



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_51303 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECT OF Vernonia amygdalina EXTRACTS AND MEAL ON COLOUR, PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND MICROBIAL LOAD OF BROILER MEAT |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A minor typographical, grammatical, and language based errors detected and corrected by me (highlighted in RED). Please have a look at correction. 2. Once the full scientific name is written, please write the abbreviated form thereafter. 3. Please write the surname of first author followed by et al. In italics instead of simply writing method (8) or (9). 4. A section (2.6) mentioning the statistical function must be added in the Materials and Methods section depicting the applied statistical method. 5. What is SEM in Table 2? Please mention in the footnote of Table. 6. No information regarding Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) is given in this study. 7. What is Y-Axis in this Figure? 8. The conclusion must be comprehensive and elaborative with more focus on future perspectives. 9. References are not uniform and not according to the journal's instructions. <p>The study is extremely impressive, quite comprehensive, very novel, and practically applicable and A MINOR REVISION IS ADVISED FOR THIS MANUSCRIPT</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Debarshi Kar Mahapatra |
| Department, University & Country | Dadasaheb Balpande College of Pharmacy, India |