#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_53475
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Sponsorship on Brand Equity of Cellular Networks in Hyderabad Pakistan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		This reconstant recons
Minor REVISION comments	In general, attractive and beneficial research subject.	
	The author(s) were very clear in their research plan. But the manuscript needs major proof reading [see suggested changes in attached corrected manuscript]	
	The abstract is clear and provides clear introduction to the parts of the paper in a concise and organized manner	
	The introduction is adequate for the research on hand, and the references cited are appropriate and current. However, many of these citations are not reported in the List of references which creates a problem of unethical use of Inflation of Citations. If added this is solved	
	It is satisfactory to tie the title, the abstract and the literature itself to the methodology and later on to the discussions	
	The methodology is very clear and adequate. Though details needed to be adjusted [see attached corrected manuscript]	
	Results are clear but needed a major rewriting [see suggested changes in attached manuscript]	
	The discussion is adequately presented	
	Conclusion is adequate responding to the research objectives but needs to be validated by referring to other researchers	
	Major Proofreading is needed. See suggested corrected manuscript in detail.	
	Problem with references:	
	References need to be reviewed carefully to be consistent according to the Journal Citation style     Add all missing Intext citations to the list     Bither remove extra references [marked in RED] or add them to the text	
Optional/General comments	Copy of Corrected manuscript is attached.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	Yes, because of not mentioning the Intext citations in the List of references When done this is solved	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)