



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_64007
Title of the Manuscript:	Serum zinc levels of under five children with diarrheal disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is scientifically and technically reliable. Authors fully describe and give comments about the suitability and technical standards of the used methods. The data is under control and reliable. Authors provide relevant and current references during discussion. Discussion and conclusions are based on actual facts and figures. In the article statistical analysis are carried out sufficiently and according to requirements. Conclusions are objective and based on the data, presented in this manuscript only. Authors provide adequate proof for their claims them.	
Minor REVISION comments	It may be advisable to use literature data obtained by researchers in the European and American regions in the discussion.	
Optional/General comments	The article is relevant and contains reliable results, the research methods correspond to the tasks, the discussion is adequately presented, and the conclusions are logical and follow from the presented results. The article can be published.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>This scientific study was conducted in compliance with all ethical requirements – « Ethical approval for this study was sought for and obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Federal Medical Centre Owerri, while informed/written consent was obtained from the parents/guardian of the children enrolled»..</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usachova Elena
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhia State Medical University, Ukraine