



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_52510
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Pesticides, Neem Seed Kernel Extract on Blights and Tuta Absoluta at Different Phenological Stages of Tomato in Hamelmalo Agricultural College, Eritrea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All tables must be rewrite as the following example. - F-values must be added for treatment comparison - t-values must be added for Pre- and Post- Items comparison. - Standard Error must be followed each mean number preceded with \pm symbol. - Alphabetical letters (for comparison) must be added after mean\pmSE to discriminate the significance between treatments. 	
Minor REVISION comments	All comments are shown in the attached manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Ahmed Gesraha
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt