

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_70609
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF PATIENTS UNDERGOING SPLENECTOMY FOR SPLEEN MASSES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The conclusions to this report were presented in a confusing way. It is unclear thus far what the actual aim of this report is. It should be stated both in the introduction and conclusions.</p> <p>There are useful data presented in Tables 1 and 2. However, the way the authors introduced and concluded the report does not give the reader the idea of the clinical importance and usefulness of the presented data. I suggest the authors try to engage the reader with the significance of the statistical data reported.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I suggest a spelling/semantics check throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>Please provide the clarification of the abbreviations the first time they appear in the manuscript – SANT, table 1.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lauar de Brito Monteiro
Department, University & Country	University of Campinas, Brazil