



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_55956
Title of the Manuscript:	Value of strain elastography ultrasound in differentiation of benign and malignant breast masses with histopathological correlation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>To authors,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction last: "To the best of our knowledge no prior study has so far available in Bangladesh regarding this topic.": This sentence should be reconsidered once again. If you wish to state, "there have been abundant studies outside of Bangladesh" and novelty of this study exists only "for the first time in Bangladesh", then this statement is right; however, the significance of this paper decreases. This is because there are no "specific features" in this issue in Bangladesh. Let us consider that there are 200 countries, and thus, 200 papers can appear if one claims that this is the first in country X. Not so, please state that this study is worthy irrespective whether the study was the first in Bangladesh.</li> <li>2. Methods; "Convenient sampling method was used for 92 participants enrolled": Please state if this was the consecutive cases.</li> <li>3. Did all patients undergo biopsy, right? Then, state so.</li> <li>4. Discussion first paragraph," A total of 92 female patients with breast masses age more than 14 years referred to Radiology and Imaging Department, BIRDEM, Dhaka for ultrasonography, during July 2017 to June 2019 were included in this study. History of FNAC or biopsy of breast mass prior to ultrasonography, history of previous breast surgery, painful breast masses and drop out cases were excluded from the study. The present study findings were discussed and compared with previously published relevant studies". Please delete this paragraph. This is the repetition.</li> <li>5. Conclusion: Please shorten the Conclusion. Usually, conclusion consists of three to four paragraphs.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shigeki Matsubara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jichi Medical University, Japan</b>