
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING, ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY AND INHIBITORY POTENTIAL OF FIVE PLANTS FOR POSSIBLE ANTILEISHMANIAL ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) In materials and methods, the author gave the references on how he carried out the phytochemical screening. and also explained the quantitative phytochemical screening of flavoniod and total phenolics compounds, but presented the results of only qualitative phytochemical screening, hence table of quantitative phytochemical screening is needed	
	2) In material and methods, the author should state how antioxidant activity and inhibitory potential were determined,	
	3) In results, the author presented the table of qualitative phytochemical screening, these tests cannot tell exactly the type of phytochemicals present in the plant. For example the author gave the structure of ephedrine as the alkaloid present, this result is false because there are many alkaloids present in the plant, and the test carried out cannot reveal the exact phytochemical present. Hence I strongly suggest that fig 1 should be remove	
	4) In results and discussion, the author did not give the results and discussion of antioxidant activity and inhibitory potentials of the five plant extracts. Hence it should be included	
	References; 1) All in-text references should follow journal numbering format. Between [18] and [19] there are four (4) references which does not follow the journal numbering format. And they are not in the references list. 2) Reference 10 removes capital letters in journal title. 3) Check punctuations in all the references and make sure that they follow the journal format.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	Title of the figure should be below the figure	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be accepted based on the following conditions. 1) If all the major corrections are effected 2) WERE the major corrections cannot be corrected, especially the methods, results and discussion of antioxidant activity and inhibitory potentials of the five plant extracts, then author should change the title of the manuscript to "phytochemical screening of five selected medicinal plants in Kenya"	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aniekan E. Akpakpan
Department, University & Country	Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)