



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_58998
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Awareness and Utilization of Cocoa Production Technologies Among Farmers in Oyo-State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> All the data included regarding cocoa were of old. Add current status of cocoa in Nigeria and its economic importance Introduction does not describes the importance of the study. In results on sources of Information, preference need not to be what is existing. Comment on it. For Chi-square analysis, why there is different degree of freedom for different factors. Substantiate it. Conclusion can be rewritten better 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Minor spelling and grammatical corrections 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Kandeegan
Department, University & Country	Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, India