



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_59175
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementing Single-row Process Plate Design for Pre-encapsulated Leadframe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In section 2, the authors have not discussed clearly about the wire bonding types and the authors could improvise in making the sentence formation for clear understanding. The authors can discuss in detail more about the advantages of single row technique rather than panel type. The abstract and conclusion part are more similar in sentence formation and words they have used. The authors mentioned in title as lead frame and inside article they didn't have any sort of lead materials discussions and have mention copper material plated with silver coating. This made the statement to confusing stage. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As the authors have mentioned seven references in reference section, none of them are citated in the article. And the authors might have add few more discussion in "Introduction section" with recent article publications. Please do the check the grammars and sentence formation of whole article. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Narendran S
Department, University & Country	SRM Institute of Science and Technology (Formerly SRM University), India