



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	2019_JESBS_52595
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints and suggestions expressed by the farmers in availing Crop Insurance Schemes in Northern Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript overall needs slight polishing of the English language, ideally by a native English speaker, particularly phrases such as:</p> <p>“The study was conducted Karnataka State”</p> <p>“adverse climatic factors like drought, flood and storm”</p> <p>“he/she has not to seek loan from a private moneylender”</p> <p>“crop insurance bill in 1965 and expert committee headed by Dharam Narian report denying crop insurance scheme in 1971”</p> <p>“The reason may be, while conducting Crop Cutting Experiments line department and bank officials are not participate properly due to their pre-occupied work.”</p> <p>Words such as “taluks”, “Lakhs”, “Kharif crops”, “Rabi crops”, “line department”, “hobli” and “Panchayat” should be defined upon first mention</p> <p>References should be numbered consecutively in square brackets in the text and listed accordingly in the reference list</p> <p>“per cent” should be replaced by “%” throughout the text</p> <p>Under the formula, “Nj” is not defined</p> <p>“Trice” should be replaced by “Three times” throughout the text</p> <p>Information about data collecton is missing: Did all 240 farmers chosen respond? Where there incomplete answeres? How was the questionnaire administered? Did the farmers fill in the answers by themselves?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Byron Baron
Department, University & Country	Universty of Malta, Malta