



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_61619
Title of the Manuscript:	Noise pollution in Nigeria's institutions of higher learning: a review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Problem is spelled out clearly, but what are solution approaches by others and what is innovative solution proposed in paper is missing</p> <p>What are bad effects of high sound on teaching learning process needs to be listed properly</p> <p>There is not reference of IITB noise barrier wall experiment and its success in India</p> <p>Solutions like sound absorbing materials, trees and other objects was expected.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Some subsections can be included so that contents can be clearly stated in systematic manner	
Optional/General comments	Check in general grammatical mistakes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P B Karandikar
Department, University & Country	India