



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_52510
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Pesticides, Neem Seed Kernel Extract on Blights and Tuta Absoluta at Different Phenological Stages of Tomato in Hamelmalo Agricultural College, Eritrea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Review the choice between NSK concerning Neem Seed kernel (line 97) and NSE (Neem Seed Extract) line 138) and use one of them all along the text. I think NSE is the treatment effectively used.  Same remark concerning CBR and BCR concerning Cost Benefit Ratio. Also, check the spelling of the expression	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Refer to the comments in the text	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nuto Yaovi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lomé, Togo</b>