# VALUE-BASED ORGANISATIONAL LEADERSHIP: A LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **ABSTRACT**

This review of literature explores the literature regarding the topic of organisational leadership dedicated towards the leader's commitment to ensuring the common good of the world. Hundred and eighty four articles were identified that are focused on six main types of leadership namely, transformational leadership, ethical leadership, spiritual leadership, responsible leadership, servant leadership and authentic leadership. These topics are concentrated on difference aspects of organisational leadership on the ethicality, morality, spirituality and sustainability. Effort has been also put on to identify how a leader uses these values to influence their followers and current challenges facing in each leadership topics being discussed. This paper further discusses these contemporary leadership issues under each topic. Overall, it is found that over the years organisational leadership studies that focuses on common good can be identified as complex, emerging and has attracting the attention of many leadership researchers. However, there are still areas under these topics where future studies need to continue. The paper concludes with possible future research in this field.

Keywords: value-based leadership, transformational leadership, ethical leadership, spiritual leadership, responsible leadership, servant leadership, authentic leadership

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The role of organisational leadership has been widely accepted as the leader's vision, promoting cohesiveness and inspiring employees confirmed as essential aspects that improve organisational performance. However, the growing environmental, social and geo political challenges around the world are urging to network with diverse stakeholders including governments, local communities, and customers to act more dynamic roles as global citizens [1;2]. To address this leadership researchers examined organisational leadership under diverse approaches such as charismatic leadership, transactional leadership transformational leadership and contingency based leadership. However, over the years the leadership crisis has emerged especially the unethical practiced in the modern work places

**Comment [MR(1]:** The abstract has 4 language errors. The manuscript needs thorough language editing. Please see the report.

that organisations started to seek alternative ways to address these issues of distrust, lack of morality, and workplace incivility[3]. To address this gap charismatic and transformational leadership emerged [4]. However, leadership practitioners and scholars began to notice the importance of having strong leadership values which are moral and ethical are ensuring organisational survival. This made the value-based leadership (VBL) concept to be popularised further.

To address this need VBL has been introduced to address these emerging issues surrounding leadership [5].

## 2.0 DEFINING VALUE-BASED LEADERSHIP

The concept of VBL began to explore further as the traditional leadership theories such as charismatic and transformational leadership doesn't show the promising dimensions of ethicality and morality in leaders [5; 7; 8]. VBL is popularly identified in the literature as a leadership philosophy that brings ethical and moral foundations [9; 10; 11] that affect to the organisation, to customers, to suppliers and to shareholders, without focusing on any personal gains received (p. 7) [12].

The work of Burns [13] comparing transactional and transformational leadership gave clear understanding about the definitions of the two concepts. Bass & Avolio [4] highlighted the ability of transformational leadership to achieve greater organizational performance through transforming employees to achieve organizational outcomes. Moral values of leaders are often heighted in the literature whichused for improving organisational performance. This concept has a long history, for example, Bass [14] explained how the moral values of leaders used when transforming their employees to achieve organisational goals. Further work by scholars[15; 16] on Charismatic leadership also contributed to further evolve VBL. Meanwhile the work of Bass [17] highlighted the danger of transactional leadership on the organization and the development of leadership questionnaire (MLQ) [18] are also a positive influence on the development of VBL. Further the work of Avolio, Waldman &Yammorino[19] in identification of 4 I's of transformational leadership also contributed towards further development of VBL. Further work by Lowe, Kroeck&Sivasubramaniam[20] also confirmed transformational leadership helps toimprove organizational performance through motivating employees.

Many began to accept the change in the organizational environment and the leader's role in adapting to this changes. Leaders who are willing to change with the environmental change are identified as a key value that sustains organizational performance. Notably, Hersey, Blanchard & Johnson [21] began to realize the benefits of flexible leadership rather than static leadership. In a changing organizational context, leadership scholars began to explain the advantages of having charismatic leaders in an organization than non-charismatic leaders [22]. Often, this made confusion in the leadership literature where transformational and charismatic leadership were seen as similar. Addressing this problem, Yukl [23] clarifies by explaining the two concepts are not similar but overlapping. In a period where trust, ethics

and moral of organizational leadership is at a question, Bass and Steidlmerier[10] introduced leader's ethical, moral and authentic leadership behaviors are important to become transformational in an organization. Supporting this, the work of Carless, Wearing and Mann [24] is notable where they developed a Global Transformational Leadership scale pushing the evolution of transformational leadership in the organizational leadership literature. In the process of examining effective leadership in the complex and competitive organizational environment, Osborn, Hunt &Jauch[25] identified the importance of the context or the situation in achieving effective leadership.

The unethical and immoral behaviors of organizational leadership that breakdown big corporations in the 20<sup>th</sup> century made leadership researchers to question a new dimension of leadership that need more attention. This made scholars [11; 26; 27]to introduce authentic leadership and ethical leadership [28;29;30]. Because by this time there were several leadership theories in the leadership studies causing confusion among scholars. Accepting the complexity in the leadership literature [31; 32; 33; 34] stated the urgent need to clarify diversely defined leadership to better understand what constitute leadership in an organizational context.

Rapidly changing organisational contexts required leaders to think new ways to sustain their organisations. In this aspect, organisational leaders should embrace VBL as it ensures long term survival of the organisation as well as its easy to practically implement in the corporate culture that ensure sustainable results [12]. Literature confirms that research on VBL includes shared leadership [35], spiritual [36], stewardship[37], servant[38; 39; 40], authentic[6; 26; 27; 41], connective leadership [42], Self-sacrificial leadership [43], ethical [29; 30; 44; 45], and transformational leadership [5]. These VBL leadership theories able to change the way people perceive what constitute good leadership for today's organisations and creating leaders who are more effective. Value based leaders build strong organisations through simply motivating their employees to achieve organisation's goals and values that in return create long term value to its shareholders [46]. However, most above VBL theories lack a strong theoretical background and a framework to confirm as a theory[47]. Most literature relating to transformational, ethical and authentic identified as VBL theories (For example [48]).

This article examined divers' literature relating to the emerging issues of VBL theories that focuses on leadership efforts to ensure the common good of the world. To conduct the literature review data bases such as Google scholar, EBSCOhost, Emerald, Scopus, Web of Science, and ProQuest were used. List of key words used to search each leadership dimension concerning the common good of the world. For example, ethical, morality, authentic, servant, spirituality were keyed to the system to arrive with possible organisational leadership literature. Out of the hundred and eighty four ultimately hundred and twenty eight articles were selected to complete this literature review. Literature search follows with under Six

**Comment [MR(2]:** Insert author's name after literature

Comment [MR(3]: Insert are after theories.

Comment [MR(4]: Most of the above...

**Comment [MR(5]:** Insert a missing noun after authentic.

**Comment [MR(6]:** This needs to be developed into a section on methodology.

leadership dimensions namely, 1) Transformational leadership, 2) Ethical leadership, 3) Spiritual leadership, 4) Responsible leadership, 5) Servant leadership, and 6) Authentic leadership.

# 2.1 RESEARCH PURPOSE

Main research aims of this study is to provide a greater understanding about VBL theories and update on current work under eachVBL topic;

This literature review aims to address four research questions under each VBL topic.

- 1) How each VBL theories identified in this study are understood and defined within the leadership literature?
- 2) What are the main characteristics of each VBLtheorise?
- 3) How each VBL theories studied empirically?
- 4) What do we know about each VBL theories?
- 5) What are the future research directions for VBL theories?

#### 3. TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP

Transformational leadership is the starting point where leadership theories began to focus on the importance of incorporating moral and ethical aspects in to leadership behaviour [10; 13; 14;17; 18; 19], also transformational leadership has been the foundation for the emergence and development of all the VBL theories developed so far. Examining this further Bass and Steidlmerier[10] confirmed for a leader to become transformational he/she must be moral, ethical and authentic in their leadership behaviours. Transformational leaders are innovative and lead by example to ensure leadership effectiveness.

When leaders lack the ability to transform their ethical, moral and authentic visions to their followers they fail in leadership and these leaders are called pseudo-transformational leaders. To become effective transformational leaders, leaders need to "provide their followers with challenges and meaning for engaging in shared goals and undertakings" (p 188) [10]. Employee training and development is mostly been recommended in the transformational leadership literature to communicate these shared and challenging goals and values to their followers [14].

Burns [13] work relating to differentiating transactional leadership from transformational leadership well notable in the literature as his work recognized the importance of motivating and empowering the followers through inspiring the moral and ethical values of the followers [24], where it was resulted transformational leadership is more effective in leading the organizations than transactional leadership. Later work of Bass [14; 17] aims to further develop the transformational leadership theory, where Bass

**Comment [MR(7]:** There is need to adjust language, spelling and the framing of some of these into proper questions.

**Comment [MR(8]:** Needs to be adjusted Burns's [13] work on differentiating....leadership is well notable...

[17] explained how an organization could improve their performance through transformational leadership mainly through motivating their followers.

Reviewing literature Brown and Trevino [30] identified transformational and ethical leadership theories are similar since both concepts concern for others (altruism), ethical decision making, integrity and role modelling. The two are different based on ethical leader's emphasis ethical standards, and moral management which are mostly transactional in nature. Further transactional leaders emphasize vision, values and intellectual stimulation [30]. The next section discusses a popular VBL theory of ethical leadership.

**Comment [MR(9]:** Needs adjustment as sense has been lost.

#### 4. ETHICAL LEADERSHIP

The ethical and moral perspective of leadership has attracted much attention from contemporary researchers and practitioners that are studying organisational leadership [21; 49; 50]. Ethical leadership research central to three main topics namely, ethics within the individual leader, ethical leaders influencing followers and challenges with implementing ethics in organisations. In certain instances ethical leadership has been identified as the outward display of transformational leadership [51]. At the end of transforming leadership the leaders becomes moral where they raised the level of human conduct and ethical aspiration of themselves and their followers whereby they transform effect on both [13]. Yukl [52] summarised ethical leader as one who promotes honestly, and mirrors his or her action with their values and beliefs. These modelled so called ethical and credible leadership behaviours become attractive and draws attention of their followers [53].

Brown, Trevin o and Harrison [29] defined ethical leadership is concerned with 'the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion of such conduct to followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and decision-making' (p.120). Ethical leaders demonstrate virtues such as imagination, compassion, empathy and discernment" [55]. King [56] identified eight common ethical values shared by leaders namely, honesty, loyalty, dedication to purpose, benevolence, social justice, strength of character, humility and patience. Martinez-Saenz [57] explained four paradigms to explain an ethical leader namely, altruistic, egoistic, autonomous, legalist and communitarian. Manz and Sims [58] identified four shared strategic values of a successful leader namely, to act with integrity, to be fair, to have fun and to be socially responsible.

Organisations such as Enron caused to collapse simply because of the unethical behaviour of its managers [59]. Understanding the ethical environment of a business is useful in leading ethically as corporate policy, codes of conduct, financial affairs, environmental concern, human resource, organisational reputation, relationship and the leader's personal moral frame [60]. The main concept of ethical leadership centres around the ethicality of a leader's personal conduct when decision-making by engaging in various relationships with others, such as honesty, integrity, setting ethical standards and communications [50]. Ethical leaders have to deal with conflicts and contractor views with their followers

and direct them in the right path [61]. Because ethical leaders use rewards and disciplines to hold followers accountable for their conducts Brown and Trevino [30] stated ethical leadership has transactional leadership characteristics. In certain instances it's confirmed that employees do not trust there is ethics within business exist [51; 62]. This made early scholars in leadership such as Greenleaf [38] to state the importance of ethical leadership in his work in Servant leadership "Service to followers is the primary responsibility of leaders and the essence of ethical leadership" (p 20).

Ethical leadership has been researched using three classical leadership theories [63], namely servant leadership, authentic leadership [64] and transformation leadership [13]. Review of literature in ethical leadership confirmed ethical leadership is an ambiguous construct that includes various constituents [63]. The work of Brown et al [29] completed a more formal construct development and validation process and introduced a ten-item instrument (Ethical Leadership Scale – ELS) to measure the perceptions of ethical leadership.

There are three approaches for ethical leadership identified by Sandel [65] namely; ulititarianism theory, libertarianism theory and Hant's Ethical theory. Social learning theory [53, 54] also used to explain the antecedents and outcomes of ethical leadership [30]. As a result of social learning theory organisational members understand what ethical and unethical behaviours in their work environment are and learn how other members are rewarded or punished based on their own behaviour [30]. Ethical leadership has studied using the impact of knowledge on the personal ethical development [66]; values within an ethical leader's life [67]; ethical maturity [68]; crisis of trust between leaders and followers [69; 70]; ethical leader's role from a spiritual perspective [71]. Understanding the challenges for ethical leadership Walton [72] identified forty-five traps based on three categories namely primary, defensive and personality. Often there are ethical failures in leaders mostly due to ignorance rather the selfish behaviours [73]. Future research to be focused on developing models to address with issues in implementing ethics in organisation [63].

## 5. SPIRITUAL LEADERSHIP

Spiritual leadership is not a new concept in the leadership literature as it has a long history [74], often identified as another complex leadership domain [75; 76; 77] that has popularised in the recent leadership scholarly work [78]. Spirituality was first introduced to the organisational context by Fairholm[79] but Fry [36] first link spirituality to the leadership literature. Oh and Wang [74] identified one group of researchers study Spiritual leadership different from religion [36; 80; 81; 82; 83] where another group consider spiritual leadership as a part of religion [84;85; 86;87].

It has been argued that spiritual leadership is essential for transforming organisations into learning organisations [88]. Reviewing transformational leadership literature Brown and Trevino [30] identified Spiritual leadership has some similarities with the transformational leadership namely; both concepts concern about others altruism, integrity and role modelling. They further differentiated the two leadership

concepts as ethical leader emphasise moral management where spiritual leadership emphasise visioning, hope/faith and work as vocation. Scholars such as Duchon&Plowman[89]; Fairholm[79]; Fairholm and Gronau[90]; ;Fry [36]; Fry and Nisiewicz[88]; Guillory [91]; Korac-Kakabadse, Kouzmin, and Kakabadse[92]; Reave [83]; Sendjaya[93] defined Spiritual leadership. For example; Fry [36] defined spiritual leadership as "the values, attitudes, and behaviours necessary to intrinsically motivate self and others so that they have a sense of spiritual survival through calling and membership" (p. 694-695). Fry [36] explained four fundamental factors of human namely; i) Body (Physical) ii) Mind (logical and rational thought) iii) heart (emotions and feelings) and iv)Spirit. The International Institute for Spiritual Leadership website states that spiritual leadership 'involves intrinsically motivating and inspiring workers through hope/faith in a vision of service to key stakeholders and a corporate culture based on the values of altruistic love to produce a highly motivated, committed, and productive workforce' [94]. Spiritual organisational leaders often strive to change the inner-self of the group members' values, beliefs and attitudes, and transform organisations into better-performing entities [88]. They inspire employees to motivate to achieve organisational vision and values through offering support, appreciation and mainly through fostering a sense of belonging [36]. By doing so spiritual leaders able to create a positive work environment and help organisational sustainability through ensuring employee health and well-being [36;95]. Through reviewing literature surrounding Spiritual Leadership Oh and Wang [74] confirmed Spiritual leadership share three key characteristics namely 1) having higher levels of ethical values (such as integrity, honestly, caring and justice; ii) valuing interconnectedness with followers and peers through encouraging, engaging and guiding one another; and iii) motivating people to pursue organisational vision and mission, meaningfulness at work.

Spiritual leadership has been often identified as a researchable topic [96]. Most research [75;77; 83; 95; 97; 98] differentiate spiritual leadership from other forms of leadership theories such as transformational, servant and authentic leadership. Spiritual leadership has been studied in diverse industries including mostly in the banking/financial services, health care industries, business, government and higher education sector, while industries such as retail service, religious organisations, manufacturing, hospitality, and military also evident [74]. Notably most studies used spiritual leadership as a predictor for outcomes and Fry's[36] model is widely used in studies [74]. Although there are large scale studies exploring spiritual leadership around the world [76; 99], reviewing literature Oh and Wang [74], noted there is a dearth of literature examining how spiritual leadership is really practiced in the organisations. Spiritual leadership has been researched using qualitative, quantitative and mixed research methods where majority is quantitative [74]. Fry, Vitucci and Cedillo [95] Spiritual Leadership Questionnaire consist with 33 items is a well popular instrument to study spiritual leadership.

## 6. RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP

Responsible leadership is still considered as an evolving leadership theory Frangieh, &Yaacoub, [100] which was first introduced in 1990's following the work of Newman [101]. Responsible leadership rejected

great man leadership and perceived leadership as those that find responsible solutions to complex, ambiguous and uncertain organisational challenges by incorporating diverse stakeholder interests, needs and demands into managerial decision making. Theuncertain, unexpected and complex issues in businesses often require responsible leaders to link transactional and charismatic leadership qualities [102]. Responsible leadership is a multidisciplinary research imbedded in the ethical leadership domain, development psychology, psychoanalysis, stakeholder theory and systems theory [103] which popularly studied under the studies in ethics and corporate social responsibility [104]. Literature confirms that the responsible leadership falls after the emergence of spiritual leadership [105].

Responsible leadership was defined by Pless [103] as 'maintaining strong social and moral relationships between leaders and followers based on a sense of justice, a sense of recognition, a sense of care, and a sense of accountability for a wide range of economic, ecological, social, political and human responsibilities, to achieve long-term success' (p.451). Pless and Maak[106] "assert that responsible and stakeholder leadership is not just inextricably linked but that responsible leadership provides a convincing perspective on how to connect leadership to stakeholder theory" (p 6). These definitions ofMaak and Pless[1; 106] confirms that responsible leadership is about the role of the responsible leader is mainly as an influencer that strives to build a value based relationship with their diverse stakeholders. Unlike other VBL theories Responsible leadership mostly rely on stakeholder's perceptions when it comes to the moral decision making [106]. The scholarly works of Schraa-Liu and Trompenaars [107] and Waldman et al. [108]have highlighted integrity, teamwork, respect and professionalism as essential characteristics of a responsible leader. Responsible leadership is therefore centred around leadership skills and abilities that arise from the inner-self of the leader, consisting of self-discipline and self-mastery (1; 103).

Notably, the literature often suggests that organisational sustainability is clearly linked with responsible leadership, because sustainability leadership and responsible leadership both prioritise issues such as corporate governance practices, ethical practices and economic objectives while responding via justice-based relationships towards non-core stakeholders of the organisation [109; 110].

Responsible leadership still hasn't discussed extensively in the leadership literature both at a holistic or micro level to justify he claim that it is a fully developed theory Frangieh, &Yaacoub, [100]. Hence, further support is needed to develop this VBL theory in the future specially focusing on empirical research to explore responsible leadership in different business contexts. Three main challenges that responsible leaders face are conflicting stakeholder needs, personal and cultural values, and organisational pressure and structure [100]. Empirical research examining these challenges are scare so, future studies on responsible leadership could be focused around examining these practical issues and finding solutions. Further research should also be focused on understanding how responsible leadership creates financial outcomes, employee outcomes, firm reputation and external stakeholder outcomes such as the social performance of the firm Frangieh, &Yaacoub[100]. Studies are limited in examining how responsible

leaders maintain relationship with their employees [100] suggesting future researchers to explore these areas. Further, research could also be focused around how human resource training and development could be used to develop responsible leadership behaviours in organisational leadership.

#### 7. SERVANT LEADERSHIP

The concept of servant leadership was first introduced by Greenleaf in the 1970s [38]. Since then, different scholars such as Patterson [39]; Parolini, Patterson, & Winston [40] explained the Servant Leadership theory. However, most of the studies published so far lack clarity and coherence around the servant leadership construct restricting its theoretical development [111]. Servant leadership is often loosely defined [111] and they redefined the servant leadership as "an 1) other – oriented approach to leadership 2) manifested through on-on-one prioritising of follower individual needs and interest, 3) and outwards reorienting of their concern for self towards concern for others within the organisation and the larger community" (p. 114). Ludema and Cox [112] have contended that servant leaders are those that provide leadership that focuses on the good of those who are being led and those whom the organisation serves' (p. 875). Servant leaders strive to grow the organisational resources, financials and other resources that are entrusted on them through considering themselves as stewards of their organisation [113] whereby they consider both on the organisational performance and the personal development of their followers.

Over the past, several researchers [111; 114; 115] clearly differentiated servant leadership from other VBL theories. Servant leadership engage followers in multiple dimensions such as relational, ethical, emotional, and spiritual encouraging them to become what they want to. So that, servant leadership generally emerges when leaders identify the needs, desires, interests and welfare of followers above their own self-interest [116]. Hence, Servant leadership is more concern on followers psychological needs compared to transformational leadership that mainly focus on organisational goals and then focus on the psychological needs of their followers [117].Notably, studies [115; 117; 118; 119] confirmed servant leadership as being more explanatory in predicting follower outcomes than in transformational leadership. In a chaotic situation, servant leaders often behave with personal integrity to lead their followers to deal with obstacles while gaining the trust, respect and commitment of followers [116]. This inner conviction or higher call differentiates Servant leadership from authentic leadership. This made Sendjaya[120] to state that servant leaders focus on followers first and organisation second.

Reviewing the literature on Servant leadership [111] categorised research on servant leadership in to three areas namely; i) conceptual development of servant leadership focusing on the works of Greenleef[38] and Spears [121] ii) developing measures of servant leadership and testing relationship between servant leadership and outcomes via cross-sectional research and iii) model development phase where more sophisticated research designs are being utilised to move beyond simple relationship with outcomes.

Future researchers willing to study servant leadership behaviours could use social based theories such as Social exchange theory, social learning theory and social identity theory to structure their studies[111]. Servant leadership research are prominent in the tourism and hospitality, healthcare, and education, not for profit, youth sector, public sectors urging the potential to examine the concept in other industries. The first scale to measure servant leadership is by Lytle, Hom and Mokwa [122] and there are nearly 16 scales used to measure the construct now making this leadership theory more complex that needs more in-depth exploration. Future researchers could use the three mostly recommended servant leadership behavioural measures namely by Liden et al [123]; Sendjaya et al [124]; van Dierendonck and Nuijten's[125].Endogenous bias, measurement errors and common method bias are still notable in the servant leadership research urging future researchers in the field to address these limitations [126].Future work need to use larger sample size as most studies in Servant leadership based on small samples [114].

## 8. AUTHENTIC LEADERSHIP

Authentic leadership behaviours are identified as a part of transformational leadership [10]. However, recent reviews confirmed the two concepts are different but overlapping [127] as authentic leaders are more emphasized on authenticity and self-awareness [30]. Authentic and Transformational leadership theories are similar as these two concepts concern on others (altruism), ethical division making, integrity and role modelling[30]. Brown and Trevino [30] pointed authentic leaders and ethical leaders share a social motivation and a consideration leadership style. Often, authentic leaders are confirmed as more effective than non-authentic leaders [26].

Avolio, Luthans, & Walumbwa [41]defined authentic leaders as those who are deeply aware of how they think and behave and are perceived by others as being aware of their own and others' values/moral perspectives, knowledge, and strengths; aware of the context in which they operate; and who are confident, hopeful, optimistic, resilient and of high moral character' (p.4). Authentic leadership relates to the importance of the leader's interpersonal-self as trustworthy and able to develop a leader-follower relationship that is based on trust and integrity [5;11]. Authentic leaders "incorporate charismatic, transformational, integrity and/or ethical leadership" but these constructs are distinct from each other [128, p 4].

The leader's self-awareness and authentic self-regulation have commonly been identified as the main characteristics of authentic leadership [11]. However, self-awareness about what is right and wrong is not enough to create authentic leadership; while self-regulation among leaders and followers to achieve organisational goals is necessary to establish authentic leadership [128].

Cooper, Scandura and Schriesheim [8] contended that any scholars that want to advance the authentic leadership theory via conceptual and empirical work need to first give careful consideration to four critical

issues: '1) defining and measuring the construct; 2) determining the discriminant validity of the construct; 3) identifying relevant construct outcomes; and 4) ascertaining whether authentic leadership can be caught' (p. 477).

9. CONCLUSION

VBL became prominent in leadership research as unethical, unsustainable and immoral actions of leadership continues to report around the world. The impact of these negative leadership is not only micro level creating adverse impacts to followers as well as to the organisation but also creating macro level influences even causing economic collapses in several countries. VBL prioritise and reflects strong values, improves the lives of the employees whilst enhancing the performance of the organisation. Because this VBL concept and its significance to the socio - political and economy of all the countries this review paper aims to expand the current understanding of this concept. In this context expanding the knowledge around VBL is vital for the development in leadership literature. To achieve this aim this review of literature based on examining studies surrounding VBL. This review aims to summarise literature relating to sixVBL theories namely, 1) Transformational leadership, 2) Ethical leadership, 3) Spiritual leadership, 4) Responsible leadership, 5) Servant leadership, and 6) Authentic leadership. For this purpose more than hundred research papers reviewed using the key terms to reflecting each leadership theory mentioned above. This review was able to confirm idea that leaders with VBL behaviours support its organisation to enhance its performance whilst enriching the lives of its followers compared to leaders without VBL behaviours. Further, this paper addresses current understandings in each leadership dimension, main characteristics of each leaders, comparison between each theories, and future research directions.

**REFERENCE** 

- 1. Maak T, Pless NM. Responsible leadership in a stakeholder society—a relational perspective. Journal of business ethics. 2006 Jun 1;66(1):99-115.
- 2. Voegtlin C, Patzer M, Scherer AG. Responsible leadership in global business: A new approach to leadership and its multi-level outcomes. Journal of Business Ethics. 2012 Jan 1;105(1):1-6.
- 3. Martini R. Death of Leadership, Authorhouse, S.L. 2014.
- 4. Bass BM, Avolio BJ, editors. Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. Sage; 1994.
- 5. Copeland MK. The emerging significance of values based leadership: A literature review. International journal of leadership studies. 2014;8(2):105.
- 6. Avolio BJ, Gardner WL. Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Jun 1;16(3):315-38.
- 7. Cooper CD, Scandura TA, Schriesheim CA. Looking forward but learning from our past: Potential challenges to developing authentic leadership theory and authentic leaders. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Jun 1;16(3):475-93.

Comment [MR(10]: Consider using the reviewed literature to develop a ranking order of VBL theories to show the traits that are more desirable for leaders. Lastly, it would have been important to consider linking leadership theories to specific contexts.

**Comment [MR(11]:** There is need for consistency. For example, see how the journal title has been written on numbers 1 and 2. Also check inconsistencies in the use of fullstop and comma on initial of authors.

- 8. Brown ME, Treviño LK. Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. The leadership quarterly. 2006 Dec 1:17(6):595-616.
- 9. Bass, B., & Avolio, B. (1993). Multifactor leadership questionnaire, Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- 10. Bass BM, Steidlmeier P. Ethics, character, and authentic transformational leadership behavior. The leadership quarterly. 1999 Jun 1;10(2):181-217.
- 11. Gardner WL, Avolio BJ, Walumbwa FO, editors. Authentic leadership theory and practice: Origins, effects and development. Elsevier; 2005 Sep 15.
- 12. Taylor DH. The power of values-based leadership. The Imperfect Leader, Author House Pub, USA. 2010.
- 13. Burns J. Leadership. New York: HarperCollins; 1978.
- 14. Bass BM. Leadership and performance beyond expectations. Collier Macmillan; 1985.
- 15. Bennis W, Nanus B. The strategies for taking charge. Leaders, New York: Harper. Row. 1985;41.
- 16. Hogan R, Raskin R, Fazzini D. The dark side of charisma.1990.
- 17. Bass BM. From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. Organizational dynamics. 1990 Dec 1;18(3):19-31.
- 18. Bass BM, Avolio BJ. Developing transformational leadership: 1992 and beyond. Journal of European industrial training. 1990 May 1.
- 19. Avolio BJ, Waldman DA, Yammarino FJ. Leading in the 1990s: The four I's of transformational leadership. Journal of European industrial training. 1991 Apr 1.
- 20. Lowe KB, Kroeck KG, Sivasubramaniam N. Effectiveness correlates of transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic review of the MLQ literature. The leadership quarterly. 1996 Sep 1;7(3):385-425.
- 21. Hersey H, Blanchard K, Johnson D. Management of organizational behavior: Utilizing human resources, 7th ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. 1996.
- 22. Conger JA, Kanungo RN. Charismatic leadership in organizations. Sage Publications; 1998 Jul 15.
- 23. Yukl G. An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. The leadership quarterly. 1999 Jun 1;10(2):285-305.
- 24. Carless SA, Wearing AJ, Mann L. A short measure of transformational leadership. Journal of business and psychology. 2000 Sep 1;14(3):389-405.
- 25. Osborn RN, Hunt JG, Jauch LR. Toward a contextual theory of leadership. The leadership quarterly. 2002 Dec 1;13(6):797-837.
- 26. Avolio BJ, Gardner WL, Walumbwa FO, Luthans F, May DR. Unlocking the mask: A look at the process by which authentic leaders impact follower attitudes and behaviors. The leadership quarterly. 2004 Dec 1;15(6):801-23.
- 27. Gardner WL, Avolio BJ, Luthans F, May DR, Walumbwa F. "Can you see the real me?" A self-based model of authentic leader and follower development. The Leadership Quarterly. 2005 Jun 1:16(3):343-72.
- 28. Zhu W, May DR, Avolio BJ. The impact of ethical leadership behavior on employee outcomes: The roles of psychological empowerment and authenticity. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 2004 Aug;11(1):16-26.

- 29. Brown ME, Treviño LK, Harrison DA. Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing. Organizational behavior and human decision processes. 2005 Jul 1;97(2):117-34.
- 30. Brown ME, Treviño LK. Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. The leadership quarterly. 2006 Dec 1:17(6):595-616.
- 31. Yukl G. How leaders influence organizational effectiveness. The leadership quarterly. 2008 Dec 1;19(6):708-22.
- 32. Mumford MD. Leadership 101. Springer Publishing Co; 2010.
- 33. Yukl G, Mahsud R. Why flexible and adaptive leadership is essential. Consulting Psychology Journal: practice and research. 2010 Jun;62(2):81.
- 34. Hernandez M, Eberly MB, Avolio BJ, Johnson MD. The loci and mechanisms of leadership: Exploring a more comprehensive view of leadership theory. The Leadership Quarterly. 2011 Dec 1;22(6):1165-85.
- 35. Pearce CL, Conger JA. All those years ago. Shared leadership: Reframing the hows and whys of leadership. 2003:1-8.
- 36. Fry LW. Toward a theory of spiritual leadership. The leadership quarterly. 2003 Dec 1;14(6):693-727.
- 37. Block P. Stewardship: Choosing service over self-interest. Berrett-Koehler Publishers; 1993.
- 38. Robert K, Greenleaf. Servant Leadership: A Journey In to the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness. Paulist Press; 1977.
- 39. Patterson KA. Servant leadership: A theoretical model (Doctoral dissertation, Regent University).
- 40. Parolini J, Patterson K, Winston B. Distinguishing between transformational and servant leadership. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 2009 May 8.
- 41. Avolio BJ, Gardner WL, Walumbwa FO, Luthans F, May DR. Unlocking the mask: A look at the process by which authentic leaders impact follower attitudes and behaviors. The leadership quarterly. 2004 Dec 1;15(6):801-23.
- 42. Lipman-Blumen J. The connective edge: Leading in an interdependent world. Jossey-Bass; 1996 May 16.
- 43. Choi Y, Mai-Dalton RR. The model of followers' responses to self-sacrificial leadership: An empirical test. The Leadership Quarterly. 1999 Sep 1;10(3):397-421.
- 44. De Hoogh AH, Den Hartog DN. Ethical and despotic leadership, relationships with leader's social responsibility, top management team effectiveness and subordinates' optimism: A multi-method study. The leadership quarterly. 2008 Jun 1;19(3):297-311.
- 45. Kalshoven K, Den Hartog DN, De Hoogh AH. Ethical leader behavior and big five factors of personality. Journal of business ethics. 2011 May 1;100(2):349-66.
- 46. George B. Authentic leadership: Rediscovering the secrets to creating lasting value. John Wiley & Sons; 2003 Oct 27.
- 47. Klenke K. Authentic leadership: A self, leader, and spiritual identity perspective. International journal of leadership studies. 2007;3(1):68-97.
- 48. Copeland MK. The emerging significance of values based leadership: A literature review. International journal of leadership studies. 2014;8(2):105.
- 49. Bush T. The significance of leadership theory.

- 50. Lawton A, Páez I. Developing a framework for ethical leadership. Journal of Business Ethics. 2015 Sep 1;130 (3):639-49.
- 51. Frank JW. Transformational leadership and moral discourse in the workplace and civil society.
- 52. Yukl, G. Leadership in organizations, 6th edition. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ. 2006.
- 53. Bandura A. Social foundations of thought and action. Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 1986; 1986: 23-8.
- 54. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological review. 1977 Mar; 84 (2):191.
- 55. Cumbo LJ. Ethical leadership: The quest for character, civility, and community. Current Reviews for Academic Libraries. 2009;47(4):726-726.
- 56. King, M. Practical reasoning and ethical decision. Ethics, 118(4), 717-721. 2008.
- 57. Martinez-Saenz MA. Ethical communication: moral stances in human dialogue. Current Reviews for Academic Libraries. 2009;47(4):693-693.
- 58. Manz CC, Sims HP. Business without bosses: How self-managing teams are building high-performing companies. University of Texas Press; 1995 Sep 8.
- 59. Thompson K, Thach E, Morelli M. Implementing ethical leadership: Current challenges and solutions. Insights to a Changing World Journal. 2010; (4):107-130.
- 60. Skovira RJ, Harman K. An Ethical Ecology of a Corporate Leader: Modeling the Ethical Frame of Corporate Leadership. Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge & Management. 2006 Jan 1;1.
- 61. Heifetz RA. Anchoring leadership in the work of adaptive progress. The leader of the future. 2006;2:73-84.
- 62. Darcy KT. Ethical leadership: The past, present and future. International Journal of Disclosure and Governance. 2010 Aug 1;7(3):198-212.
- 63. Monahan K. A review of the literature concerning ethical leadership in organizations. Emerging Leadership Journeys. 2012;5(1):56-66.
- 64. Plinio AJ. Ethics and leadership. International Journal of Disclosure and Governance. 2009 Nov 1;6(4):277-83.
- 65. Sandel MJ. Justice: What's the right thing to do?. Macmillan; 2010 Aug 17.
- 66. Binns J. The ethics of relational leading: Gender matters. Gender, Work & Organization. 2008 Nov;15(6):600-20.
- 67. Malphurs A. Values-driven leadership: Discovering and developing your core values for ministry. Baker Books; 2004.
- 68. Duffield JF, McCuen RH. Ethical maturity and successful leadership. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice. 2000 Apr;126(2):79-82.
- 69. Marcy RT, Gentry WA, McKinnon R. Thinking straight: New strategies are needed for ethical leadership. Leadership in Action: A Publication of the Center for Creative Leadership and Jossey-Bass. 2008 Jul;28(3):3-7.
- 70. Moreno CM. An approach to ethical communication from the point of view of management responsibilities. The importance of communication in organisations. Ramon Llull Journal of Applied Ethics. 2010 Jun 2(1):97-108.

- 71. Werpehowski W. Practical wisdom and the integrity of Christian life. Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics. 2007 Oct 1;27(2):55-72.
- 72. Walton, B. The ethical executive. Library Journal. 2008; 133(19):79-80.
- 73. Ward, R. Understanding ethical failures in leadership. Current Reviews for Academic Libraries. 2006; 43(8): 1417-1417.
- 74. Oh J, Wang J. Spiritual leadership: current status and Agenda for future research and practice. Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion. 2020 Feb 17:1-26.
- 75. Crossman J. Conceptualising spiritual leadership in secular organizational contexts and its relation to transformational, servant and environmental leadership. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 2010 Sep 29.
- 76. Meng Y. Spiritual leadership at the workplace: Perspectives and theories. Biomedical reports. 2016 Oct 1;5(4):408-12.
- 77. Nicolae M, Ion I, Nicolae E. The research agenda of spiritual leadership. Where do we stand?.Revista De Management Comparat International. 2013 Oct 1;14(4):551.
- 78. Dinh JE, Lord RG, Gardner WL, Meuser JD, Liden RC, Hu J. Leadership theory and research in the new millennium: Current theoretical trends and changing perspectives. The Leadership Quarterly. 2014 Feb 1;25(1):36-62.
- 79. Fairholm GW. Spiritual leadership: Fulfilling whole-self needs at work. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 1996 Sep 1.
- 80. Ashmos DP, Duchon D. Spirituality at work: A conceptualization and measure. Journal of management inquiry. 2000 Jun;9(2):134-45.
- 81. Kaya A. The relationship between spiritual leadership and organizational citizenship behaviors: A research on school principals' behaviors. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice. 2015 Jun 30;15(3).
- 82. Lee TR, Chen SY, Wang SH, Dadura A. The relationship between spiritual management and determinants of turnover intention. European Business Review. 2010 Jan 19.
- 83. Reave L. Spiritual values and practices related to leadership effectiveness. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Oct 1;16(5):655-87.
- 84. Caldwell K, Kallestad WP, Sorensen P. Entrepreneurial faith: Launching bold initiatives to expand God's kingdom. WaterBrook Press; 2004.
- 85. Howard S. A spiritual perspective on learning in the workplace. Journal of Managerial psychology. 2002 May 1.
- 86. Karadag E. Spiritual Leadership and Organizational Culture: A Study of Structural Equation Modeling. Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice. 2009;9(3):1391-405.
- 87. Sanders JE, Hopkins WE, Geroy GD. From transactional to transcendental: Toward an integrated theory of leadership. Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies. 2003 Nov;9(4):21-31.
- 88. Fry LW, Nisiewicz MS. Maximizing the triple bottom line through spiritual leadership. Stanford University Press; 2013 Jan 9.
- 89. Duchon D, Plowman DA. Nurturing the spirit at work: Impact on work unit performance. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Oct 1;16(5):807-33.
- 90. Fairholm MR, Gronau TW. Spiritual leadership in the work of public administrators. Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion. 2015 Oct 2;12(4):354-73.

- 91. Guillory WA. Spirituality in the workplace: A guide for adapting to the chaotically changing workplace. Salt Lake City, UT: Innovations International. 2000.
- 92. Korac-Kakabadse N, Kouzmin A, Kakabadse A. Spirituality and leadership praxis. Journal of managerial psychology. 2002 May 1.
- 93. Sendjaya S. Conceptualizing and measuring spiritual leadership in organizations. International Journal of Business and Information. 2007;2(1).
- 94. International Institute for Spiritual Leadership, 2015. <a href="https://iispiritualleadership.com/spiritual-leadership/">https://iispiritualleadership.com/spiritual-leadership/</a>
- 95. Fry LW, Vitucci S, Cedillo M. Spiritual leadership and army transformation: Theory, measurement, and establishing a baseline. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Oct 1;16(5):835-62.
- 96. Dent EB, Higgins ME, Wharff DM. Spirituality and leadership: An empirical review of definitions, distinctions, and embedded assumptions. The leadership quarterly. 2005 Oct 1;16(5):625-53.
- 97. Hackett RD, Wang G. Virtues and leadership. Management Decision. 2012 May 25.
- 98. Parris DL, Peachey JW. A systematic literature review of servant leadership theory in organizational contexts. Journal of business ethics. 2013 Mar 1;113(3):377-93.
- 99. Mubasher UE, Salman Y, Irfan S, Jabeen N. Spiritual Leadership in Organizational Context: A Research Gap in South Asia. South Asian Studies (1026-678X). 2017 Jan 1;32(1).
- 100. Frangieh CG, Yaacoub HK. A systematic literature review of responsible leadership. Journal of Global Responsibility. 2017 Sep 11.
- 101. White-Newman JB. The three E's of leadership: A model and metaphor for effective, ethical and enduring leadership. Unpublished manuscript. St. Paul, MN: The College of St. Catherine. 1993.
- 102. Doh JP, Stumpf SA, editors. Handbook on responsible leadership and governance in global business. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2005.
- 103. Pless NM. Understanding responsible leadership: Role identity and motivational drivers. Journal of Business Ethics. 2007 Sep 1;74(4):437-56.
- 104. Antunes A, Franco M. How people in organizations make sense of responsible leadership practices. Leadership & Organization Development Journal. 2016 Mar 7.
- 105. Pruzan P. Spiritual-based leadership in business. Journal of Human Values. 2008 Oct;14(2):101-14.
- 106. Pless NM, Maak T. Responsible leadership: Pathways to the future. InResponsible leadership 2011 (pp. 3-13). Springer, Dordrecht.
- 107. Schraa-Liu T, Trompenaars F. Towards responsible leadership through reconciling dilemmas. Responsible leadership. 2006 Mar 1:138-54.
- 108. Waldman DA, Ramirez GG, House RJ, Puranam P. Does leadership matter? CEO leadership attributes and profitability under conditions of perceived environmental uncertainty. Academy of management journal. 2001 Feb 1;44(1):134-43.
- 109. Cameron K. Responsible leadership as virtuous leadership. Journal of Business Ethics. 2011 Jan 1;98(1):25-35.
- 110. Sumanasiri EAG. Leadership Dimensions Influencing Sustainability Leadership in Sri Lanka: Mediating Effect of Managers' Ethical Behaviour and Organizational Change. Journal of Management and Sustainability. 2020;10 (1): 113-137. doi:10.5539/jms.v10n1p113
- 111. Eva N, Robin M, Sendjaya S, van Dierendonck D, Liden RC. Servant leadership: A systematic review and call for future research. The Leadership Quarterly. 2019 Feb 1;30(1):111-32.

- 112. Ludema JD, Cox CK. Leadership for world benefit: New horizons for research and practice. Handbook of Transformative Cooperation: New Designs and Dynamics (Stanford Business Books, Palo Alto, CA). 2007:333-73.
- 113. Van Dierendonck D. Servant leadership: A review and synthesis. Journal of management. 2011 Jul;37(4):1228-61.
- 114. Hoch JE, Bommer WH, Dulebohn JH, Wu D. Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational leadership? A meta-analysis. Journal of Management. 2018 Feb:44(2):501-29.
- 115. Peterson SJ, Galvin BM, Lange D. CEO servant leadership: Exploring executive characteristics and firm performance. Personnel Psychology. 2012 Sep;65(3):565-96.
- 116. Salem AAH. Leading to heal: A view of sustainability leadership, in DR Gallagher. ed. Environmental leadership a reference handbook, SAGE Publications, Thousand Oaks, California; 2012.
- 117. Van Dierendonck D, Stam D, Boersma P, De Windt N, Alkema J. Same difference? Exploring the differential mechanisms linking servant leadership and transformational leadership to follower outcomes. The Leadership Quarterly. 2014 Jun 1;25(3):544-62.
- 118. Liden RC, Wayne SJ, Zhao H, Henderson D. Servant leadership: Development of a multidimensional measure and multi-level assessment. The leadership quarterly. 2008 Apr 1;19(2):161-77
- 119. Schaubroeck J, Lam SS, Peng AC. Cognition-based and affect-based trust as mediators of leader behavior influences on team performance. Journal of applied psychology. 2011 Jul;96(4):863.
- 120. Sendjaya S. Servant leadership research. In Personal and Organizational Excellence through Servant Leadership 2015 (pp. 15-38). Springer, Cham.
- 121. Spears L. Reflections on Robert K. Greenleaf and servant-leadership. Leadership & organization development journal. 1996 Dec 1.
- 122. Lytle RS, Hom PW, Mokwa MP. SERV\* OR: A managerial measure of organizational service-orientation. Journal of Retailing, 1998 Sep 1;74(4):455-89.
- 123. Liden RC, Wayne SJ, Meuser JD, Hu J, Wu J, Liao C. Servant leadership: Validation of a short form of the SL-28. The Leadership Quarterly. 2015 Apr 1;26(2):254-69.
- 124. Sendjaya S, Pekerti A, Hartel CE, Hirst G, ButarButar ID, Liao Y. Other-focused leaders, self-focused followers, and citizenship behavior. In Academy of Management Proceedings 2018 Jul 2 (Vol. 2018, No. 1, p. 12276). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.
- 125. Van Dierendonck D, Nuijten I. The servant leadership survey: Development and validation of a multidimensional measure. Journal of business and psychology. 2011 Sep 1;26(3):249-67.
- 126. Antonakis J, Bendahan S, Jacquart P, Lalive R. On making causal claims: A review and recommendations. The leadership quarterly. 2010 Dec 1:21(6):1086-120.
- 127. Yavuz M. Transformational Leadership and Authentic Leadership as Practical Implications of Positive Organizational Psychology. In Handbook of Research on Positive Organizational Behavior for Improved Workplace Performance 2020 (pp. 122-139). IGI Global.

128. Luthans F, Avolio BJ. Authentic leadership development. Positive organizational scholarship. 2003;241:258.

