SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_61240
Title of the Manuscript:	Die Attach Process Defect Mitigation through Design Improvement on Anvil Block Tooling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		THE THE TECHNICAL STATE OF THE TECHNICAL STAT
Minor REVISION comments	This is a very good idea and design concept to improve the productivity as well as for better quality. Usually conversion package and setup machine are always concern to the machine utilization, Technician ratio and its quality which is related to Technician's skill. This design will help to improve all concern as mentioned. Following are some minor comment; 1) To better understand, please specific or mention this is QFN package which is flat frame and be panel design strip. 2) Would recommend to mention about procedure or instruction on how to use or setup this new anvil block (The augmented anvil block) design such as selection hole by dap size guide line (table) for technician to setup.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chalermsak Sumithpibul
Department, University & Country	King Mongkut's University of Technology, Thailand

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)