



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_64655
Title of the Manuscript:	GROUP INTEGRATION METHOD IN INTEGRAL CALCULUS AT THE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Keywords should be minimum 6.</p> <p>Page 5: the last paragraph should be titled "Study Objectives".</p> <p>Literature Review is missing.</p> <p>Hypothesis are missing.</p> <p>In Research Methodology the following should be covered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Nature of Research . Population of Research. Reliability and Validation. Statistical Analysis (Sample Description). <p>Recommendations are missing.</p> <p>Future Research is missing.</p> <p>The number of references is very little although the subject is rich with information.</p> <p>All references cited are books and thesis and only one research paper and this is not good for a research paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The word "abstract" page 1 should be written on the left hand side not in the middle.</p> <p>The word lesson should be replace by "subjects"</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Instead of "Literature Cited" on page 20 it is preferable to use "References".</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Shehada Abu Yaman
Department, University & Country	Talal Abu-Ghazaleh University for Innovation, Jordan