



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_jeai_58018
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of genetic parameters in early maturing sugarcane clones for yield and quality traits
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Improvements are necessary in the writing up and presentation of findings.</p> <p>I suggest a few graphical support.</p> <p>The use of recent references could greatly contribute to the quality of the work, specially in the introduction and in the discussion of yours results.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In Materials and Methods: Line 2: 2020. Line7: DAP (000/ha): I did not understand this zero. I think need values of parameters. This show up in many parts of the text. Brix: line3: Temperature at 20°C. Pol: Line3: 4mg? I think is 4 mg. I think references 2 and 3 are incomplete</p>	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rachel Soares Ramos
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil