



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_56953
Title of the Manuscript:	Forecasting Solar PV Demand Split and Fuel Wood Usage Reduction in Eriti and Oke-Agunla Villages in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The usual plan is not respected: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Introduction 2- Materials and methods 3- Results and Discussions 4- Conclusion • We do not see the problematic in your introduction. What do you want to do concretely? • In part "2" entitled Materials and Methods, it is essential to specify the methodology adopted within the framework of this study in order to allow readers to follow you. • You don't see your results clearly. • Where is the conclusion? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>After reviewing the work, I think that this work has no serious comments and can be adopted in the author's edition</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>What is the method used? Present your results followed by their comments.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Semassou Guy Clarence
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin